



第九届世界青年桥牌锦标赛
9TH WORLD YOUTH TRANSNATIONAL BRIDGE CHAMPIONSHIPS

中国合肥
HEFEI CHINA



Editor: Eric Laurant

Head of Communication: Francesca Canali

Journalist coordinator: Mark Horton

Journalist: Jos Jacobs

A CELEBRATION OF SPORT



Today is China's National Fitness Day, held every 8 August since 2009 to mark the opening of the Beijing Olympic Games, and this year it lands on our final day of play.

After six rounds, the top 26 pairs in the U26, Women U26, U21 and U16 categories have qualified for Final A, along with the top 14 pairs in the U31 series. For everybody else, there is still plenty to play for.

Tonight we meet at 8.00 pm on the third floor to celebrate the winners — not only of the championships and Final B, but also of our Joan Gerard Sportsmanship Award. Submit your nominations at: <https://championships.worldbridge.org/2026-hefei/joan-gerard-2026>

JOAN GERARD YOUTH AWARDS

The Joan Gerard Youth Awards aim at rewarding aptitude, fair play, good sportsmanship and a friendly international spirit, rejecting any discrimination. They will be presented every year at the Youth Bridge Teams Championships and at the World Youth Transnational Bridge Championships to a player from each category (U26, U26W, U21, U16, U31).

Each of the attending players, NPCs, coaches, WBF championship officials and members of the staff can nominate one player per category to receive the Joan Gerard Youth Award. No one can nominate players from his/her own country.

The final selection will be made by a Commission composed of the members of the Championship Committee and chaired by the Master of Protocol & Ceremony. The result will be announced and the Joan Gerard Youth Awards presented at the Prize-giving Ceremony.

Vote here: <https://championships.worldbridge.org/2026-hefei/joan-gerard-2026>

PAIRS CHAMPIONSHIPS PRIZE GIVING CEREMONY

The prize giving ceremony of the Pairs Championships will take place
TODAY, Saturday, 8 August 2026
at 8.00 p.m. – third floor.



World Bridge Series

KATOWICE, POLAND
20 AUGUST - 3 SEPTEMBER, 2026



OPEN TO PLAYERS FROM
ALL OVER THE WORLD

ALL INFORMATION AT:
championships.worldbridge.org/2026WBS

8 WORLD CHAMPIONSHIPS

TEAMS

- Open Teams
- Women's Teams
- Senior Teams
- Mixed Teams

PAIRS

- Open Pairs
- Women's Pairs
- Senior Pairs
- Mixed Pairs



Sea of Slams

by Mark Horton

In the third qualifying session the players encountered a number of deals where a slam was in the offing.

Board 1. Dealer North. None Vul.

♠ 10 6 4 2		♠ A
♥ A 6 3		♥ Q 9 2
♦ A J 8		♦ K 7 6 2
♣ 10 9 2		♣ A K Q J 4
♠ K Q J 3		♠ 8 7
♥ K 10 4		♥ A 9 6 3
♦ 10 3		♦ K 4
♣ 8 7 6		♣ A K 10 3 2
♠ 8 7 5		♠ 5 2
♥ J 8 7 5		♥ K 10 8 7 5 4 2
♦ Q 9 5 4		♦ 10 7
♣ 5 3		♣ Q 9

Then opening deal of the third qualifying session was not a bidding test, almost everyone reaching 3NT (the major renegade was 5♠ by West, just making for 29.07/24.93 to NS).

6NTx was 52.93-1.07 and 4NT-2 (on the lead of the ♠7) scored the same 107/52.93

What should South lead?

The general when choosing between two suits is to lead from the one with the lower honour, hoping that the higher card will be an entry. Choosing between ♠A873 and ♥K873 you would lead a heart. However, there are exceptions. On this occasion you have more chance of establishing diamond tricks, and an initial diamond lead will make declarer work much harder (especially if North puts in the ♦J!).

When South led a heart and declarer played low from dummy if North took the ♥A but did not cash the ♦A declarer emerged with 12 tricks, scoring 45.67-8.33.

Six players led the ♦4, three pairs restricting declarer to nine tricks, worth 43.59/10.41.

Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.

♠ K Q 4 3		♠ 8 7
♥ Q J		♥ A 9 6 3
♦ 6 3 2		♦ K 4
♣ J 8 6 4		♣ A K 10 3 2
♠ A J 10 9 6		♠ 5 2
♥ —		♥ K 10 8 7 5 4 2
♦ A Q J 9 8 5		♦ 10 7
♣ 7 5		♣ Q 9

If you want to be in a slam, 6♦ is clearly best.

Six pairs bid 6♦ two declarers getting home after a heart lead.

The way to do that is to win with the ♥A pitching a spade, ruff a heart and play the ♠A followed by the ♠J. Subsequently, having drawn trumps you take a ruffing finesse in the suit. Scoring +920 delivers 52.93/1.07.

Two pairs attempted 6NT which hardly scored at all, and the same can be said for 7♠x and 7♦.

Board 6. Dealer East. E/W Vul.

♠ Q 9 8 5		♠ A 7 6 5 4 3
♥ Q 9		♥ A K 4 3
♦ 10 9 5		♦ K Q 3
♣ A Q 5 2		♣ 7
♠ 2		♠ K J 10
♥ J 10 8 7 2		♥ 6 5
♦ J 8		♦ A 7 6 4 2
♣ J 10 9 8 4		♣ K 6 3

Many years ago I wrote a Bols Bridge Tip entitled 'Don't be afraid to respond'. Even at

the prevailing vulnerability West could bid 1NT over 1♠ and then raise 2♥ to 3♥. Only two pairs reached 4♥, +620 scoring 52.93-1.07. I expect you can see how declarer might take 11 tricks – by playing to ruff spades in the long trump hand.

Board 7. Dealer South. All Vul.

♠ 9 4 3	♠ K Q 6	♠ 10 7 2
♥ K	♥ A Q J 6 4	♥ 8 7 5 2
♦ Q 7 6 5	♦ K 2	♦ 10 8 4 3
♣ K J 6 5 2	♣ A 8 7	♣ 10 4



♠ A J 8 5	♠ Q 7 2
♥ 10 9 3	♥ A J 4 3
♦ A J 9	♦ K J 10 5 3
♣ Q 9 3	♣ 4

Missing a slam on this deal not a disaster, as 3NT+4 was 22.85/31.15.

Scoring +1470 in 6NT was worth 48.78/5.22, easy if West leads a diamond (some did) but not so simple after a passive spade lead (the backwards finesse in diamonds is the way to do it). The one pair who played in 7♥ did not divine the situation (you have to make the play very early on) and -200 was only 0.04/53.96.

Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.

♠ A 4	♠ Q 3 2	♠ K J 10 7 5
♥ A K 10 6 3	♥ J 7 4	♥ Q 5 2
♦ A Q 9 8 3	♦ 7 4	♦ 2
♣ 9	♣ J 10 8 4 2	♣ A Q 7 6



♠ 9 8 6
♥ 9 8
♦ K J 10 6 5
♣ K 5 3

It has been said that slams are like London buses, you wait for ages then two come along at the same time.

Four pairs bid all the way to 7♥. Three of

them got a diamond lead from North which simplified matters, the other having to work harder after the traditional trump lead. They all scored +1510 for 50.85/3.15

Board 14. Dealer East. None Vul.

♠ 10 4	♠ 9 6 5 3	♠ Q 7 2
♥ 9 8 7 6 5	♥ K Q 2	♥ A J 4 3
♦ A 8 4 2	♦ Q 9 7 6	♦ K J 10 5 3
♣ 8 2	♣ Q 9	♣ 4



♠ A K J 8
♥ 10
♦ —
♣ A K J 10 7 6 5 3

You can make 12 tricks in either black suit with the NS cards, and if you play in spades and West leads a diamond (not unlikely if East has opened 1♦) you can take all the tricks.

Scoring +920 got you 35.30/18.70, while +980 was a massive 50.85/3.15.

Yuantao Zheng took all the tricks in spades, +1010 earning 53.96/0.04, while the best score for EW was the +470 for making 3♦x achieved by Christian Lahrmann and Nikolaj Hammelev, 53.96/0.04. I would love to know how that happened – did East open 1♦, get raised to 3♦ when South doubled and then see North pass a second double?

I do know that at some tables where East opened 1♦, West bid 1♥ over South's double and when North bid 1♠ South jumped to 6♠!



West	North	East	South
<i>Ouyang</i>	<i>Fang</i>	<i>Ling</i>	<i>Yan</i>
—	—	—	1♦
2♥	3♥*	4♥	Pass
Pass	Dble	All Pass	

3♥ Support

West	North	East	South
<i>Yang</i>	<i>Lyoen</i>	<i>Yang</i>	<i>Crepin</i>
—	—	—	1♦
3♥	Dble*	4♥	Dble
All Pass			

4♥x cost -800, scoring 42.98/45.02.

Here is how one pairs solved the problem:

West	North	East	South
<i>Suzuki</i>	<i>Yuan</i>	<i>Fujita</i>	<i>Ge</i>
—	—	—	1♦
2♥	3♥*	4♥	6♦
All Pass			

3♥ Support



Board 28. Dealer West. N/S Vul.

♠ 10 9 8 4 3		♠ A 5
♥ 6 2		♥ 10 3
♦ J 8		♦ A K 10 5 4
♣ Q 8 4 3		♣ A 7 6 5
♠ K Q J 7 2		♠ 6
♥ A K J		♥ Q 9 8 7 5 4
♦ Q 6 3		♦ 9 7 2
♣ J 9		♣ A 4 3



West	North	East	South
<i>Exbrayat</i>	<i>Lyoen</i>	<i>Duterte</i>	<i>Crepin</i>
1♠	Pass	2♦	Pass
2NT	Pass	3♣	Pass
3♦	Pass	3♥*	Pass
4NT*	Pass	5♣*	Pass
5♦	Pass	6♦*	Pass
6♥	Pass	6NT	Pass
7NT	All Pass		

- 3♥ Fourth suit forcing
- 4NT RKCB
- 5♣ 1/4 keycards
- 6♦ Four keycards

North led the ♠10 and declarer won in dummy, cashed the ♦A and played a diamond to the queen. When the ♠K revealed the bad break, declarer had to rely on the heart finesse, +1520 and 82.86/5.14.

Board 29. Dealer North. All Vul.

♠ 7 3		♠ Q J 10 9 6 2
♥ Q J		♥ 10 8 5
♦ A Q J 10 6 2		♦ 7 3
♣ K J 10		♣ 9 8
♠ A K 8 4		♠ 5
♥ 9 6		♥ A K 7 4 3 2
♦ 5 4		♦ K 9 8
♣ A Q 6 5 3		♣ 7 4 2



It was normal for NS to reach 4♥ and the

♠Q was the popular lead. When that was allowed to hold East usually switched to a diamond giving declarer 12 tricks and 63.43/24.57.

At matchpoints there is a lot to be said for overtaking the ♠Q and cashing the ♣A. That second defensive trick produces 36.84/41.16.

A few pairs did even better with the EW cards:

West	North	East	South
<i>Chen</i>	<i>Zhang</i>	<i>Xiao</i>	<i>Liu</i>
—	1♦	2♠	3♥
4♠	Dble	All Pass	

That was two down, -500 scoring 17.41/70.59.

West	North	East	South
<i>A Chen</i>	<i>S Yang</i>	<i>C Chen</i>	<i>X Yang</i>
—	1♦	Pass	1♥
Dble	2♦	2♠	3♥
3♠	4♥	4♠	All Pass

Losing -200 was a huge 10.25/77.75 for EW.




ETA-Semitech

ASCG
安徽水安

Board 32. Dealer West. E/W Vul.

♠ A K 9 8 3		♠ Q 6
♥ A 4		♥ K 10 9 2
♦ K Q 7		♦ 6 5
♣ A 4 3		♣ K 9 8 7 5
♠ 10 5 2		♠ J 7 4
♥ 8 5 3		♥ Q J 7 6
♦ J 4 3		♦ A 10 9 8 2
♣ Q 10 6 2		♣ J



Several pairs bid 6♠ (and there was also one 6NT, +990 collecting 82.86/5.14, one 7♠ going down for 2.07/85.93 and one 7NT, for 87.98/0.02).

West	North	East	South
<i>A Chen</i>	<i>Fang</i>	<i>C Chen</i>	<i>Yan</i>
Pass	2NT	Pass	3♣*
Pass	3♠	Pass	4♣*
Pass	4♦*	Pass	4♠
Pass	4NT*	Pass	5♣*
Pass	5♦*	Pass	5♠*
Pass	6♠	All Pass	

3♣	Puppet Stayman
4♣	Control
4♦	Control
4NT	RKCB
5♣	1 keycard
5♦	♠Q?
5♠	No

East led the ♦6 for the two, jack and queen and declarer cashed the ♠A and took the safety play, leading low towards the ♠J, +980 and 67.52/20.48.

The one player to eschew the safety play scored +1010 and collected 85.93/2.07

Pairs Qualification, U21 Sessions 2 and 5

by Jos Jacobs

With very few of the many tables on display, it is notoriously difficult to produce good reports on what is happening here and there. Apart from that, in matchpoints contests, or pairs games if you prefer, much more depends on getting all tricks possible, both in declarer play and defence, rather than avoiding the occasional disaster. For readers and even more so for reporters, disasters often are the cherries on their cakes: bad news sells and good news does not. It's as if we are in the outside world ☐.

Take for instance this board, the second board of the session.

Board 18. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

	♠ K Q J	
	♥ K 8 2	
	♦ Q 10 4	
	♣ A K J 4	
♠ 8 6 2		♠ 10 4
♥ 10 7		♥ A J 9 5 4 3
♦ 8 7 6 2		♦ K 5
♣ Q 8 5 3		♣ 10 9 7
	♠ A 9 7 5 3	
	♥ Q 6	
	♦ A J 9 3	
	♣ 6 2	

West	North	East	South
Muthuswamy	Lu	Mehta	Ma
—	—	3♥	Pass
Pass	3NT	All Pass	

If you as East open at a high enough level, you might cause insoluble problems for the opponents, as you can see from this rather extreme example. Slam was reached by only 10 of our 89 pairs.

One of those 79 pairs, a Polish one, did not regret their missed slam.

West	North	East	South
Yuan	Izwantowski	Ge	Tokarski
—	—	2♦	Pass
2NT	Dble	3♣	3♠
Pass	4♠	Dble	Pass
5♥	Dble	All Pass	

Apparently, East thought that partner was serious with his 2NT. Not so, down six and -1700. A zero is a zero, however, and it counts only once per board.

At the next table, we saw another slam:

Board 20. Dealer West. All Vul.

	♠ A K J 10 9 8	
	♥ A 5	
	♣ K J 6	
	♦ Q 2	
♠ 7 5 3 2		♠ Q 4
♥ K Q 4 3 2		♥ J 10 8 7 6
♣ 9 4		♣ 8 7 5
♦ 9 4		♦ 10 8 7
	♠ 6	
	♥ 9	
	♣ A Q 10 3 2	
	♦ A K J 6 5 3	

This slam was reached almost everywhere, 6NT made with an overtrick scoring about average. To get a top score, you would need to get as high as 7NT. Only seven pairs managed to do so and thus got 93% each.



A few boards later, we saw a misunderstanding about a running suit.

Board 24. Dealer West. None Vul.

♠ 10 6 4 2		♠ K Q 8 7 5
♥ K J 10 8 4		♥ 7 2
♦ J		♦ Q 10 5
♣ A 8 2		♣ K Q 5
	♠ A J	
	♥ A Q 6 5 3	
	♦ 6 4	
	♣ J 7 6 3	



A N/S pair that shall remain anonymous found themselves in 3NT. The opponents were happy to double this. Someone was less happy and redoubled but this message was lost on partner. The contract went down the almost automatic five tricks, which these days amounts to -2,200 but still not less than about zero matchpoints. More interesting is the defence against 3♠. As you can see, 4♠ is easily defeated with a heart ruff and the two pointed aces. Even though, 4♠ was made occasionally. But what about 3♠? Nobody found the defence to beat this because it's beyond imagination. But try two top diamonds first.



工程有限公司
INTERNATIONAL ENGINEERING LIMITED

ETA-Semitech



中遠國際工程有限公司
INA STATE CONSTRUCTION INTERNATIONAL ENGINEERING LIMITED

ETA-Semitech



Dummy ruffs and what now? A trump goes to the king and ace. Next come the ♥A and a heart ruff and now, a diamond from North creates a trick for South's ♠J. Bridge is such a beautiful game...

Careful play was needed on this one:

Board 28. Dealer West. N/S Vul.

♠ A 9 4 3		♠ Q 10 5 2
♥ 6 2		♥ K Q 10 9 3
♦ 9 8 6 5 2		♦ 7
♣ K 2		♣ A 7 5
	♠ J 7 6	
	♥ A J 8	
	♦ K J	
	♣ Q J 10 6 4	



Four Hearts can be made by N/S but not if East leads the ♠K. If he does not, declarer should try his luck in clubs before drawing all the outstanding trumps. The ♥A is needed as an entry to dummy's clubs. Defeating 4♥ was worth 85% to E/W. In 3NT, however, the defenders have to cash their three tricks immediately for an average score; conceding -660 would score just 5%. One East led the ♠8 from his ♠K8. When

West did not quite read this, declarer made 12 tricks and collected all the matchpoints.

A board later, a French pair really was too eager:

Board 29. Dealer North. All Vul.

	♠ Q J 8 7 6 2	
	♥ A 6 5 2	
	♦ 9 8	
	♣ 3	
♠ K 5 4 3		♠ 9
♥ J		♥ K Q 8 4 3
♦ A 6 5		♦ J 7 4 3
♣ A Q J 9 4		♣ 8 6 2
	♠ A 10	
	♥ 10 9 7	
	♦ K Q 10 2	
	♣ K 10 7 5	

West	North	East	South
<i>Crépin</i>	<i>Izwantowski</i>	<i>Lyoen</i>	<i>Tokarski</i>
—	Pass	Pass	1♣!
1NT	2♥	Dble	2♠
Pass	Pass	Dble	All Pass

When West did never bother to take his ♦A, declarer even made an overtrick. There were a few +670's on the scoresheet but +870 was good for all the matchpoints.

In the last round of the session, I noticed yet another disaster:

Board 31. Dealer South. N/S Vul.

	♠ K 4	
	♥ A 10 5 4 2	
	♦ K 8 6 2	
	♣ K Q	
♠ Q J 8		♠ A 9 6 2
♥ J 9 8 6		♥ K Q 7
♦ Q 4 3		♦ A 7
♣ 9 5 3		♣ A 10 8 2
	♠ 10 7 5 3	
	♥ 3	
	♦ J 10 9 5	
	♣ J 7 6 4	

West	North	East	South
<i>Yuan</i>	<i>Lu</i>	<i>Ge</i>	<i>Ma</i>
—	—	—	Pass
Pass	1NT	Dble	2♣
Pass	2♦	Pass	Pass
Dble	2♥	Dble	2♠
Dble	All Pass		



The defence got underway with three rounds of trumps. Declarer then led a club to dummy's king but East won his ace and returned the suit, sort of locking declarer in dummy. When declarer, exited with the ♦K, the defence could win two diamonds, the last club and a heart in the end for down three, +800 and all the matchpoints. Would you believe me if I am telling you that at another table, N/S made 2♠ for +110? That was worth only 85%, far away from the top score that went to +760 in N/S. An experienced Junior should immediately know what the contract was...

The last board of the set was funny, I thought, for a very unusual reason, as you will see...

Board 32. Dealer West. E/W Vul.

♠ 6	♠ A J 10 2	♠ 8 7 4 3
♥ Q 10 8 7 6 4 2	♥ A 9	♥ J 5
♦ A K 2	♦ J 8 6 3	♦ Q 10 5
♣ Q 10	♣ K 9 3	♣ J 8 7 4
	♠ K Q 9 5	
	♥ K 3	
	♦ 9 7 4	
	♣ A 6 5 2	



At most tables, N/S were in spades, scoring +140 or -50 depending on the level reached. A few pairs tried 3NT which was made from time to time when the defence started off with their top diamonds, thus establishing North's 13th diamond as the 9th trick. This also happened at the table below:

West	North	East	South
Xiao	Heiberg-Evenstad		Chen
Blicharz			
1♥	Dble	Pass	1♠
Pass	2♠	Pass	3NT
Pass	Pass	Dble	All Pass

Three rounds of diamonds for E/W to start with and nine tricks, +550 and all the matchpoints to N/S next.. The amusing thing about the hand is that any other lead will set the contract, even a spade lead (!), provided a rounded switch is found after the first diamond. Thanks to North's ♣9,



even the clubs can be set up by the defence.

So much for day 1 of the qualification. Below are a few highlights of session 5 of the qualification, early on Friday afternoon.

The first deal of the session was one of those on which you would not have a trump loser though you were off the trump KQ.

Board 1. Dealer North. None Vul.

♠ K Q 7	♠ A 10 6 2	♠ J 8 5 4
♥ K 6 2	♥ —	♥ Q J 9 8 3
♦ K	♦ A 10 8 7 6 3 2	♦ Q
♣ K J 8 6 5 3	♣ A 7	♣ 10 9 2
	♠ 9 3	
	♥ A 10 7 5 4	
	♦ J 9 5 4	
	♣ Q 4	



Two pairs even bid the slam. They got a club lead to the queen, king and ace but this did not bring any problems when the next trick brought down the four highest trumps: A, K, Q, J. In other card games, you would score extra points for collecting all these trump cards in the same trick. After this successful operation, dummy's ♦9 is the entry to get rid of the losing club on the ♥A and there still are two more trumps left in dummy to ruff your spade losers.

There were a few accidents: you might get doubled in 5♦ for a 90% score or you might double the opposition at the four-level for +500 and 85%. Making game was only worth 60%. The two most eccentric scores were a +770 when 3♦ was already doubled, good for 95%, and the contract below:

West	North	East	South
Chen	T Bradkin	Wu	A Bradkin
—	1♦	1♥	1NT
Dble	3♦	Pass	Pass
3♥	Dble	All Pass	

This is what I saw at the first table where I had a look. South may well have had visions of a tremendous amount of undertricks but as it happened, N/S got only just about average: 53% for down two.

I stayed at this table and on the next deal, the players once again produced some fireworks:

Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

♠ A 8 5 2	♠ —	♠ Q 10 7 6 3
♥ 9	♥ A J 6 4 3 2	♥ 10
♦ A 10 7 3	♦ K Q 9 4	♦ J 6 2
♣ 9 8 7 4	♣ Q 10 2	♣ A K J 6
		
	♠ K J 9 4	
	♥ K Q 8 7 5	
	♦ 8 5	
	♣ 5 3	

West	North	East	South
Chen	T Bradkin	Wu	A Bradkin
—	—	1♠	Pass
4♠	Dble	All Pass	

This is probably the shortest auction to reach par. Five Hearts is down off the top,



though it was made once, even doubled, and 4♠ is a good sacrifice, down only 3 for -500 and 72% to N/S. I noted that quite a lot of E/W pairs were allowed to play 4♠ undoubled...

Time now for more eccentricities:

Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.

♠ J 9	♠ A K 6 3	♠ 10 8 4 2
♥ 8 5	♥ A 10 6 4	♥ K 2
♦ K 9 4 3 2	♦ A Q 10	♦ J
♣ 10 7 5 3	♣ 6 4	♣ A K Q 9 8 2
		
	♠ Q 7 5	
	♥ Q J 9 7 3	
	♦ 8 7 6 5	
	♣ J	

West	North	East	South
Sun	Xie	Gao	Lai
—	1NT	Dble	Rdbl
All Pass			

Nobody ran (apparently) so declarer had to find four discards on the run of the clubs. Even double dummy he cannot guess right so the nice round number he paid was no real surprise. Two down, E/W +1000.

A few boards later, the play was the thing:

Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.

♠ K Q	♠ J 8 7 6	♠ 9 5 4 3
♥ 10 7 3 2	♥ K 9 8 5 4	♥ A J 6
♦ Q 10 9 3	♦ J 7 4	♦ 8
♣ J 6 5	♣ 9	♣ A 10 7 4 2
		
	♠ A 10 2	
	♥ Q	
	♦ A K 6 5 2	
	♣ K Q 8 3	

West	North	East	South
<i>Huang</i>	<i>Zhu</i>	<i>Shen</i>	<i>Chen</i>
Pass	Pass	1♠	2NT*
Dble	3♦	All Pass	

East led his trump which ran to West's ♦Q. The ♠K came back, won by dummy's ace and the ♦A then revealed the trump break. Next came a rump to declarer's jack and a club. East jumped in with his ace, cashed his other ace exited with a spade to partner's ♠Q. West then got off play with the ♣J to dummy's ♣Q. The last trump was now drawn and on the 13th trump, East was inexorably squeezed in the blackies. Just made N/S +110 and 58% only.

To finish this report I want to hand a prize to at least one East player. It's what they call the Combativity Prize in the recently finished Tour de France. For those from outside Europe: this is a three weeks' cycling contest in France (and surroundings, sometimes). Within Europe, this contest, first held in 1903, has been one of the highlights in every sports year for at least 70 years now.

♠ A 6	♠ 10 8 3 2	♠ K 9 7 5
♥ 7 3	♥ —	♥ AKQ10 9 6 4
♦ A Q 8 6 4	♦ K 10 7 5	♦ —
♣ J 10 8 2	♣ Q 6 5 4 3	♣ K 9

♠ Q J 4
♥ J 8 5 2
♦ J 9 3 2
♣ A 7

What I do not know, is whether Yan was the only East player to jump directly to 6♥. Even on a trump lead, declarer would have had time to ruff one spade, discard his last spade on the ♦A and then guess right in clubs for his contract – had the trumps not broken 4-0. It's the same as in the Tour de France: usually, the Combativity Prize does not go to a successful rider but to a courageous participant.. At the table where I was watching, South led the ♣A and then sat back...Down one undoubled still was worth 37%.

U26

Under 26 Open · Pairs

U 26
55 PAIRS

RANKING AFTER SESSION 6 · TOP 26 QUALIFY FOR FINAL A

POS	PAIR	%
1	WANG Ziao CHN MA Hanxi CHN	58.74
2	MACDONALD Kate AUS WATKINS Heath AUS	57.92
3	HUANG Chongshang CHN ZHENG Yuantao CHN	56.61
4	JIANG Baozhuo CHN ZHANG Brian USA	56.15
5	ZHANG Xuyang CHN ZHANG Hengyi CHN	55.09
6	YANG Leqi CHN MA Chikun CHN	54.83
7	LAHRMANN Christian DEN HAMMELEV Nikolaj DEN	54.83
8	CHENG Licong CHN LU Mingyu CHN	54.74
9	KAPIRIS Dimitrios GRE GRYLLIS Alexandros P. GRE	54.15
10	WANG Zhaofeng USA WEN Yuqi CHN	54.11
11	ZHANG Sihan CHN WANG Yida CHN	53.77
12	LIU Sicheng CHN LU Yiping CHN	53.24
13	TAO Longyuan CHN YU Rixiang CHN	53.21
14	KREITALS Rainers LAT JANSONS Druvis LAT	53.09
15	NIU Yuanzhe CHN WANG Zhongtian CHN	53.09
16	CHENG Jingyu CHN ZHANG Zixing CHN	52.92
17	SOUMPLIS Michail GRE PAPASPYROU Iasonas-I. GRE	52.63
18	TO Leo HKG KUNG Ka Chun HKG	52.32
19	XU Michael USA MEIER Leon NZL	52.12
20	YAN Zachary Hongxuan NZL ZHENG Lysandra NZL	52.00
21	LE Adrian AUS DAVEY Fletcher AUS	51.61
22	MIURA Yugo JPN KARITA Kakeru JPN	51.20
23	HUI Cheuk Lun Aron HKG YAN Jacky HKG	50.81
24	YANG Dilong CHN LIU Muxin CHN	50.58
25	FU Tianxiang CHN MIAO Jiezheng CHN	50.47
26	YU Haoqing CHN CHEN Jingfan CHN	50.10
27	TAKIZAWA Ken JPN SETO Alex HKG	50.08
28	RUBINS Ralfs LAT FREIBERGS Andrejs LAT	50.08

29	ZENG Junjia CHN LIAO Jiajin CHN	49.93
30	LAUFER Olivia CAN XIAO Rory USA	49.66
31	DAI Xihong CHN WANG Zichen CHN	49.26
32	YU Zhongyi CHN YU Xinyi CHN	49.26
33	FARWIG Ole GER CRONE Luca IRL	49.12
34	NOGUCHI Koki JPN YOSHIDA Momoka JPN	48.87
35	CHANG An-Chi TPE HUANG Yu-Chung TPE	48.66
36	HU Yuxiang (Kevin) NZL MEYER Alexandria NZL	48.19
37	ZHU Chenyu CHN CHENG Xiaoya USA	48.10
38	XIE Yuquan CHN LIN Yiyan CHN	48.06
39	XIE Zhiqiang CHN LV Xiangwei CHN	48.03
40	MATSUDA Narumi JPN HASHIMOTO Atsutoshi JPN	47.81
41	HOU Jingxiang CHN HAO Yuheng CHN	47.78
42	YAN Xiyu CHN WANG Anzhi CHN	47.78
43	MORGAN Gilbert AUS TAYLOR Padriac AUS	47.34
44	LO Hsin-Yu TPE LIN Liang-Chen TPE	46.53
45	LU Chih En TPE WU Hsin-Yu TPE	45.91
46	SHEN You CHN XU Haoyang CHN	45.35
47	WILSMORE Alexis AUS HE Sijie AUS	45.00
48	WU Bruce CHN WANG Hongkai CHN	45.00
49	CHEN Haoqing CHN WANG Yizhe USA	44.92
50	PRAKASH Anirudh IND PRAKASH Madhav IND	44.64
51	NEWMAN Natalie AUS ANDERSEN Ida Malene AUS	44.41
52	VIGNESHWARAN . IND CHIKKARUDRAPPA Udbhav IND	43.94
53	ZHANG Yihang CHN YUN Xiang CHN	43.75
54	LI Jiayi CHN ZHANG Zixuan CHN	41.31
55	CHANG Joey TPE CHEN Hsien Ju TPE	40.47

U26W

Under 26 Women · Pairs

U26W
53 PAIRS

RANKING AFTER SESSION 6 · TOP 26 QUALIFY FOR FINAL A

POS	PAIR	%
1	COLEY Dido ENG KEARNEY Lily ENG	60.05
2	SUSZANOWICZ Natalia POL LUCKA Dominika POL	57.91
3	GE Can CHN MA Ruo Fei CHN	57.89
4	CHEN Wenjing CHN LIAN Wanyi CHN	57.46
5	LIN Canjie CHN XU Jinman CHN	56.57
6	GONG Luxi CHN GUO Wanying CHN	56.48
7	CHEN Kunning CHN YUAN Chongchong CHN	56.36
8	KAPALA Magdalena POL GRYZLO Milena POL	55.84
9	JIANG Yixuan CHN TENG Lingyun CHN	55.28
10	ZHAO Jinghan CHN XU Jiayu CHN	55.26
11	LONG Enhui CHN QU Rui CHN	53.81
12	GAO Han Xing CHN GAO Han Jing CHN	53.67
13	HUANG Ying-Hsuan TPE PENG Yu-Chen TPE	53.49
14	WU Yutong CHN CHEN Yizheng CHN	53.45
15	LEE Yi-Hsien TPE HUANG Ting-Yun TPE	53.04
16	FAN Lingwen HKG CHUNG Yu Kai HKG	52.79
17	XU Tong CHN WANG Aijia CHN	52.68
18	WANG Yimin CHN CHEN Jiayi CHN	51.56
19	SHANGGUAN Jinqi CHN HU Yiran CHN	51.04
20	SMOLEN Martyna POL FORYS Wiktoria POL	50.81
21	SHAO Yuqi CHN PENG Zhiqi CHN	50.50
22	HUANG Tianyu CHN HAN Xin Rui CHN	50.43
23	SUN Yuting CHN WU Jiayu CHN	50.30
24	CHEN Tzu An TPE CHEN I-Hsin TPE	49.69
25	NIAN Qin CHN SHEN Xi CHN	49.31
26	ZHANG Huiru CHN ZHANG Yuxuan CHN	48.91
27	YANG Tanxin CHN LING Fuyao CHN	48.85

28	TOPPER Lara AUS SKEATE Jasmine AUS	48.83
29	YE Xiwen CHN HUANG Shujun CHN	48.82
30	LIU Ruohan CHN CHI Tianxin CHN	48.78
31	YAMAZAKI Nana JPN INAMURA Yuka JPN	48.64
32	MAO Zhejia CHN LI Shuhan CHN	48.48
33	WANG Cheng Ru CHN ZHAO Jinqiu CHN	48.41
34	CHEN Yuqian CHN YANG Chengxue CHN	48.15
35	LIU Yixuan CHN ZHANG Xihan CHN	48.15
36	LI Shuxian CHN GONG Xinwei CHN	48.05
37	LIU Xinchun CHN GUO Kexin CHN	47.87
38	YAO Wanyan CHN XUE Yuan CHN	47.82
39	HEFFERNAN Iroha JPN WADA Miharuru JPN	47.61
40	WANG Aimi CHN SONG Yunduo CHN	47.59
41	LIU Yaxuan CHN ZHANG Keke CHN	47.55
42	HIRONO Marin JPN MIZOBE Saki JPN	47.48
43	LIU Yiping CHN LIU Yiting CHN	47.25
44	DONG Qianyu CHN SHI Zishen CHN	46.71
45	CAO Claire USA LIANG Avery USA	46.13
46	XU Xinyi CHN CHEN Han CHN	46.00
47	KE Yaxin CHN JIA Runqing CHN	45.56
48	ZHOU Xujia CHN SUN Weiwei CHN	44.20
49	WANG Mingqing CHN ZHI Jingxuan CHN	43.65
50	ZHANG Zhiqiao CHN CHEN Ziyi CHN	43.51
51	ZHANG Xinyue CHN ZHAO Jinyun CHN	42.06
52	LV Ziqi CHN LI Yuchen CHN	41.00
53	CHEN Xingyi CHN LUO Lanzhi CHN	37.84

U21

Under 21 · Pairs

U21

89 PAIRS

RANKING AFTER SESSION 6 · TOP 26 QUALIFY FOR FINAL A

POS	PAIR	%
1	XIAO Jeff USA LIU Yuxuan CHN	60.58
2	GU Chentian CHN LANG Ningyu CHN	59.51
3	HEIBERG-EVENSTAD Nicolai NOR BLICHARZ Maksymilian POL	58.02
4	CHEN Andrew USA CHEN Charlie USA	57.59
5	YAN Junwen CHN MEI Ke CHN	57.54
6	XU Zexuan CHN YANG Yixin CHN	57.16
7	YIN Wei Chen CHN CHEN Yincheng CHN	56.55
8	LUCZECZKO Jan POL GRABALA Szymon POL	56.19
9	FANG Junjie CHN YAN Zheng Hao CHN	56.08
10	GONG Arthur USA YAO Jason USA	56.04
11	HAN Che CHN YANG Yuekai CHN	55.82
12	WNUK Wojciech POL ADAMCZAK Jakub POL	55.73
13	CHAN Ka Yan HKG CHAN Ka Yau HKG	55.19
14	POLAK Milosz POL GLINKA Lukasz POL	55.16
15	EXBRAYAT Theo FRA DUTERTE Gregoire FRA	54.92
16	TSUCHIYA Hiroki JPN MATSUI Eisuke JPN	54.51
17	IZWANTOWSKI Jan POL TOKARSKI Wojciech POL	54.39
18	ZHU Yijie CHN CHEN Yi CHN	54.38
19	WANG Jiachen CHN HONG Ruiqi CHN	54.36
20	WU Chin-Yu TPE CHEN Tzu-Wei TPE	54.34
21	CHAN Spencer HKG SHEK Arian HKG	54.27
22	TENG Zihui HKG LIU Zitong CHN	54.21
23	ASANO Haruki JPN URASAKI Yuji JPN	54.03
24	YAN Shuming CHN LYU Zichuan CHN	53.82
25	HUANG Ziyu CHN SHEN Haoyu CHN	53.73
26	WANG Eric HKG CHAN Gavin HKG	53.52
27	ROULLE Xavier FRA BEN GUIGUI Costa Theodore FRA	53.45
28	LU Kaixin CHN MA Tianlin CHN	53.07
29	BAK Wojciech POL MICHALSKI Jakub POL	52.69
30	OUYANG Chenhao CHN LING Yan CHN	52.45

31	JIN Lin CHN ZHOU Wei Hao CHN	52.42
32	TANG Youqi CHN ZHU Qianqiao CHN	52.29
33	WANG Lingyue CHN XIE Ming Yang CHN	52.11
34	XU Hongyi CHN DENG Jiahao CHN	52.02
35	PENG Youyou CHN LIU Minghan CHN	51.92
36	ZHU Raphael Zhenting CHN WEI Qing Lin CHN	51.73
37	NARANG Jashith IND BANSAL Prakhar IND	51.61
38	BRADKIN Thomas ENG BRADKIN Andrew ENG	51.44
39	YE Zhixin CHN HU Yuetong CHN	51.43
40	GOLD Taydon AUS WILKINSON Jade AUS	51.39
41	CHAN Shing Him HKG HO Ho Hei HKG	51.15
42	CHEN Lingyi CHN XIAO Yifan CHN	50.98
43	GU Weizhuo (Casper) CAN HALL Wilson Yuchen CAN	50.90
44	LI Jiusi CHN DENG Zhewen CHN	50.85
45	SHAH Diya AUS SHAH Dev Darshan AUS	50.83
46	SUZUKI Yunosuke JPN FUJITA Daigen JPN	50.76
47	LIN Fengming CHN WU Yijun CHN	50.70
48	CHIU Hsin-Wei TPE PENG Che-Hsing TPE	50.16
49	YUM Cheuk Lam HKG TAI Chi Ching HKG	50.08
50	HUANG Luotian CHN YANG Qingyi CHN	49.96
51	GU Haojing CHN CHENG Zihua CHN	49.86
52	LIU Yuxiang NED ZHOU Jackson USA	49.58
53	GAO Mingyuan CHN SUN Boyan CHN	49.52
54	ZHANG Ziye CHN LIU Boyue CHN	49.51
55	LIU Siqi CHN HU Zimo CHN	49.40
56	LI Haoyang CHN HU Yuzhe CHN	49.39
57	HARIHARAN Aadhithya AUS SPENCER Remco AUS	49.30
58	WANG Junyi CHN XU Jiahe CHN	49.20
59	WONG Louis Kai Kit HKG LIU Yijun CHN	49.16
60	CAI Yutong HKG XU Jingyang CHN	49.05

61	NI Yuanhan HKG ZHANG Zhaoke CHN	49.02
62	XIE Shangfu CHN LAI Peisheng CHN	48.63
63	LYOEN Esteban FRA CREPIN Lubin FRA	48.50
64	ZHAO Haoran CHN WEI Yixiao CHN	47.66
65	CHEN Tsz Lung HKG CHAN Sum Yiu HKG	47.65
66	YU Miqi CHN XIA Lin CHN	47.56
67	LI Jinpeng CHN LIU Zemin CHN	47.56
68	CHOWDHURY Tirtharaj IND GUPTA Gia IND	47.46
69	MEHTA Aryan IND MUTHUSWAMY Nachiketa IND	47.44
70	WANG Zhuo CHN WANG Junhao CHN	47.33
71	LYU Xincheng CHN WANG Hanting CHN	47.20
72	HUANG Qichen CHN WU Wenghao CHN	46.74
73	FARISSIER Robin FRA LEGRAS Blanche FRA	46.03
74	MA Shuoming CHN ZHU Tianyi CHN	45.93
75	YUAN Zixuan CHN GE Yizheng CHN	45.26
76	CHANG Yino TPE LAI Zi-Ming TPE	44.84
77	YU Xinlun CHN WANG Jingyang CHN	44.74
78	YU Jiaxin CHN SUN Xinyue CHN	44.03
79	AN Xin CHN MA Wanling CHN	43.89
80	YANG Shu CHN YANG Xiao Man CHN	43.82
81	ZHENG Runxi CHN ZHONG Yiming CHN	43.11
82	XIE Youyi CHN LI Minglang CHN	42.82
83	CHEN Zhihao CHN YU Yuhua CHN	42.78
84	QIAN Yilin CHN CHEN Kaiyan CHN	42.23
85	VASILAKIS Vasileios S. USA YANG Ziyue CHN	40.66
86	CHE Junshang CHN FENG Jiayi CHN	38.02
87	ZHANG Qingya CHN ZHAO Ziyi CHN	36.03
88	SONG Yu CHN DENG Xinming CHN	29.00
89	HE Chengkai CHN SONG Chang CHN	25.65

U16

Under 16 · Pairs

U 16

254 PAIRS · PAGE 1/3

RANKING AFTER SESSION 6 · TOP 26 QUALIFY FOR FINAL A

POS	PAIR	%
1	TURANT Krzysztof POL ADAMCZAK Michal POL	62.40
2	HU Yanhua CHN HU Yanjia CHN	61.07
3	MA Xiaoyi CHN LIU Yuliang CHN	60.83
4	CHEN Haoze CHN SONG Yuchen CHN	60.76
5	SHEN Lepeng CHN XU Xiao CHN	60.63
6	WU Xinhao CHN JI Yezhen CHN	60.07
7	ZHANG Yichen CHN SUN Wenlang CHN	59.93
8	HU Junhao CHN MIN Yiming CHN	59.54
9	JIANG Lejia CHN SUN Jingyue CHN	59.53
10	YU Xize CHN ZHENG Mingyang CHN	59.43
11	WANG Chen-An TPE WU Haochen TPE	59.14
12	MA Xiaoran CHN CHEN Jin CHN	59.04
13	LIU Haoran CHN QIU Zhibin CHN	58.99
14	WANG Rui CHN TANG Kaisen CHN	58.94
15	MOE Markus Hansen NOR MOE Brage Hansen NOR	58.85
16	LUO Yichen CHN XU Xuze CHN	58.83
17	BLICHARZ Aleksander ENG MARSHALL Wilf ENG	58.75
18	LI Huiyan CHN FENG You Ning CHN	58.74
19	SHAO Wenjie CHN PAN Junzhe CHN	58.46
20	ZHOU Zinuo CHN LI Yunqi CHN	58.33
21	MROZ Blazej POL KOCHANOWSKI Artur POL	58.24
22	GUO Shengran CHN GONG Yicheng CHN	58.07
23	WANG Di CHN ZHANG Yuran CHN	58.06
24	LI Muchen CHN SONG Xiaogeng CHN	58.06
25	YANG Yushi CHN WANG Mingze CHN	57.74
26	CHEN Sihan CHN XU Zihang CHN	57.70
27	LI Muiyang CHN CHEN Hengrui CHN	57.57
28	ZHOU Hongxi CHN LI Chengyu CHN	57.42
29	SHI Haochen CHN YANG Zirui CHN	57.23

POS	PAIR	%
30	WANG Gaonan CHN GAN Zhanyu CHN	57.14
31	YAO Jessica USA CHEN Leyi USA	57.02
32	KONG Lingxuan CHN LIU Yuekai CHN	56.72
33	HU Haochen CHN HONG Zhenxiang CHN	56.63
34	ZHAO Yingxi CHN CHEN Changnan CHN	56.62
35	YANG Bo-Cheng TPE LIN Meng-De TPE	56.60
36	YE Yuchen CHN CHEN Zimo CHN	56.27
37	OU Chenxi CHN WANG Junting CHN	56.02
38	GAO Shangyuan CHN QIN Jun Nuo CHN	55.96
39	MEHTA Ananya IND GAHROTRA Viha IND	55.89
40	DESCHENES Derek USA LI Demian USA	55.88
41	HONG Fuxuan CHN ZHOU Yihe CHN	55.87
42	WU Zihan CHN CAO Yunru CHN	55.80
43	HU Yixing CHN LI Yanze CHN	55.56
44	LI Zhongxu CHN SONG Yichen CHN	55.55
45	CHEN Xiaoling CHN ZHU Rui Zheng CHN	55.54
46	YIN Zhaoshen CHN LIU Jiashu CHN	55.48
47	GUO Yiyuan CHN CAI Shimo CHN	55.26
48	LIU Yilin CHN LIU Yufan CHN	55.12
49	HUANG Yikai CHN YANG Yihan CHN	55.09
50	BAI Chenghan CHN LIN Brady USA	55.06
51	LIU Encan CHN LIU Xianze CHN	55.02
52	LI Zicheng CHN NIE Zitian CHN	55.00
53	FANG Zhijiang CHN YUAN Jiajun CHN	54.98
54	WANG Junzhe CHN HU Zicheng CHN	54.85
55	SIN Nathan HKG LAU Ngo Ching Charlton HKG	54.39
56	YANG Yiduo CHN LIN Fanxu CHN	54.34
57	ZOU Hao Rui CHN LIU Hangrui CHN	54.31
58	XU Zixuan CHN LI Ruilin CHN	54.21

POS	PAIR	%
59	QIAO Wenyuan CHN QIAO Haowei CHN	54.19
60	JIANG Haodi CHN LV Xiaotang CHN	54.10
61	WANG Yufei CHN TANG Mingyue CHN	54.04
62	SIVAKUMAR Roshand S. IND LAKSHMI NARAYANAN K. S. IND	54.03
63	ZHOU Jitong CHN FANG Chen Yu CHN	53.92
64	ZHANG Shuhao CHN TIAN Jiayi CHN	53.86
65	XU Zixiao CHN JI Zhunxing CHN	53.68
66	SUN Ada AUS WU Alex AUS	53.64
67	HSU Tzu-Ching TPE CHANG Ying-Hsuan TPE	53.61
68	XU Yichen CHN JIANG Tianze CHN	53.59
69	XIA Yuchen CHN YANG Yuxuan CHN	53.57
70	ZHOU Suihao CHN WANG Zi Mu CHN	53.49
71	ZENG Yichen CHN ZENG Xi CHN	53.38
72	FAN Xinyue CHN SAI Ningxin CHN	53.34
73	DENG Oscar HKG CHAN Godfrey HKG	53.26
74	BU Yuyi CHN BEI Rong CHN	53.26
75	CHEN Yankang CHN DAI Zhiyuan CHN	53.17
76	KOT Ethan Graham HKG TSANG Jayson HKG	53.02
77	SONG Xinyuan CHN LI Zilin CHN	53.01
78	ZODYPACHE Adhiyaman IND ZODYPACHE Agilan IND	52.92
79	DAI Zheyu CHN FENG Haochen CHN	52.89
80	LAI Pin-Hsu TPE CHANG Ke-Hsun TPE	52.84
81	CHEN Nuo CHN YAO Zixin CHN	52.83
82	HAN Xinyu CHN ZHANG Chang CHN	52.78
83	XU Youdao CHN YU Yue CHN	52.75
84	ZHANG Yufei CHN JIAO Yicheng CHN	52.69
85	LI Youyi CHN XING Sicheng CHN	52.66
86	GAO Xinghai CHN ZHENG Yuhan CHN	52.44
87	LIN Cheng CHN XIONG Chenyang CHN	52.42

U16

Under 16 · Pairs

U16

254 PAIRS · PAGE 2/3

RANKING AFTER SESSION 6 · TOP 26 QUALIFY FOR FINAL A

POS	PAIR	%
88	QIN Yufan CHN LI Jiaying CHN	52.36
89	DU Yichen CHN GUO Aimo CHN	52.35
90	KOJRO Maria POL SIKORA Anna POL	52.33
91	YU Qingyang CHN QIAN Luodi CHN	52.28
92	LIANG Yaosheng CHN LIANG Yaotong CHN	52.27
93	DONG Jiaqin CHN TANG Junming CHN	52.21
94	HU Yingyue CHN YANG Xintong CHN	52.20
95	CHEN Siheng CHN LIU Mingze CHN	52.18
96	GUO Yichen CHN TANG Ziyun CHN	52.12
97	LI Haochen CHN LU Yizheng CHN	52.10
98	NING Xirun CHN SHEN Yuzhe CHN	52.08
99	GUO Yichen CHN LUAN Shanglin CHN	52.01
100	ZUO Yihong CHN KONG Yinquan CHN	52.01
101	ZHANG Tingrui CHN LI Ziwen CHN	51.98
102	LI Runze CHN ZHANG Xujie CHN	51.74
103	LI Moxuan CHN HU Xiaodi CHN	51.63
104	SUN Yiyang CHN GAO Manhao CHN	51.61
105	LV Xingyi CHN AN Bowen CHN	51.61
106	QIN Xichen CHN WANG Zhen CHN	51.60
107	GAO Jiaqi CHN CAO Yongxuan CHN	51.56
108	BRAYSHAW Oliver AUS BRAYSHAW Lizzy AUS	51.55
109	WEI Chenming CHN LIU Ziqian CHN	51.50
110	CHENG Yushuo TPE TANG Yunghan TPE	51.47
111	ZHANG Lifu CHN PAN Xiaoyi CHN	51.42
112	LI Xinyan CHN WANG Xinyi CHN	51.41
113	HUANG Xiaomu CHN PAN Ye CHN	51.41
114	DU Yi CHN ZHU Yiling CHN	51.40
115	WANG Yubo CHN DING Junqi CHN	51.39
116	GUO Chenghao CHN LI Tianlin CHN	51.38

POS	PAIR	%
117	LI Yicheng CHN YANG Chu CHN	51.13
118	QI Zifeng CHN CHI Juntong CHN	51.13
119	ZHANG Bojun CHN JIA Shufan CHN	51.09
120	MA Junlong CHN ZHANG Yicheng CHN	51.00
121	ZHANG Delong CHN LIU Xiaoyu CHN	50.99
122	GU Zicheng CHN HUANG Jiaming CHN	50.93
123	LIU Meilin CHN ZANG Tianyue CHN	50.71
124	HE Sihan CHN WU Qianyi CHN	50.55
125	SUN Mengxian CHN LI Jayden USA	50.53
126	CHEN Qiuyu CHN DANG Zijin CHN	50.52
127	WEI Kevin USA HUANG Sizhe USA	50.27
128	YANG Jingyao CHN LIU Rui Qi CHN	50.21
129	XU Zixuan CHN ZHU Baining CHN	50.21
130	SHUI Yanxi CHN SHEN Jiayue CHN	50.20
131	XU Boning CHN ZOU Kevin Yichen USA	50.14
132	AI Boxuan CHN HU Jiashuo CHN	50.13
133	YU Xiyu CHN SHE Xirui CHN	50.08
134	NI Jiaming CHN WU Chenyu CHN	50.06
135	LI Xingchen CHN ZHU Junya CHN	49.98
136	LAI Zi-Qing TPE WU Tzu Mo TPE	49.97
137	FAN Yuxuan CHN YANG Yize CHN	49.96
138	ZHOU Jiaxu CHN ZHOU Jiachen CHN	49.96
139	CHEN Chengnuo CHN WU Mingxiao CHN	49.95
140	QIAN Kexin CHN HU Suhua CHN	49.87
141	GU Jingtian CHN ZHANG Yuanran CHN	49.81
142	TANG Laize CHN YU Dixin CHN	49.79
143	ZHOU Haoxuan CHN GAN Xiao Xing CHN	49.76
144	MAO Jiarui CHN YANG Junjie CHN	49.64
145	ZHANG Zhongcheng CHN HUO Shuxiao CHN	49.61

POS	PAIR	%
146	YANG Tim CHN QIAN Yan CHN	49.58
147	KOCHANOWSKI Adam POL SKORUPA Piotr POL	49.55
148	JI Qige CHN XU Peilin CHN	49.47
149	ZHANG Luowei CHN WAN Qingyang CHN	49.41
150	ZHAO Peixu CHN YIN Xingyun CHN	49.28
151	LI Yimeng CHN CHEN Muyi USA	49.28
152	HU Yihao CHN FU Junwei CHN	49.27
153	LIU Pengze CHN JI Cunzhi CHN	49.18
154	LIN Yong-Cing TPE LIU Jung-Chiao TPE	49.10
155	MEN Yi CHN DING Tianche CHN	49.07
156	FU Shanzhi CHN ZHU Chenxi CHN	49.05
157	SONG Sean USA FU James USA	49.00
158	LUAN Jialin CHN LIU Changyue CHN	48.97
159	SUN Weixuan CHN SUN Yusong CHN	48.97
160	WU Xianuo CHN YE Sier CHN	48.96
161	LI Yuxen CHN ZHU Yuexuan CHN	48.85
162	WANG Yuxuan CHN DU Xinheng CHN	48.85
163	AN Zixin CHN LIU Xinbai CHN	48.80
164	CHEN Yifeng CHN LIU Yidian CHN	48.79
165	MAO Yuan CHN MENG Weitang CHN	48.69
166	GONG Ruohan CHN XU Zi Ran CHN	48.43
167	ZHU Yimo CHN LIU Bai CHN	48.36
168	LIU Pengyi CHN LU Yutong CHN	48.35
169	ZHOU Wenlue CHN XIAO Baichuan CHN	48.28
170	LIU Nian CHN WEI Alan Youjun USA	48.24
171	WANG Yueyue CHN XIE Han Yue CHN	48.22
172	WANG Shi CHN ZHANG Shengxin CHN	48.21
173	DONG Mingyang CHN LI Yuansheng CHN	48.20
174	XIN Yutong CHN HU Zuming CHN	48.18

U16

Under 16 · Pairs

U 16

254 PAIRS · PAGE 3/3

RANKING AFTER SESSION 6 · TOP 26 QUALIFY FOR FINAL A

POS	PAIR	%
175	WANG Zhaomeng CHN MA Rui Yang CHN	48.03
176	LI Yuanfu CHN LI Xinyang CHN	47.95
177	HUANG Qiyuan CHN CHEN Yihe CHN	47.90
178	WU Tzu Tung TPE TSAI Chih Ying TPE	47.90
179	CHEN Bojia CHN YU Qingzhi CHN	47.83
180	FENG Sizhe CHN ZHOU Yifeng CHN	47.72
181	YU Hanqing CHN LIU Yaxuan CHN	47.71
182	LIU Muchen CHN LI Chenshuo CHN	47.61
183	LI Yingshu CHN ZHU Yuxi CHN	47.47
184	CHEN Kyo USA SHENG Kaining USA	47.46
185	ZHAO Yiran CHN LI Jinyang CHN	47.35
186	LU Liwen CHN SHU Zini CHN	47.32
187	CHUNG Peichun TPE PENG Yukai TPE	47.22
188	ZENG Ruitian CHN KANG Chao Quan CHN	47.16
189	WANG Yucheng CHN CHEN Bojun CHN	46.94
190	QI Yunsong CHN WANG Zhousheng CHN	46.59
191	LI Jingge CHN FANG Qiuran CHN	46.58
192	GUO Alvin USA ZHANG Jeremy USA	46.41
193	LI Yuyang CHN YU Shuyang CHN	46.25
194	LIN Zhiyan CHN ZHANG Xuanyang CHN	46.25
195	GAO Maotong CHN ZHOU Yuheng CHN	46.19
196	ZHANG Dixin CHN LI Youchen CHN	46.09
197	LAI Tong-Yao TPE HSIEH Cheng-Yu TPE	46.05
198	WEI Larry USA WU Ray USA	46.02
199	NAN Kaijin CHN HUANG Junchi CHN	45.89
200	PANG Yuqing CHN CHI Zixin CHN	45.49
201	TANG Sizhe CHN YANG Qianyu CHN	45.36

POS	PAIR	%
202	DING Shuo CHN LIN Yutian CHN	45.36
203	LEI Kaize CHN XIANG Zeyuan CHN	45.32
204	SHENTU Yihan CHN ZHOU Jiaan CHN	45.23
205	XU Luhe CHN YU Xiaojie CHN	45.17
206	LI Ruitong CHN ZHAO Yi Chen CHN	45.09
207	LI Yiyang CHN YIN Mao Kun CHN	45.05
208	HUNTER Genevieve AUS LU Dongming (Solcita) AUS	45.01
209	CHEN Yutong CHN ZHENG Mocheng CHN	44.81
210	DAI Yuechen CHN ZHANG Leqi CHN	44.78
211	ZHAO Yuejia CHN ZHAO Qizhi CHN	44.75
212	CHEN Yu CHN LING Jiarui CHN	44.41
213	HAO Maode CHN TENG Qinyu CHN	44.29
214	LI Mingyang CHN DING Siqi CHN	44.16
215	ZENG Rong CHN YANG Tianran CHN	44.10
216	SUN Yitong CHN WANG Junyao CHN	44.08
217	YANG Sihao CHN WANG Guangqiao CHN	43.92
218	CHE Mu Xin CHN XIE Xiye CHN	43.73
219	YANG Shikun CHN GUO Jinchen CHN	43.73
220	YAO Zhixiang HKG HU Junxi HKG	43.71
221	YANG Zhenming CHN LIN Shi Xuan CHN	43.51
222	ZHOU Yiru CHN XU Funing CHN	43.15
223	WU Zishu CHN JIA Yuncheng CHN	42.80
224	LAI Marcus HKG SHUM Rio HKG	42.78
225	WANG Muchen CHN LI Yu Heng CHN	42.75
226	YU Shihao CHN FAN Moxuan CHN	42.68
227	HUANG Yiqi CHN LIU Tie Lin CHN	42.58
228	SATISH Saikritick IND MOHANKA Rashee IND	42.41

POS	PAIR	%
229	DING Yonglin CHN LU Boling CHN	42.23
230	MENG Zhengqi CHN SUN Hanqi CHN	42.22
231	WANG Shuran CHN MA Ruiyang CHN	42.21
232	ZHENG Zhuozhi CHN ZHANG Deren CHN	41.87
233	HE Pei Ran CHN MA Haochen CHN	41.64
234	GAO Luoshen CHN ZHANG Chengxin CHN	41.62
235	WU Mario ITA XU Zikai CHN	41.56
236	WANG Yike CHN LIU Xiaolin CHN	41.46
237	WU Yichen CHN ZHANG Runqian CHN	41.25
238	LIU Yibo CHN HU Xinrui CHN	41.07
239	XIN Yue CHN XU Yiyao CHN	40.24
240	SUN Ruo Han CHN FENG Yuchen CHN	40.12
241	LIU Siyan CHN XIE Miaolin CHN	39.21
242	SAENYASIRI Victor HKG LIU Ruoyuxi HKG	38.42
243	ZENG Ziyue CHN JIN Zichen CHN	38.36
244	LI Jianing CHN WANG Aiwen CHN	38.08
245	DU Aixin CHN ZENG Sihao CHN	37.94
246	LAO Junxi CHN LIU Yunze CHN	37.78
247	GONG Yuxuan CHN ZUO Zhuan CHN	37.16
248	LI Liya USA LI Jinyang CHN	36.20
249	WANG Maiyu CHN YAO Jingqi CHN	34.85
250	LI Qihong CHN YAN Silei CHN	33.50
251	XIE Hanlin CHN TANG Junyang CHN	32.81
252	SHEN Shiyuan CHN TU Manni CHN	32.50
253	WANG Xichen CHN JIN Yanxi CHN	30.79
254	CHANG Kaishuo TPE CHUANG Yung-Ching TPE	30.75

U31

Under 31 · Pairs

U31
34 PAIRS

RANKING AFTER SESSION 6 · TOP 14 QUALIFY FOR FINAL A

POS	PAIR	%
1	ROY Sagnik IND KUSHARI Sayantan IND	59.38
2	ZENG Guiqiang CHN HUANG Wenfeng CHN	57.13
3	QU Pengqiao CHN HE Xuanting CHN	56.97
4	WRIGHT Sebastian AUS REID Jeremy AUS	56.90
5	FERENCA Matko CRO KATUSIC Filip CRO	55.53
6	CHENG Chaofeng CHN OUYANG Tingting CHN	55.24
7	HAN Jixiao CHN WANG Zian CHN	54.75
8	PATEL Vidhya IND BAR Surajit IND	54.20
9	FLICKER Damon AUS GOSS Alex AUS	54.17
10	YAO Jiajia CHN WU Yuncheng CHN	54.04
11	LIU Haochen CHN YAN Tianyao CHN	53.78
12	BHATT Anshul IND ACHARYA Shubham IND	53.22
13	WANG Wei CHN WU Enzong CHN	52.70
14	WANG Yiwen CHN YUAN Chen CHN	52.31
15	XIANG Qiufeng CHN ZHANG Subowen CHN	52.28
16	LIAO Yufan CHN LI Ziyu CHN	52.21
17	XU Shuang CHN LI Xin CHN	51.76

18	XU Weihong CHN MI Sixuan CHN	51.46
19	LIU Nianyi CHN QIN Nanhai CHN	51.11
20	SONG Yihan JPN INAMI Terushi JPN	50.88
21	WANG Yuyang CHN WANG Yuming CHN	50.59
22	CHENG Wenyi CHN SHEN Yining CHN	49.93
23	QI Yiwei AUS LEUNG Chi Wai AUS	48.80
24	CHEN Pin-Zhang TPE LEE Po Hsien TPE	47.92
25	YAN Yuhao CHN XU Yanyu CHN	47.69
26	LIN Xuan-da TPE YE Wei Ting TPE	45.96
27	HONG Sen CHN YU Jilun CHN	45.80
28	FANG Yangchun CHN CHEN Xiangnan CHN	45.54
29	FANG Yohsin TPE TSOU Yu En TPE	45.35
30	GUO Songyuan CHN HE Ziang CHN	45.31
31	SRIVASTAVA Rohan USA BURDEN Rilee USA	44.50
32	XIAO Yihan USA LIU Shuxin CHN	40.17
33	KIZITO Anold UGA SEBUUFU Andrew Douglas UGA	33.07
34	EGULWA Reagan UGA MUSINGUZI Shanitah UGA	29.36