

## Issue No. II

Wednesday, 25th September 2019

## YOU CAN'T KEEP A GOOD TEAM DOWN

At the start of play in the Bermuda Bowl quarter-finals on Tuesday, USA I was down to England by 63 IMPs with 48 boards to play. England had looked strong in taking the big lead, so prospects were not good for the Americans. When the quarter-final was over, USA I was celebrating a remarkable comeback after outscoring their opponents 140-44 to win 195-I62. USA I, the leading round robin qualifier, are now in the semifinals with a chance to capture another title. All members of the team have world championships to their credit.
That was not the only excitement in the final day of quarter-final play. In the d'Orsi Senior Trophy, Denmark made it to the semi-final with a I-IMP win over France. Denmark will play India, who advanced with a 3-IMP win over Chinese Taipei.

Today's Programme Bermuda Bowl, Venice Cup, d'Orsi Trophy, Wuhan Cup<br>Semi-finals<br>10.00-12.20<br>13.30-15.50<br>16.30-18.50<br>Transnational<br>Qualification - Swiss<br>10.00-II. 30<br>I 1.50-13.20<br>14.00-I5.30<br>15.50-17.20<br>17.40-19.10

## All Semi-final matches will be shown on BBO

In the VuGraph theater you can see:
10.00 SFI

VC China - Netherlands VG/BBOI
13.30 SF2

BB
USAI - Poland
VG/BBOI

### 16.30

To be decided
BBOA


## Wuhan Grand Prix

| Teams who do not qualify for the knockout phase of the Transnational can play " in the Wuhan Grand Prix on Thursday and Friday. You can play in one or | both of these Board-a-Match events. Register online or at the Hospitality Desk. - There is no entry fee, provided you have played in the Transnational Teams.
「if you have left your mobile phone at the Hospitality Desk iduring play, please make sure you collect it as soon as i possible after the last round! Otherwise, you risk it
i being retained overnight!

## Press Conference

| The WBF Press Conference will be held on Friday 27 September at 9.00 in the - YOU HAO PALACE at the 3rd floor of the Venue.
 Closing Ceremony
| The prize giving and closing ceremony will take place in the playing area
| (Changjiang Hall) on Saturday 28 September at 19.30, followed by a Buffet dinner : in the Golden Ballroom on the 5th floor (the venue for the opening ceremony). | If you are planning to attend you must inform the Hospitality Desk, and - collect your invitation, otherwise you risk not being admitted.

DISCLAIMER
All material published in the Bulletins is the responsibility of its author.
Nothing published in these Bulletins may be reproduced without the express
permission of the WBF.
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The WBF in social media
You can follow us on:



## IMPORTANT INFO FOR THE TRANSNATIONAL TEAMS

Minimum play requirements for Masterpoint awards
Notwithstanding
anything that may ap-

pear elsewhere the fol-
lowing shall apply:
a) In the Rounds of 32,16 and 8 combined: At least one third of the boards played by the team.
b) In the Semi-final: At least one of the two sessions.
c) In the Final: At least one of the three sessions.
Carry-over for teams dropping in to the
third day of Swiss Qualifying
Notwithstanding what is stated in § 8.2.3.iii of the Supplemental Conditions of Contest the following shall apply:
The teams will receive the same no. of VPs as the team in 32 nd position after round 10 , but will not face each other in round II.

The Championship's Committee

## Championship offer

The new dealing machines that are [only] used during the championships will be sold at the end for EUR 2299. Price incl. aluminium carrying case and five years warranty. Cards and boards are also sold at special prices. Shipping at subsidised rates from Sweden. See the Duplimate stand or email anna@jannersten.com


## Misdefend this Hand with Me in Wuhan

## by Mark Horton

At the start of the fourth session of a long match, I pick up a promising collection:

Dealer South. None Vul.

- AK 2
$\bigcirc 83$
$\diamond$ A QJIO 86
* K 2

I start with le, which in our system promises a strong hand. When West overcalls 4s my partner doubles and I decide to pass, leaving us with this brief exchange:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - | - | - | $19^{*}$ |
| 4 | Dble | All Pass |  |

My partner leads the $\diamond 9$ and dummy has bits and pieces:


When declarer plays dummy's two I follow with the six and declarer plays the five. Partner continues with the missing diamond and declarer again plays low from dummy, ruffs my ten and plays the $\uparrow \mathrm{Q}$. I win with the ace as partner follows with the five. If partner has the we can do some
serious damage, but laying down the eK would be speculative. Trusting that partner has a second spade I exit with the $\diamond 8$. Declarer pitches the 9 and when partner cannot produce a trump dummy's king wins and declarer ruffs a diamond high and plays the 9 . I can win that, but it proves to be the last trick for the defence, as declarer ruffs my diamond exit and eventually takes the heart finesse to arrive at ten tricks.
This was the layout:

## Dealer South. None Vul.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - } 5 \\
& \text {-KJ9652 } \\
& \diamond 93 \\
& \text { \& } 1653
\end{aligned}
$$



Post mortem
There was no need to take the risk that partner held only one trump. Exiting with a heart at trick four is one way to ensure the demise of the contract. Cashing the A is also possible. If North does not signal for clubs a heart switch is then the winning defence.
At the other table, South unerringly switched to the $\triangle 8$.

is WIN GREAT PRIZES AND WBF ONLINE MASTERPOINTS! is
To play in these fun, enjoyable Robot Tournaments, just go to: https://www.funbridge.com and download their application.

The ranking lists can be found at: http://robot.wbfmasterpoints.com/ and these give details of the titles achieved and the master point awards.

At the end of 2019 the leading three players in the 2019 overall ranking, determined on their best results achieved over a minimum of 100 tournaments will be invited to participate in the 2020 World Championships with a partner of their choice.

## Bermuda Bowl



## Venice Cup



## d'Orsi Trophy



Wuhan Cup


CHINA

ENGLAND

FRANCE
Jien CHEN, Zhengjiang LIAO, Ping WANG, Haotian WU, Shaohong WU, Zhaobin XIE, Bangxiang ZHANG captain, Bangxiang ZHANG coach
Sally BROCK, Fiona BROWN, Michael BYRNE, Frances HINDEN, Barry MYERS, Graham OSBORNE, Paul BARDEN captain

Benedicte CRONIER, Philippe CRONIER, Nathalie FREY,
Daniele GAVIARD, Jerome ROMBAUT, Frederic VOLCKER, Francois COMBESCURE captain
LATVIA Jelena ALFEJEVA, Janis BETHERS, Maija ROMANOVSKA, Karlis RUBINS, Karlis RUBINS captain, Martins LORENCS coach
ROMANIA Mihaela BALINT, Marius IONITA, Bogdan MARINA, Geta MIHAI, Radu MIHAI, Marina STEGAROIU, Bogdan MARINA captain
RUSSIA Alexander DUBININ, Alexej GERASIMOV, Andrey GROMOV, Anna GULEVICH, Tatiana PONOMAREVA, Olga VOROBEYCHIKOVA
USA I
Cheri BJERKAN, Allan GRAVES, Christal HENNER, Uday IVATURY, jill MEYERS, Howard WEINSTEIN, Joe STOKES captain
USA 2
Huub BERTENS, Debbie ROSENBERG, Andrew ROSENTHAL, Chris WILLENKEN, Jenny WOLPERT, Migry ZUR-CAMPANILE, Jeff AKER captain

## Repeating Suicide Squeeze

by Brian Senior

The Australian Seniors team picked up a nice swing on this deal from Round 5 of the Transnational Open Teams Swiss qualifying stage. In one room their opponents decided that the spade stopper was inadequate for 3NT and stopped in 30 , just making for +140 . In the other room, Peter Buchen and lan Thomson, for Australia Seniors, bid to 3NT via the auction below.

Round 5. Board I8. Dealer East. N/S Vul.


Two Clubs was natural and game-forcing and Thomson's double of the overcall was not penalty but showed hearts. Buchen asked partner for a spade stopper and Thomson duly bid 3 NT as requested.
West led the nine of spades and East ducked to keep open communications with his partner. Thomson won the queen of spades and played a spade straight back to East!
East was now in the position of having to either cash the spades or probably never getting another opportunity to do so. A heart switch is best on the actual layout and leads to the demise of the contract, but East, as would many of us, decided to cash the spade winners and hope for the best.
On the third spade, West could pitch a club. On the fourth, declarer threw a heart from hand and a club from dummy, while West discarded a heart. But the fifth spade left West without recourse. In practice, he pitched a second club so that was that - declarer threw a heart from each hand and had one spade, one heart, three diamonds and four clubs, so nine in all - but it would not have mattered had West chosen to unguard one of the red suits instead.
Say that West throws a second heart. Declarer can win East's diamond exit and play ace and another heart to the jack, and that squeezes West again in the minors. Or perhaps West chooses to unguard the diamonds? Declarer wins East's return and cashes the 8 A then all the diamonds, and this time West is squeezed in hearts and clubs. It is a repeating squeeze and, once East decides to cash his spade winners, there is no escape. By giving up the club guard first, West merely deprived declarer of the pleasure of operating the repeating part of the squeeze.


The Australian Seniors team, now competing in the Transnational


After the first segment of all four Mixed Teams quarterfinals, the scores were by no means very close, with one exception. Both Latvia and Romania were well ahead against England and USA 2. China were leading USA I by 12 IMPs and the only close match was Russia v France, with Russia leading by just 4 IMPs.
There had been no carry-overs, so the IMPs scored were coming directly from the matches in progress. Russia and France had already scored 80 between them over the first segment and Russia had added two more since then, so with the score at 44-38 to Russia, this was Board 19.

Board I9. Dealer South. E/WVul.
\& K 3
๑98754
$\diamond$ AK 106
\& 2


## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B Cronier | Vorobeychikova $P$ Cronier | Gerasimov |  |
| - | - | - | 2 |
| 39 | $4 \infty$ | $5 \%$ | All Pass |

Gerasimov's pre-empting level was not high enough to silence Bénédicte Cronier. For Philippe, a further bid was automatic, independent of any action taken by North or not. With many trump tricks for the taking and only two losers, I I tricks were always there; France a fine +600 .
Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gromov | Volcker | Gulevich | Frey |
| - | - | - | $3 ¢$ |
| Pass | $4 \infty$ | All Pass |  |

Overcalling at the level of four was asking just too much from Gromov. Volcker, however, found a bid, so the French ended up in game at this table, too. With the diamonds $4-I$ and the hearts $3-3$, the defenders need to prevent declarer establishing hearts. They can do it by having West shift to a diamond early to remove one of dummy' s late entries, but he did not do so; another +420 and 14 IMPs to France.
And next:

Board 20. Dealer West. All Vul.
Q QJ 964
Q QJ 53
$\diamond$ J 7

- 42


Open Room
West
B Cronier
18
$4>$

## All Pass

South found a nice revealing double that, for a change, was only useful to her own side. Well timed, down two and +500 to Russia.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gromov | Volcker | Gulevich | Frey |
| 18 | Pass | $1{ }^{1}$ | $2 \checkmark$ |
| 28 | Pass | Pass | 3\% |
| 38 | All Pass |  |  |

The quieter approach by Gromov paid its dividends when he escaped undoubled, and moreover went one trick less down; France only + 100 but 9 IMPs to Russia.
Five more Russian IMPs on slightly better part-scores and then a case of right-siding a game contract.

Board 23. Dealer South. All Vul.


| Open Room |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| West | North | East | South |
| B Cronier | Vorobeychikova | P Cronier | Gerasimov |
| - | - | - | $19 *$ |
| Pass | 12 | Pass | 2 |
| Pass | 39 | Pass | $3 N T$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

After the Strong Club start, diamonds were never mentioned in the Russian auction. What is more, as the cards lie, East will never get the lead. West did her best and led the $\diamond Q$ but declarer won the king in hand, unblocked the top clubs and tried a spade. West ducked this and declarer cashed dummy's clubs and diamond and exited in spades, emerging with +630 . If Bénédicte wins the first spade, she could try another diamond but she will be endplayed later anyway.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gromov | Volcker | Gulevich | Frey |
| - | - | - | 18 |
| Pass | $1 \Phi$ | Pass | $2 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $2 N T$ | Pass | $3 N T$ |

After a different auction, Gulevich as East seized her only chance to lead anything with both hands. She pulled out the $\bigcirc \mathrm{J}$. Declarer, not knowing that this lead had been from $\oslash \mathrm{Jx}$, did not go for an endplay but simply finessed the 89 on his way back to hand later after dummy's $\vee \mathrm{Q}$ lost the first trick to the $\triangleleft K$. When West won the $\vee 10$, that was the defence's fifth trick, to add to the 8 K , a diamond and the top spades. The play had gone: $\vee \mathrm{K}, \diamond \mathrm{J}$ back to the king, two clubs, $\diamond A$ and two more clubs and then the failing heart finesse; Russia another +100 and 12 IMPs more to them.
Once again, the difference in pre-empting level decided the outcome of a board on deal 24:

Board 24. Dealer West. None Vul.

- A 93
- Q 6

85
\& K QJ 1062

4. 6

A 43
$\diamond A K Q$
2987543

- K 87

คK 109872
$\diamond 10932$
\& -

## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B Cronier | Vorobeychikova | P Cronier | Gerasimov |
| $3 \mathbf{3}$ | Pass | $4 \boldsymbol{e}$ | All Pass |

Looking at four tricks, Philippe had no option but to bid one more. Well done; France +420 . West's $\diamond$ J was the gamegoing trick, of course.
The contract might have been defeated easily (?), had South ruffed partner's cK lead at trick one. When he discarded a heart, not knowing that a ruff would not see declarer's club loser fall on thin air, declarer was home.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gromov | Volcker | Gulevich | Frey |
| $2 \&$ | $3 \&$ | Dble | $3 \wedge$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

In spite of the 100 honours, 3 would not have been a pleasure for declarer. When $3 \bigcirc$ escaped without a double, Frey went two down in peace for +100 to Russia but 8 IMPs to France.

Board 26. Dealer East. All Vul.

```
- 5
QK7632
\(\diamond\) Q 43
\& K Q 74
```

| ¢ 32 | N | ¢ K Q 108764 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 194$ |  | $\bigcirc$ A 108 |
| $\diamond$ AJ 109862 | W E | $\diamond 7$ |
| \& 10 | S | \& A 3 |
|  | - AJ 9 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q 5 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K 5 |  |
|  | ¢ 98652 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B Cronier | Vorobeychikova | P Cronier | Gerasimov |
| - | - | Is | Pass |
| INT | Pass | $4 \varrho$ | All Pass |

If, before turning your attention to trumps, you use dummy's two entries to play hearts, you will bring home your spade game with ten tricks. That's exactly what Philippe Cronier did, of course; France +620 .
Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gromov | Volcker | Gulevich | Frey |
| - | - | 19 | Pass |
| INT | Dble | $3 \Phi$ | 3NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Gulevich's 3s was not high enough to deter Frey. Everybody was happy after her 3NT bid (or maybe not) and the contract went two down on a spade lead. The defence simply held too many outside aces to give declarer any chance at all; Russia +200 but another 9 IMPs to France, who at this point were trailing by just I IMP: 69-70. A better-scoring game and an overtrick had made it 7469 to Russia when Board 30 arrived:

Board 30. Dealer East. None Vul.

| ¢ A K |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 1$ |  |
| $\checkmark$ A Q 42 |  |
| \% QJ9632 |  |
| N | ¢ 98543 |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A 9 |
|  | $\diamond$ K 975 |
| S | ¢ A 10 |
| , Q 6 |  |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 10762$ |  |
| $\checkmark 1086$ |  |
| \& K 85 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B Cronier | Vorobeychikova | P Cronier | Gerasimov |
| - | - | I | Pass |
| 24 | 32 | Pass | 38 |
| Pass | $3 N T$ | All Pass |  |

What now? Lead the unpromising partnership suit, spades, or try something else? Philippe Cronier led a diamond, which cost a vital tempo. In the end, declarer even made two overtricks; Russia +460 .


Andrey Gromov, Russia

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gromov | Volcker | Gulevich | Frey |
| - | - | IS | Pass |
| 34 | $3 N T$ | All Pass |  |

Different auction, same contract, but a different lead and different outcome. Two down on a spade lead; Russia +100 and another II IMPs to them.
Another lead problem against 3NT on the next board.
Board 3I. Dealer South. N/S Vul.
\& AJ 105
$\bigcirc 4$
$\diamond A 9652$
\& K Q 7

| - 86 | N | - 9432 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ¢K 10653 |  | - Q 82 |
| $\checkmark$ KJ | W E | $\checkmark$ Q 103 |
| - AJ 104 | S | +653 |
|  | - K Q 7 |  |
|  | ¢AJ97 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 874$ |  |
|  | +982 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B Cronier | Vorobeychikova | P Cronier | Gerasimov |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| I $\varnothing$ | $2 \triangleleft$ | Pass | 2NT |
| Pass | 34 | Pass | 3NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

West led the ${ }^{\rho}$ and persisted with clubs after winning the first diamond trick. Declarer had all the time in the world to come to 10 tricks; Russia +630 .
Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gromov | Volcker | Gulevich | Frey |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| I $\varnothing$ | Dble | 2 | Dble |
| Pass | 3 | Pass | 3NT |

## All Pass

Gulevich's polite heart raise was all Gromov needed to decide that leading the partnership's suit might be best. Right he was when the first heart produced the queen and ace. When East correctly overtook West's $\diamond$ J at the next trick (declarer ducking a diamond) and pushed a heart through, Gromov, who still held the eA, simply established the 13th heart. One down; Russia another +100 and another 12 IMPs to them. They had won the segment 5537 and extended their lead to 22 IMPs at 97-75.

## The Icing on the Cake

by Brian Senior

Roughly a third of the field made $5 \diamond / \vee$ on the E/W cards on this deal from Set Four of the quarter-finals, so those N/Ss who bought the hand in 4s were already ahead of the game. Actually making 4s was therefore just the icing on the cake.

Board 22. Dealer East. E/W Vul.


In the Open match between China and Poland, Zheng Jun Shi received the lead of the king of hearts from Poland's Jacek Kalita, who continued with the $\triangle Q$, Michal Nowosadzki overtaking with the ace and switching to the ten of diamonds to the jack, king and ace. Shi ruffed a diamond, ruffed his last heart, then ruffed dummy's last diamond. Only now was it time to play on trumps, and when Kalita proved to have the bare ace he was endplayed, forced to either give a ruff and discard or lead away from the king of clubs, either of which would give the contract; +420 .
Four Spades was also the contract at the other table, were Gang Chen also led the king of hearts. However, at trick two he cashed the ace of spades before continuing with the $\vee$ Q. Zejun Zhuang overtook and he too found the wrong minor-suit switch, but it didn't matter as, with the A out of the way, Chen could sit and wait for declarer to take the club finesse; down one.
Altogether, 22 Souths played in 44, with 10 making and 12 going down.


## Strip Squeeze Missed

by Povl Sommer, captain of the Danish Mixed team
In the quarter-final match between Denmark and France both Wests went down in a possible slam on Board 20 of Set Four.

Board 20. Dealer West. All Vul.

- 1094
$\bigcirc 92$
$\triangleleft 7653$
* J 1064

| ¢ K 863 | N | - Q 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 5$ |  | $\bigcirc$ AQ 1064 |
| $\diamond$ AK Q J | W E | $\checkmark 82$ |
| \& K Q 2 | S | ¢ A 853 |
|  | ¢ A J 75 |  |
|  | ¢ J 873 |  |
|  | $\diamond 1094$ |  |
|  | \% 97 |  |

Both defenders, Nielsen and Lebel, led the ten of spades against 6 NT . Now both declarers covered with the queen, losing to South's ace, and back came the five of spades. Now there was no chance as the cards lay.
If declarer had decided to play the two of spades from the table at trick one, the ten would have run to his king. He could try the three top clubs, getting the bad news that the clubs were four-two, then take all four diamonds, discarding one heart and the useless fourth club. South has to discard two low spades to keep his heart guard, and declarer cashes the king and ace of hearts. Declarer pauses to check what is going on but, after South has discarded the jack of spades, the actual layout is a huge favourite. Accordingly, he exits with the queen of spades to South's ace and the heart return round to the queen-ten gives the contract.
I wonder if any declarer at any of the other 30 tables made 6NT this way?


The answer to Povl's question is that three declarers made 6NT in the suggested fashion. They were Makiko Sato of the Japanese Venice Cup team, Jill Meyers of USA I Mixed, and Hans Vergoed of Netherlands Seniors. Well done to them.A total of I 8 declarers who received a spade lead went down (ed.).


## Ron Tacchi

After two stanzas of six, USA I hold a mere twenty IMP lead over Sweden - the closest of the four quarter-finals. With 64 boards still to play, the match becomes more than just a question of skill, but of concentration and focus. The teams have already endured eight days of relentless competition; now they must maintain their discipline and play in the moment. Sweden obviously needs to peg back the American team so as to start the second day on an even footing, whilst their opponents will be keen to deliver a knock-out blow.
Team USA I employ five-card majors with two-over-one and a strong NT which occasionally is $14-16$ for the Stansby/Shi partnership. Similar methods are employed by their opponents but Övelius/Clementsson at times use a weak no-trump.

| Board I. Dealer North. None Vul. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ¢ 104 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ A Q 9763 |  |  |
| $\diamond 95$ |  |  |
| \% 109 |  |  |
| ¢ AJ6 N ¢ Q 973 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ J1054 |  |  |
| $\diamond 63$ W E $\quad \checkmark$ AQ 72 |  |  |
| \% K Q 87 S C ( 6542 |  |  |
|  | ¢ K 852 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 82$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ KJIO 84 |  |
|  | 93 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Övelius | Stansby | Clementsson Shi |  |
| - | $2 \varangle$ | Dble | $3 \varangle$ |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |



Sylvia Shi, USA I

Nobody did anything obviously foolish, but the result did not give that appearance. North led the fourth highest of her longest and strongest to South's king of hearts and she returned the eight to the ten and queen with dummy discarding one diamond and one spade. North continued with the nine of diamonds and declarer rose with dummy's ace. The queen of spades was fatally covered by the king and ace. Declarer now cashed her two high clubs and led a third. I suspect a fingerfehler as she inexplicably played a small one from dummy, her nine tricks (the spade ten falls and gives declarer an entry to dummy to cash the fifth club) suddenly shrinking to four.
Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sanborn | Rimstedt | Levitina | Grönkvist |
| - | $3\rangle$ | Dble | $4 》$ |

## Dble <br> All Pass

The exuberance of youth (anyone younger than fifty is a youth to me) allowed North to value her hand worthy of a three-level pre-empt, and she soon found herself in Four Hearts doubled. There were five tricks to lose for -300 and II IMPs out rather than 3 IMPs in.
On Board 2 Sweden lost a further 5 IMPs from two onelevel contracts, one failing and one making.

Board 7. Dealer South. All Vul.
© 4

- A Q 63
$\diamond 9763$
AK 43


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Övelius | Stansby | Clementsson | Shi |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| 18 | Pass | 18 | Pass |
| 21 | Pass | 2NT* | Pass |
| 4 ${ }^{*}$ | All Pass |  |  |

2NT was apparently some form of game try. Some of us might have contented ourselves with a mere 38 with the West hand. The cards were not kindly placed for declarer, so after the lead of eAK she failed by three tricks.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sanborn | Rimstedt | Levitina | Grönkvist |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| I $\&$ | Pass | Is | Pass |
| $2 \Phi$ | Dble | Rdbl | 2 NT $^{*}$ |
| $3 \Phi$ | All Pass |  |  |

After the intervention by $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{S}$, East was not encouraged to risk a game contract. South led the queen of diamonds, won by declarer's ace, and she then cashed the king discarding one of dummy's losing clubs. A heart to the jack and queen followed and North cashed the king of clubs and exited with a diamond, ruffed in dummy. The king of hearts brought the ace from North, who now needed to continue with a club to defeat the contract. However, she led another heart and now declarer was back in control. South had not helped her partner by discarding her two diamonds, promoting declarer's ten to a winner. Had she not done so, then North could have led any card to defeat the contract. This was another IO IMPs to USA I, who had outscored Sweden by 3I IMPs over the first seven deals.


A success for the weak no-trump. East forced to game with Stayman and they duly arrived in 3NT, the par contract. Whatever suit the defence attacked would set up declarer's ninth trick, though she could equally easily do it herself; nine tricks and +400 .
Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sanborn | Rimstedt | Levitina | Grönkvist |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | $1{ }^{1}$ | 30 |
| Pass | Pass | Dble | Pass |
| 3 ® | Pass | $5 \diamond$ | All Pass |

I must confess nothing would persuade me to open the West hand with One Diamond, but doing so gave South the chance to show her club suit. Now it was difficult for E/W to stop in 3NT and unfortunately for them the game contract they tried was Five Diamonds. Three rounds of clubs put paid to any chance declarer may have had; 10 IMPs back to Sweden.

Board 9. Dealer North. E/W Vul.


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Övelius | Stansby | Clementsson Shi |  |
| - | I $\diamond$ | Dble | Pass |
| INT | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

It is a matter of partnership agreement as to how good a diamond stop one needs to have in order to bid INT over a Double. It paid handsome dividends here as East was strong enough to go directly to the NT game. When North cashed the $\diamond A K$ and continued the suit, declarer only needed the heart finesse for her ninth trick.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sanborn | Rimstedt | Levitina | Grönkvist |
| - | l $\diamond$ | Dble | Pass |
| 20 | Pass | $2 \diamond *$ | Pass |
| 3 | Pass | 49 | Pass |
| 5\% | All Pass |  |  |

In the Closed Room West elected to show her club suit. When East made her diamond cue-bid West did not rate her $\diamond \mathrm{J} 105$ as a stop and so the partnership landed in Five Clubs. On the third round of diamonds, declarer discarded a spade from dummy. On this layout this resulted in two undertricks, since declarer had to lose a trick in each major; had she discarded a heart, then after a successful heart finesse she could have eliminated that suit and eventually played a small spade towards dummy and covered whatever card North played. That was 13 IMPs back to Sweden, who now had lost only 8 IMPs in spite of the bad start to the stanza.

Board I4. Dealer East. None Vul.
, K 106
$\triangleright K Q 2$
$\diamond K 72$
\& Q 1065


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Övelius | Stansby | Clementsson | Shi |
| - | - | 14 | Pass |
| 2\% | Pass | 2 | Pass |
| 24 | Pass | 2NT | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

Had South started with a small heart, the contract would have had no chance. However, the lead was the ace of clubs and, although there was still time to beat the contract by switching to a heart, the defence was now more difficult as, after taking two hearts, North must switch back to clubs.

However, South continued with her attack on the club suit and the trick was taken by dummy's king. The queen of spades was covered by the king and won by declarer's ace and the jack of diamonds was passed to North's king. North now attacked the heart suit, but it was too late, as declarer rose with the ace, cashed her diamonds and was prepared to take the spade finesse to make her contract. But North had already discarded a spade and so the ten showed up, which must have calmed East's nerves.
Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sanborn | Rimstedt | Levitina | Grönkvist |
| - | - | Pass | Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ | Dble | $1 \Delta$ | $2 \otimes$ |
| $3 \boldsymbol{S N}$ | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

After the take-out double, South could be confident that North would have some useful cards in the suit and when a heart was led East took her ace immediately and tried the diamond finesse, its failure spelling doom for the contract, as North now continued the heart suit. The defence took five hearts, a diamond and the ace of clubs, for three off and 12 IMPs to Sweden.
It was, as football pundits are wont to say, a game of two halves, but at the end USA I had squeaked the stanza $38-37$ and so were now leading by 21 IMPs. Both teams will probably feel relieved that the score was not worse. There was everything to play for.


The Swedish Venice Cup team


USA I had topped the round robin table and it was no surprise that they chose England as their quarter-final opponents. They had won their round robin encounter by 14.8 VPs to 5.2.

England won the first 16 -board set of the quarter-final by 26-16, and were leading by $65-48$ after the second set. Marc Smith and I were hoping for more of the same as we took our seats for the third set (out of six).
There was not long to wait for the first action.
Board I. Dealer North. None Vul.

|  | -104 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A Q 9763 |  |
|  | $\diamond 95$ |  |
|  | - J 109 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \& A J 6 \\ & \text { \& J } 1054 \end{aligned}$ | N | Q Q 973 |
|  | N | $\bigcirc$ - |
| $\checkmark 63$ | W E | $\diamond$ A Q 72 |
| - KQ 87 | S | * A6542 |
|  | - K 852 |  |
|  | ¢K 82 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ KJ 1084 |  |
|  | - 3 |  |

## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Robson | Weinstein | Forrester | Levin |
| - | $2 \searrow$ | Dble | $4 \checkmark$ |
| Dble | Pass | 4 T $^{*}$ | Pass |
| 5 | All Pass |  |  |

Andrew Robson's responsive double is normally played to deny four spades. Forrester duly continued with 4NT, asking partner to choose a minor suit. It seemed that the resultant club game might need some careful play.
Steve Weinstein led the $\vee \mathrm{A}$, ruffed in the dummy. Robson finessed the successfully, ruffed another heart in dummy and continued with the Q . Bobby Levin declined to cover and the queen was run, pinning North's $\$$. How should declarer continue?
Robson drew trumps in three rounds and continued with his last trump. Levin had to find a discard from $\$ 8$ § K $\triangleleft \mathrm{K} \mathrm{J}$. If he threw the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$, Robson could establish a heart trick. (It might nevertheless have been South's best choice, in case declarer had 8 J 9 left.) A spade discard would allow declarer to establish dummy's 9 . Levin therefore threw the $\triangleleft$.
Robson ducked a round of diamonds, won the spade return and led a diamond to the ace. The $\langle\mathrm{K}$ fell from South and the $\triangleleft \mathrm{Q}$ was good for an eleventh trick. It was a finely played hand, rewarded by +400 on the scorecard.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rodwell | Malinowski | Meckstroth | Bakhshi |
| - | $2 \diamond *$ | Pass | 3 |
| Pass | Pass | Dble | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

Artur Malinowski led the 87 to David Bakhshi's king. The $\bigcirc 8$ was returned to the jack and North's queen. Meanwhile, Eric Rodwell had thrown spades from the dummy. He won the $\diamond 9$ switch with dummy's ace, and at first it seemed that he might have made the game with three spade tricks, pinning North's 10 , had he kept three spades in the dummy. The flaw in this analysis was that the club suit would be blocked after three rounds. Declarer's spot-cards in the suit were higher than those in the dummy.
Rodwell called for the Q and David Bakhshi covered. (If three spades had been kept on the table, he would have had to withhold his king, to ensure that the did not become an entry for the blocked clubs.) Declarer took four rounds of clubs, ending in his hand. He then cashed the 4) and conceded two down. It was II IMPs to England.

I rarely describe part-scores, but there was interest on this board at both tables:

Board 6. Dealer East. E/WVul.
$\triangle 765$
$\vee J$
$\diamond K 108764$
-983

| N | - 932 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $W^{\text {N }}$ | $\bigcirc$ A Q 984 |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 5 |
| S | Q Q 75 |
| - K Q J 84 |  |
| ¢K732 |  |
| $\checkmark$ J 2 |  |
| - A 10 |  |

- A 10

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Robson | Weinstein | Forrester | Levin |
| - | - | 18 | 1 1 |
| 2NT | 3 | All Pass |  |

Forrester led the 9 A , after which $3 \diamond$ can be made. He switched to the 5 and Weinstein won with dummy's immediately, leaving defensive communication in the suit intact. Now the defenders were in with a chance.
Declarer discarded a club on the 8 K and ran the $\diamond \mathrm{J}$ to East's ace. To beat the contract, West will need to score his
$\diamond$ Q. If Forrester plays the $\triangleleft \mathbf{Q}$ next, declarer ruffs and plays a spade. His aim is to reach dummy, to finesse again in trumps. West wins with the $\$ \mathrm{~A}$ and, after declarer's failure to duck in clubs, can cross to the e Q for a fourth round of hearts. This allows West to throw the 10 . The entry for the trump finesse will then be dead. My thanks to cocommentator, Marc Smith, for this analysis.
When Forrester played the 2 Q instead, a second trump finesse could not be prevented and +110 was the result.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rodwell | Malinowski | Meckstroth | Bakhshi |
| - | - | 18 | Is |
| 2NT | 3 | 38 | All Pass |

Meckstroth won the spade lead with dummy's ace and played a heart to the queen, which was allowed to win. He continued with a spade to South's jack. When Bakhshi switched to the $\diamond$ J, Meckstroth played low from dummy. To make the contract, he must duck in his hand also. He opted to win with the $\diamond A$ and then ruffed the $\varphi 9$. The $\vee 10$ was run to the South's king, and Bakhshi then forced him with a spade.
When declarer drew trumps and played a club, Bakhshi took the A and the defenders scored the last three tricks to put the part-score two down.
That was 3 IMPs to England.
Board 7. Dealer South. All Vul.

- 4
$\checkmark$ A Q 63
$\diamond 9763$
\& AK 43


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Robson | Weinstein | Forrester | Levin |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| is | Pass | I\& | Pass |
| $2 \boldsymbol{1}$ | Dble | Rdbl | 2 NT |
| $3 \boldsymbol{~ P a s s ~}$ | Pass | $4 \boldsymbol{\AA}$ |  |
| Pass | Pass | Dble | All Pass |

West's 3s would have gone down, and Levin's further push to $4 \%$ surprised us. When this ran to Forrester in the East seat, he produced a similar Tiger Double to the one that I reported yesterday. He placed his partner with a very likely singleton diamond.

Robson led the singleton $\diamond 5$, Forrester winning with the $\diamond K$ and cashing the $\diamond A$. He continued with the $\diamond I O$, a suitpreference signal for spades. Robson ruffed and some players might then have cashed the $\Phi \mathrm{A}$ for a safe one down.
Robson knew that Forrester held two diamond spotcards, otherwise South would not have bid clubs rather than diamonds. The $\diamond I O$ was therefore a clear-cut suitpreference card. He returned a low spade to East's king and ruffed a fourth round of diamonds. It was a splendid +500 instead of a minus score in 34.
Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rodwell | Malinowski | Meckstroth | Bakhshi |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| I $\$$ | Pass | $1 乌$ | Pass |
| $2 \Phi$ | Pass | $3 \boldsymbol{e}$ | Pass |
| $4 \Phi$ | All Pass |  |  |

Bakhshi led his $\vee 7$ to the jack and queen, Meckstroth following with the 84 . Malinowski switched to the \&A, Bakhshi playing the 97 , and continued with the K . On this trick Bakhshi played his Q , a suit-preference card for hearts.
North cashed the $\triangle A$ and played a third heart, ruffed with dummy's king. The $\$ 8$ was run successfully. The $\$ 9$ was then covered with the queen and ace. Declarer had \$ $\$ 5$ opposite $\$ 10$, but had no way to draw South's $\boldsymbol{\$ 7 6}$. He was two down, and England scored another 12 IMPs.
On our next deal, both sides reached game on a combined 21 points.

Board I4. Dealer East. None Vul.

|  | ¢ K 106 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ K Q 2 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K 72 |  |
|  | Q 1065 |  |
| (Q 3 | N | - AJ984 |
| $\bigcirc$ - |  | $\bigcirc$ A 1043 |
| $\checkmark$ A Q 1098 | W E | $\diamond$ J 64 |
| ¢K98743 | S | -2 |
|  | ¢ 752 |  |
|  | - J 98765 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 53$ |  |
|  | \& AJ |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Robson | Weinstein | Forrester | Levin |
| - | - | $1{ }^{1}$ | Pass |
| 2\%* | Pass | 2 | Pass |
| 20 | Pass | 49 | All Pass |

Robson's 2e was not natural. It was an artificial gameforce. When he then showed preference for spades, Forrester's jump to game indicated a minimum hand with no interest in any higher contract. Levin found a heart lead,
which was good for the defence. Forrester ruffed with dummy's $\$ 3$ and continued with the $\uparrow \mathrm{Q}$, covered with the king and ace. All followed to the $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ ) and Forrester played a third round, finding a 3-3 break.
The contract was still destined to fail.With no trumps left in dummy, it might have seemed natural for North to play the 8 K . Declarer would then lose one trick in each suit. Weinstein preferred to lead the 26 , and his partner won with the ace. It was still necessary to play hearts, but this was harder to see from the South seat.
When Levin returned the 0 , declarer ruffed with his penultimate trump and ran the $\diamond \mathrm{J}$. North ducked, but a second round of diamonds to dummy's 10 allowed Forrester to face his cards and claim +420 .
Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rodwell | Malinowski | Meckstroth | Bakhshi |
| - | - | $1{ }^{1}$ | Pass |
| 29 | Pass | 28 | Pass |
| 3 - | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

Bakhshi led the $\vee 7$ to the queen and ace. The $\diamond 6$, to the five, eight and seven, was followed by the Q Q , covered by the king and ace. The $\diamond J$ was run to Malinowski's king. The QK and another heart then put 3NT three down.
England gained II IMPs, their third double-digit swing of the session. Only two boards were left, could they inflict any further damage on their mighty opponents?
Most things in this life are possible. Let's see.
Board I5. Dealer South. N/S Vul.

|  | ¢ A 82 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\checkmark$ AK |  |
|  | $\checkmark 104$ |  |
|  | 2 AJ 10865 |  |
| - 7643 | N | ¢ 105 |
| $\bigcirc 1086$ |  | $\bigcirc$ Q 53 |
| $\diamond 952$ |  | $\diamond$ K Q J 873 |
| \% Q 92 | S | \% 43 |
|  | ¢ K Q J 9 |  |
|  | - J 9742 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 6 |  |
|  | \% K 7 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Robson | Weinstein | Forrester | Levin |
| - | - | - | $2 \diamond^{*}$ |
| Pass | $2 N T$ | 3 | Pass |
| Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass | $4 \oslash$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Levin's $2 \diamond$ opening was Flannery, showing four spades and five hearts. This convention is subjected to much abuse and ribaldry from the BBO commentators, few of whom are willing to name it explicitly. 'The dreaded F-convention,' is an oft-heard description. Terence Reese began this trend
when he wrote scornfully that 'Flannery shows a hand type that causes no problems whatsoever for natural bidding'. This was a slight exaggeration. Even so, I have always been amazed that so many top US players, including world champions, employ the convention.
As you see, clubs were never mentioned, and Levin ended in a 5-2 heart fit. He won the diamond lead, played dummy's $\triangleleft A K$ and crossed to the NK . After a club to the ace, he discarded his remaining diamond on the ef, West winning with the queen. Declarer ruffed the diamond return and played good spades, losing tricks to the $\vee I O$ and $\oslash$ Q for a safe +620 .
Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rodwell | Malinowski | Meckstroth | Bakhshi |
| - | - | - | 18 |
| Pass | 20 | $2 \diamond$ | 21 |
| Pass | 3 | Pass | 3NT |
| Pass | 4\% | Pass | $4 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 4NT | Pass | 5 |
| Pass | 6\% | All Pass |  |

Malinowski won the $\diamond Q$ lead in dummy, continuing with the king and ace of trumps. He was combining the secondbest play in trumps, viewed alone, with the additional chance that he could then dispose of his diamond loser.
Unwilling to rely solely on the 2 Q being accompanied by at least three spades, declarer next cashed the $\triangle A K$. The $\checkmark$ Q did not fall, but (for what it was worth) both defenders followed upwards in hearts.
Malinowski crossed to the $\Phi \mathrm{K}$ and led the $\vee 7$, ruffing in his hand. This ran the risk that East held the Q Q and no more hearts. If instead West had the 2 Q and no more hearts, he might have been able to ditch a spade from three cards.
It's hard to judge whether the heart ruff was a better idea than simply playing for a discard in time on the spade suit. As the cards lay, both lines would succeed. When the hearts broke evenly, Malinowski crossed to the $\varphi \mathrm{Q}$ and ditched his diamond loser on the $\vee \mathrm{J}$. It was +1370 and another I3 IMPs for England.
After a memorable display of razor-sharp bridge, England had taken the third segment by 52 IMPs to 7 and would lead overnight by II8-55. If the Americans achieve a truly wonderful comeback tomorrow, good luck to them. It will not erase my lasting enjoyment at having witnessed today's session.



At the midpoint of their Venice Cup quarter-final, USA I led Sweden by I32-III IMPs, a useful lead to have but far from decisive.

Board I7. Dealer North. None Vul.

|  | - AK2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 83$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A Q J 1086 |  |  |
|  | - K2 |  |  |
| - 8 | N |  | Q QJ 109763 |
| - A Q 74 | N |  |  |
| $\diamond$ K 742 | W | E $\diamond$ | 5 |
| - Q 874 | S |  | 1093 |
|  | - 54 |  |  |
|  | PKJ9652 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 93$ |  |  |
|  | - 165 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Bertheau | McCallum | Larsson | Baker |
| - | $1 \checkmark$ | 4. | All Pass |
| West | North | East | South |
| Sanborn | Rimstedt | Levitina | Grönkvist |
| - | $1 \stackrel{1}{ }$ | 31 | Pass |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |

For Sweden, Jessica Larsson overcalled 4a, which would also have been my choice with seven-four shape, and that silenced everyone. Lynn Baker led the nine of diamonds, Karen McCallum overtaking with the ten and returning the $\diamond$ J. Larsson ruffed high and played a spade, McCallum winning and playing another low diamond. Larsson again ruffed high and played a second spade. Again, McCallum won and played a diamond, so Larsson ruffed and drew the missing trump. She cashed the ace of clubs and led a club to the queen and king, ruffed the return and played a club. That lost to the jack but declarer had the last two tricks for down two and -100.
For USA I, Irina Levitina overcalled a level lower and 34 went back round to Cecilia Rimstedt, who took a shot at 3NT. Suspecting that this would be based on long diamonds, Ida Grönkvist did not correct to hearts, so 3NT became the final contract. Levitina led the queen of spades, Rimstedt winning with the king and leading the eight of hearts to the ten, jack and queen. Kerri Sanborn returned the seven of clubs - low, ace, low, and Levitina returned the 99 to the jack, queen and king. Rimstedt led a second heart to the king and ace, so Sanborn led a club, Levitina winning the ten and returning her last club to Sanborn, who returned a low diamond and had to come to the $\triangleleft \mathrm{K}$ for down two and -100; 5 IMPs to USA I.

Board 20. Dealer West. All Vul.

- 1094

|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1094 \\ & >92 \\ & \diamond 7653 \\ & \text { j } 11064 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - K 863 | N | , Q 2 |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 5$ |  | $\checkmark$ A Q 1064 |
| $\checkmark$ AKQJ | W E | $\checkmark 82$ |
| \% K 2 | S | - A 853 |
|  | - AJ 75 |  |
|  | Q1873 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 1094$ |  |

-97

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bertheau | McCallum | Larsson | Baker |
| 2NT | Pass | 3 | Pass |
| 3NT | Pass | $4{ }^{2}$ | Pass |
| $4 \checkmark$ | Pass | 5NT | Pass |
| $6>$ | Pass | 6NT | All Pass |
| West | North | East | South |
| Sanborn | Rimstedt | Levitina | Grönkvist |
| 2NT | Pass | 3 | Pass |
| 38 | Pass | $4{ }^{2}$ | Pass |
| $4 \checkmark$ | Pass | 4NT | Pass |
| 5NT | Pass | 6NT | All Pass |

Both Wests opened 2NT and both Easts transferred to hearts then showed their second suit. Eventually, both Wests became declarer in 6NT and both Norths led the ten of spades. Both declarers covered with the queen so both Souths won the ace and returned a low spade. Without the spade return, there would have been the communications for a squeeze: with South guarding hearts and North guarding clubs neither would be able to cover the spade suit. However, after the spade return there was no squeeze due to lack of communications and, in the fullness of time, both declarers were down one for -100 and a push board. However, read about what might have happened elsewhere in this bulletin.

Board 22. Dealer East. E/WVul.


Partner doubles South's spade pre-empt and RHO raises to 44; what would you do, if anything, with the East cards? Levitina went quietly. Sanborn led the king of hearts against 4@, saw the dummy and cashed the ace of spades before continuing with the queen of hearts. Levitina overtook with the ace and switched to the nine of diamonds for the jack, king and ace. Grönkvist messed around for a bit, but had no option but to take the club finesse for her contract and, when the king was offside, was down one for -50 .
Larsson bid 4NT over 44, showing two places to play, and Kathrine Bertheau responded $5 \diamond$ and played there. McCallum led the king of spades, Bertheau winning the bare ace and leading the king of diamonds from hand. McCallum won the ace and returned a diamond, so Bertheau won that and drew the missing trump, then cashed the hearts, crossed to dummy with a trump, and led a club towards her king; I I tricks for +600 and II IMPs to Sweden.

Board 23. Dealer South. All Vul.
, 874
ค 108542
$\diamond 964$

- 54

$$
95
$$

$\&$ AQ63
$\diamond$ K 32
A 1063


A AKQ10632
$\bigcirc 7$
$\diamond$ J
98
$\Phi$
8 K J 9
$\diamond A$ Q 10875
\& K 72

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bertheau | McCallum | Larsson | Baker |
| - | - | - | $1 \diamond$ |
| Pass | Pass | 49 | Pass |
| 4NT | Pass | 59 | Pass |
| 69 | All Pass |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Sanborn | Rimstedt | Levitina | Grönkvist |
| - | - | - | I $\diamond$ |
| Pass | Pass | 49 | All Pass |

Now it was the West players' turn to have to judge whether or not to bid on facing partner's 4s overcall. With good controls sitting over the opposing opening bid, Bertheau took the high road, asking for key cards then bidding the small slam on finding partner with two plus the


Kathrine Bertheau, Sweden
trump queen. Baker led ace and another diamond and, once trumps were not four-zero offside, the contract depended on the club finesse. That, of course, was working, so Larsson chalked up +1430 .
Sanborn took the low road and passed out 4s and Levitina too made 12 tricks, but that was only worth +680 so Sweden gained 13 IMPs.
Just when Sweden appeared to be making serious progress, the momentum of the set swung back towards the Americans:

Board 24. Dealer West. None Vul.

- KQJ62
$\bigcirc 87$
$\diamond$ A 96
2 J 64
$\Delta A$
$\diamond J 105$
$\diamond$ KJ 82
$\&$ Q 10532
$W^{N} E$
$S^{753}$

Q Q 92
$\diamond$ Q 10543
\& K 9

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bertheau | McCallum | Larsson | Baker |
| Pass | INT | 29 | $2 \diamond$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Sanborn | Rimstedt | Levitina | Grönkvist |
| Pass | 24 | Pass | Pass |
| Dble | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |

McCallum opened INT, IO-I2, and Larsson overcalled $2 \boldsymbol{2}$, both majors. Baker competed with $2 \triangleleft$ and Bertheau was happy to defend, so that was that when Larsson didn't feel that she was worth a second action. Bertheau cashed the ace of spades then switched to the jack of hearts. Larsson won the king and gave her partner a spade ruff, won the heart return and gave her a second ruff. Bertheau led a club, so Larsson won the ace and there was still a trump trick to come; down two for -100 .
Rimstedt opened 2 4 with the North hand, described on their card as II-I4 with at least five spades and balanced (5-4-2-2 with the second suit a minor possible). When 24 came round to Sanborn she doubled and Levitina jumped to $4 \Omega$. Grönkvist led the three of spades to dummy's bare ace. Levitina played a low diamond, which lost to South's ten, and Grönkvist led a low heart to dummy's jack. Now Levitina played the king of diamonds to the ace and ruff then led the eight of clubs, Grönkvist going in with the king and leading the nine of hearts. Levitina won that in hand, ruffed a spade, came to hand with the ace of clubs, and drew the last trump. She could cash the clubs now and had II tricks for +650 and II IMPs to USA I.

Board 25. Dealer North. E/WVul.

|  | $1 .$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A 1084 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A Q 108652 |  |  |
|  | - J 4 |  |  |
| - QJ 9 | N |  | - A 107652 |
| QJ 52 | W E |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ J743 |  |  |  |
| -1083 | S |  |  |
|  | - K 843 |  |  |
|  | ¢K973 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ - |  |  |
|  | * AKQ 76 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Bertheau | McCallum | Larsson | Baker |
| - | $1 \checkmark$ | $1{ }^{1}$ | Dble |
| Pass | 48 | Pass | 4NT |
| Pass | 5NT | Pass | 68 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Sanborn | Rimstedt | Levitina | Grönkvist |
| - | $1 \diamond$ | $1{ }^{1}$ | Dble |
| 2 | 48 | Pass | 4NT |
| Pass | 5 | All Pass |  |

South started with a negative double then, when partner jumped to $4 \checkmark$, went on with RKCB. In response, Rimstedt showed two key cards without the trump queen and Grönkvist feared two losers so passed out 5 §. Levitina led the ace of spades. Rimstedt ruffed and cashed the jack of clubs then played a second club to dummy, ruffed a low spade, then played ace of hearts, ace of diamonds for a spade pitch, and a heart to the king; 12 tricks for +480 .
McCallum responded 5NT to Key-card, showing two key cards plus a useful void, so Baker had to bid the small slam. Larsson too led the ace of spades. McCallum ruffed, played ace of hearts and a heart to the king, ruffed a second spade and threw the last low spade on the ace of diamonds. Then she played on clubs and had to lose only one trump trick; +980 and another II IMPs to USA I.

Board 26. Dealer East. All Vul.

- K 6

ค A 1063
$\diamond 9874$
\% K 105

- Q 32
- KJ75
$\diamond$ Q 2
2 1743

| N | ¢ 84 |
| :---: | :---: |
| W E | $\bigcirc 4$ |
| W E | $\diamond$ AJ 10653 |
| S | \& A Q 62 |
| ¢ AJ 10975 |  |
| - Q 982 |  |
| $\diamond$ K |  |
| ¢ 98 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bertheau | McCallum | Larsson | Baker |
| - | - | $1 \diamond$ | 19 |
| Dble | Rdbl | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| Pass | 2 | All Pass |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Sanborn | Rimstedt | Levitina | Grönkvist |
| - | - | $1 \diamond$ | 15 |
| Dble | Rdbl | 2\% | 2 |
| 3\% | Pass | Pass | 34 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

After identical starts to the two auctions, Larsson rebid her six-card diamond suit while Levitina preferred to introduce the clubs. Showing the clubs worked out better as Sanborn now competed to $3 \boldsymbol{2}$, knowing of the fit, while Bertheau did not compete facing the diamond one-suiter. That meant that Baker was allowed to play in 24, while Grönkvist was pushed to the three level.
Bertheau led the queen of diamonds against 24, Larsson winning the and the bare king falling from Baker. Larsson switched to her singleton heart to the jack and ace and Baker played the king of spades, then a spade to the ace, not wishing to lose to a doubleton queen and run into a heart ruff. She had to lose two club tricks and one in each major but was home with eight tricks for +110 .
Sanborn led a low club, Levitina winning the queen and switching to her heart. Again, that went to the jack and ace. Grönkvist needed to bring spades in without loss so played king then low to the jack and when that lost had also to lose a trick in each side-suit so was down one for -IOO and 5 IMPs to USA I.

Board 27. Dealer South. None Vul.

| - 85 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 97642$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark 764$ |  |  |  |  |
| - AK 10 |  |  |  |  |
| Q Q 1073 |  | N |  | - K962 |
| $\bigcirc$ A |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ K Q 8 |
| $\diamond$ K Q J 1098 |  |  | W | $\checkmark$ A 5 |
| +95 |  | S |  | 2 Q 432 |
| - AJ 4 |  |  |  |  |
| ¢J1053 |  |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark 32$ |  |  |  |  |
| \& 1876 |  |  |  |  |
| West | No |  | East | South |
| Bertheau | McC | allum | Larsson | n Baker |
| - | - |  | - | Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass |  | 14 | Pass |
| 31 | Pass |  | 4 | All Pass |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sanborn | Rimstedt | Levitina | Grönkvist |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | IS | Pass |
| $3 \nabla^{*}$ | Pass | $4 \uparrow$ | All Pass |
| 3 | Mini-splinter |  |  |

Both E/W pairs bid easily to the spade game. Baker led a heart so Larsson had an easy time of it. She won the bare ace, crossed to hand with the ace of diamonds and pitched both of dummy's club losers on the king and queen of hearts. Next she led a spade to the queen and a second round to the nine and jack. Baker also had the trump ace but that was all; II tricks for +450 .
Grönkvist led a club and Rimstedt won the king and ace, then played a third round to declarer's queen, so Levitina did not have it as easy as had Larsson. However, at trick four she led a low spade to dummy's ten, and when that held could continue with a diamond to hand and a second spade up, losing to only the A ; ten tricks for +420 but I IMP to Sweden. Well done to Levitina for flattening the board.

Board 28. Dealer West. N/S Vul.
Q Q 8754
Q Q 5
$\checkmark 9764$

- 32


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bertheau | McCallum | Larsson | Baker |
| $2 \diamond$ | Pass | $4 \varrho$ | Pass |
| $4 \diamond$ | Pass | $4 \diamond$ | All Pass |
| West | North | East | South |
| Sanborn | Rimstedt | Levitina | Grönkvist |
| $2 \diamond$ | Pass | $4 \curvearrowright$ | Dble |
| Pass | $4 \uparrow$ | Dble | All Pass |

Bertheau opened with a multi $2 \triangleleft$ and Larsson jumped to 4\% to ask her to transfer to her suit, which she duly did. Larsson bid $4 \bigcirc$ and played there and Baker took the top spades but that was that for the defence; +450 .
Sanborn opened a natural weak two bid and Levitina raised to game. Grönkvist doubled for take-out and Levitina doubled Rimstedt's 4¢ response. Levitina cashed the ace of clubs, the king of hearts and the king of clubs, then switched to a trump. In the fullness of time, declarer had to play on diamonds. Rimstedt started with a low card
to the jack and ace and had two diamond losers so was down two for -500 and 2 IMPs to USA 1.

Board 30. Dealer East. None Vul.

- 6
$\triangleright$ A 1073
$\diamond 32$
K K 108643

$$
\mathrm{K} \text { Q } 10982
$$

$\triangleright$ Q 986
$\diamond$ K 6
29

வ 743
$\vee 14$
$\diamond A J I O 94$
\& $A$ Q 7

- AJ5

PK52
$\triangleleft$ Q 875

* 52

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bertheau | McCallum | Larsson | Baker |
| - | - | 1\% | Pass |
| 14 | Pass | INT | Pass |
| 2 | Pass | 24 | Pass |
| 49 | All Pass |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Sanborn | Rimstedt | Levitina | Grönkvist |
| - | - | $\mathrm{I} \diamond$ | Pass |
| 19 | 39 | Pass | Pass |
| Dble | Pass | 34 | Pass |
| 49 | All Pass |  |  |

Both E/Ws bid smoothly to the spade game. Rimstedt led the three of diamonds against Sanborn. According to BBO, where I was watching this table live, that went to the four, eight and king, and Sanborn, presumably fearing that diamonds were five-one to explain the lead of dummy's bid suit, took the club finesse then discarded her remaining diamond before leading a trump up. Grönkvist rose with the ace and returned a spade, and somehow the board was scored as down three for -I50. I challenge any reader to work out how declarer can be down three, but that is also what the official scoresheet tells us, so...
Anyway, things went rather better for declarer at the other table, where McCallum led a club. Bertheau rose with dummy's ace and played the jack of hearts and Baker did not cover with the king, so McCallum won the ace and returned a diamond to the jack, queen and king. With diamonds established, Bertheau changed tack and stopped playing on hearts, instead leading the king of spades from hand. Baker won that and cashed the king of hearts then got out with a diamond to dummy. Bertheau read the position perfectly, playing a winning diamond for a heart pitch followed by a trump to her ten, and had the rest for +420 and II IMPs to Sweden.
The last two deals of the set were both making games by E/W, no swing, so Sweden had sneaked the set by 36-35 IMPs, but still trailed overcall by 147-I67. There were two more l6-board sets still to play.


The USA I Venice Cup team

# Misdefend this Hand with Me in Wuhan 

by Mark Horton

Dealer East. All Vul.

- AJ 9
- Q 5
$\diamond$ K 5
- 198652

I am South and when the player on my right opens IS I have nothing to say and West responds INT. When East rebids 34 West advances to 4 , leaving us with this short sequence:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - | - | 14 | Pass |
| INT | Pass | 31 | Pass |
| 4 | All Pass |  |  |

I can hope to have two trump tricks, but for the moment it is unclear where the other two we require will come from. Either red suit could be the right lead, but with trump control I opt for the 9 and dummy is revealed:


Partner plays the queen and declarer wins with the ace and plays back the three, ruffing with dummy's 2 as partner contributes the four. He continues with the $\$ 3$ for the five, king and my ace. I must make some attempt to construct declarer's hand. If he has seven spades, then he might be something along the lines of 7-2-2-2, 7-2-I-3 or $7-3-1-2$. If declarer is missing the $\vee A$ then exiting with a club must be safe. Declarer ruffs, cashes the queen of spades, (partner pitching the 82 ) and plays a spade to my jack, partner parting with the $\triangle 3$. These cards remain:


My partner's discards suggest he started with five hearts and, as far as I can see, if declarer has three hearts including the king he cannot hope for more than nine tricks, so l exit with a club and declarer ruffs and plays another spade retaining three hearts and the $\diamond A J$ in dummy.When partner
parts with the 86 , declarer produces the $\vee \mathbf{A}$ and follows it with another heart, establishing a heart as a tenth trick.
The full deal:

|  | Dealer East. All |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - 5 |  |
|  | ¢K7632 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q 43 |  |
|  | - KQ 74 |  |
| - 32 | N | - K Q 108764 |
| QJ94 |  | $\bigcirc$ A 108 |
| $\checkmark$ AJ 109862 | W E | $\checkmark 7$ |
| - 10 | S | - A 3 |
|  | - AJ9 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q 5 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ K 5 |  |
|  | - 198652 |  |

## Post mortem

Having ruffed a club, declarer should have taken a heart finesse, subsequently repeating the finesse when next in dummy. Partner might have tried coming down to 『K76 $\diamond$ Q4 as then declarer would need to play a low heart. If I play low partner wins with the king and declarer will need to divine the heart position. Given that I did not lead a diamond honour, partner is marked with at least one of them and is known to have started with the e KQ and the $\bigcirc$ K, so declarer should not go wrong. I could have defeated the contract by switching to a diamond when in with the A or ${ }^{\text {J. In retrospect, that should not have been a difficult }}$ play to find.

## World Championship Book 2019 Pre-ordering

The official book of these World Championships in Wuhan will be out around April or May next year. It will comprise approximately 400 full colour large pages as in previous years.
Principal contributors will be Ron Klinger, Maurizio Di Sacco, Barry Rigal, Brian Senior and GeO Tislevoll.
The book will include many photographs, a full results service, and extensive coverage of the major championship events.
The official retail price will be US\$35 plus postage but you can pre-order while in Wuhan at the special price of US\$30/200 Yuan post free (surface mail). The books will be posted from England before your local retailer has a supply.
The pre-order can be done in either of two ways:
I.Through Jan Swaan in the Press Room, which can be found opposite the bottom right-hand exit from the vugraph theatre.
2. By email from Brian Senior, the editor, and pay by PayPal. The address is bsenior@hotmail.com

## Butler Transnational

## Players

BA Zhuoqiang -WANG Huijun
LI Rui - XIN Guofang
CAOWenhui - ZHANG Hongkang
GUYan -YU Fang
LASUT Henky - MANOPPO Eddy M F
SHARMAAsha - THAKUR Meenal
SHI Xiaoling - ZENG Ziming
CAMPOS Joao-Paulo - RAVENNA Pablo
LI Jianwei - SHI Xiao
KHIUPPENEN Yury - KHOLOMEEVVadim
GUO Xiaorun - LI Zhi
SHAO Zijian - SHI Bin
CAITI Stefano - MATTIOLI Giuliano
GAN Xinli -WANG Weimin
LI Lianjiang - ZHOU Jinguo
BRINK Sjoert - DRIJVER Bas
ARASZKIEWICZ Konrad - KOTOROWICZ Krzysztof CHEN Hang - LI Xufeng BATHURST Kevin - HURD John
AUKEN Sabine -WELLAND Roy
HUYihong - ZHANG Hongjuan
KAMAL Nikita - KSHIRSAGAR Alka
GAN Derun - HE Liqiang
LIUYaoyuan - SUN Chao
HU Xiao - ZHOU Feiwei
CHEN Jun - LINYan
BOJOH Jemmy - KARWUR Franky Steven
BESSIS Thomas - LORENZINI Cedric
BIRMAN Alon - PADON Dror
HUANGWeiming - TIANWeiqiang
MORRISON Kim -WILSMOREAvon
SHAO Songhua -WUYong
STABELL Leif-Erik - STABELL Tolle
CAI Yang - LIU Jun
REESSVanessa - THUILLEZ Laurent
SUN Yanhui - YIN Jia Shen
HAMMELEV Johan - KREFELD Camilla Bo
MOURGUES Jennifer -TARTARIN Anne-Laure
CHAVARRIA Margherita - GANDOGLIA Alessandro
LI Zhigang - SHEN Guanyu
RAVENNA Pablo -THOMA Marcos GRZEJDZIAK Igor - GRZEJDZIAK Sabina
ANKLESARIA Keyzad -TEWARI Rajeshwar
LAM Leo - SZE Shun Sum Alan
HUANGYan -YANG Lixin
SACUL Denny - SUMAMPOUW Conny
GUO Xiaofeng - HUANG Yong
COMELLAAmedeo - SABBATINI Stefano
HE Yong - LU Jidong
EDGTTON Nabil - HUNG Andy Pei-en
CHEN Song - ZENG Ziming
LALL Justin - MOSS Brad
BROWN Matthew -WHIBLEY Michael
WANG Dongqing - ZOU Xiaoyan
DU Feng - LIUYaoyuan
HEWenjiong - YAO Jiangtao
LIAN Yong - SUN Shaolin

| Butler | NBO B | Boards |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.70 | China | 10 |
| 2.10 | China | 10 |
| 2.00 | China | 10 |
| 2.00 | China | 10 |
| 1.91 | Indonesia | 80 |
| 1.90 | India | 20 |
| 1.70 | China | 20 |
| 1.70 | Brazil | 10 |
| 1.70 | China | 10 |
| 1.50 | Russia | 70 |
| 1.48 | China | 40 |
| 1.48 | China | 40 |
| 1.40 | Italy | 60 |
| 1.33 | China | 30 |
| 1.30 | China | 10 |
| 1.29 | Netherlands | 70 |
| 1.24 | Poland | 50 |
| 1.20 | China | 20 |
| 1.18 | U.S.A. | 97 |
| 1.15 | Germany | 100 |
| 1.10 | China | 50 |
| 1.10 | India | 20 |
| 1.10 | China | 20 |
| 1.10 | China | 20 |
| 1.09 | China | 70 |
| 1.08 | China | 40 |
| 1.07 | Indonesia | 70 |
| 1.07 | France | 99 |
| 1.07 | Israel | 60 |
| 1.06 | China | 77 |
| 1.05 | Australia | 60 |
| 0.98 | China | 40 |
| 0.97 | Norway | 60 |
| 0.96 | China | 70 |
| 0.95 | France | 20 |
| 0.93 | China | 60 |
| 0.93 | Denmark | 70 |
| 0.90 | France | 10 |
| 0.87 | Italy | 70 |
| 0.87 | China | 70 |
| 0.87 | Brazil | 70 |
| 0.87 | Poland | 90 |
| 0.87 | India | 60 |
| 0.87 | China Hong Kong | 30 |
| 0.86 | China | 70 |
| 0.85 | Indonesia | 40 |
| 0.85 | China | 60 |
| 0.84 | Italy | 70 |
| 0.83 | China | 60 |
| 0.83 | Australia | 70 |
| 0.83 | China | 80 |
| 0.81 | U.S.A. | 100 |
| 0.81 | New Zealand | 100 |
| 0.80 | China | 20 |
| 0.80 | China | 20 |
| 0.78 | China | 100 |
| 0.78 | China | 90 |


|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| BUCHEN Peter Walter -THOMSON lan KANG Meng - LI Xiaoyi |  |
| CAO Xueliang - HUANG Hua |  |
| CHENG Xiaodong -WEI Xing |  |
| FENG Lan An -WU Xinmin |  |
| FU Zhong - HOU Xu |  |
| HEWeiwei - LIU Song |  |
| GUANYongqun -WANGWei |  |
| LAM Leo - LEE Nok Yin |  |
| KWIECIEN Michal - STARKOWSKIWlodzimierz |  |
| LI Jianlei - QI Xiyuan |  |
| SHAO Zijian - SHENYu Xiong |  |
| DONG Chunhui - MA Jihong |  |
| JIANG Huibo - XIN Guofang |  |
| SHI Jiangyun - ZHOU Shiwen |  |
| CHAN Richard - XU Zemin |  |
| LEVIN Amir - ROLL Josef |  |
| CAMPOS Joao-Paulo - DE MELLO Sylvia Figueira |  |
| HU Jiaping - LIJ Jianhua |  |
| ASBI Taufik Gautama - BOJOH Lusje Olha <br> CHENYichao - HU Junjie <br> BRAITHWAITEAndrew - DE LIVERA Arjuna Percival |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| LUO Yufeng - SONGWei |  |
| HANNA Nader - RAYNER John |  |
| FRIEDLANDER Ehud - LIRAN Inon |  |
| WU Xuenan - YE Fan |  |
| GEORGE Julius Anthonius - PARASIAN Robert |  |
| KRASNOSSELSKI Mikhail - ORLOV Sergey |  |
| CHEN Ge-CHENYong |  |
| NIE Chunhui - TIAN Liwen |  |
| NAIDOO Bindiya - SINHA Priya Ranjan |  |
| GUANYue - LIANG Junxiang |  |
| BIZON Piotr - SZYMANOWSKI Marek |  |
| BRAMLEY Bart -WOOLSEY Kit |  |
| CHENYong-LUOYide |  |
| NADAR Kiran - SATYANARAYANA Bachiraju |  |
| SUN Gang - ZHANG Yongge |  |
| GAO Fei - YOU Jianyong |  |
| DAI Jianming - RAN Jing Rong |  |
| BAREKET llan - LENGY Assaf |  |
| BEAUCHAMP David -TUTTY Jodi |  |
| GONTHA Leslie - SUHENDRO Stefanus Supeno |  |
| GAN Jian - JIANG Yisheng |  |
| GAWRYS Piotr - KLUKOWSKI Michal |  |
| GAN Ling - SHAN Sheng |  |
| LI Rui - SHEN Siyuan |  |
| MARKS Rafal -WOJCIESZEK Jakub |  |
| HAO Xiangbin -WANGWeidong |  |
| LIU Xiangdong -WANG Yong |  |
| TANG Zhi -TAO Yong |  |
| JIANG Zhengrong - SHENG Ming |  |
| CARRUTHERS John - KIRR Martin |  |
| MADSEN Christina Lund - PAVLUSHKO Olga |  |
| ROSENBERG Kevin -WILDAVSKY Adam |  |
| KASLE Gaylor - MORSE Dan |  |
| CHEN Tianping - ZHOU Bo |  |
| KANG Jian -WANG Kai |  |
| KUANG Yuegang - LIU Sicheng |  |


| 0.76 | Australia | 80 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 0.75 | China | 100 |
| 0.75 | China | 60 |
| 0.74 | China | 70 |
| 0.74 | China | 70 |
| 0.73 | China | 80 |
| 0.73 | China | 80 |
| 0.70 | Chin | 100 |

China Hong Kong 50
Poland 80
China 80
China 40
China 100
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { China } & 90 \\ \text { China } & 30\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { China } & 30 \\ \text { Canada } & 60\end{array}$
Israel 70
0.40
0.40
0.38
0.38
0.38
0.37
0.37
0.37
0.36
0.35
0.34
0.34
0.34
,

0
$\qquad$

Brazil 40
China 60
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Indonesia } & 60 \\ \text { China } & 80\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { China } & 80 \\ \text { Australia } & 80\end{array}$
China 60
Canada 60
Israel 99
China 70
Indonesia 80
Russia 60
China 60
China $\quad 10$
$\begin{array}{lr}\text { India } & 100 \\ \text { China } & 70\end{array}$
Poland 70
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { U.S.A. } & 80 \\ \text { China } & 60\end{array}$
India 60
China 60
China 70
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { China } & 70 \\ \text { Israel } & 70\end{array}$
Australia 50
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Indonesia } & 50 \\ \text { China } & 70\end{array}$
Poland 70
China
70

$$
60
$$

| U.S.A. | 100 |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | 100 |

U.S.A. 40

| China | 70 |
| :--- | :--- |
| China | 70 |

China 50

YAN Jin - ZHANG Qiang
XIA Yingxiang - ZHANG Liangxiao
WEIYu -YU Dingyi
HE Liqiang - ZHANG Hongshui
HUMPHRIES Denis - HUMPHRIES Susan
FRASER Douglas - FRASER Sandra
CHENG Kuo-Paw - ZHANG Yalan
GONG Wangying - GUWen
WANG Wenyi - ZHENGYixin
FUNG Hao Yin Vincent - LUI Barney
BAO Xiangdong - ZHU Chenyu
SHENYu Xiong - SHI Bin
LUYi-Zu -YANG Hsin-Lung
MOURGUES Jennifer - THUILLEZ Laurent
PACHTMAN Ron - ZATORSKI Piotr
GUMBY Pauline - LAZER Warren
MATHIEU Philippe - SOUDAN Luc
ZHANG Xiangping - ZHU Zhiqiang
PENG Jianfeng -WANG Kui
LI Xin - ZHAO Yanpei
CHEN Li-Jen - FAN Kang-Wei
FENG Yurong - HE Jianwei
WUYu-YEYi
SHI Miao -WANG Dade HWANG Ingu - SUNG Kyung Hae GINNAN Laura - THOMPSON Jenny GAWELWojtek - JAGNIEWSKI Rafal
BIAN Jingsheng - LIU Ning
LI Ning -WANG Dongqing
CAOTing-LYong
LIU Jun - SHI Feng
ARLOVICH Andrei -VAINIKONIS Erikas
BOYD Peter - ROBINSON Steve
CAO Wenhui - ZHANG Jie
HOLLANDS Peter -THOMPSON Jenny
GAOTao - LUO Yufeng
WU Zhenbo -YAN Shi Rock MARTENS Krzysztof - ZIMMERMANN Pierre BAO Xiangdong - DONG Jinhao DESAIVinay - SAMANT Keshav Sakharam SEFITA Ernis - SOEBROTO Anthony
CHEN Hua - LI Xin
LEI Liangshui - ZHOU Lihua
YAO Zhi - ZHANG Desheng
CHEN Li-Jen - SO Ho-Yee
LEE Nok Yin - LUI Barney
FAN De Mao - MA Yi
GUPTA Uttam - RAY Debasish
GAN Xinli - SHI Xiao
SHENWeiming - ZHANG Jiong
NEWTON Shirley -WILKINSON Jenny
PEJACSEVICHAlexis - PELLEGRINI Carlos
LI Jie -WANG Jihua
GE Jianping - LIU Haifeng
DWYER Kevin - HUANG Shan
ATTANASIO Dario - MANARA Gabriella
GILL Peter - HANS Sartaj
AHASAN Md Rashedul - HAQUE Shah Zia-ul
ADAMSON Alex - KANE Danny
KANG Seongseok - LEE Soo lk
DU Jian - LUYYaoyuan
MA Tao - ZHENG Xiangyun
LIJ Jie - LIU Jing

| 0.34 | China | 80 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.33 | China | 60 |
| 0.33 | China | 40 |
| 0.33 | China | 80 |
| 0.33 | New Zealand | 80 |
| 0.31 | Canada | 70 |
| 0.30 | China | 100 |
| 0.30 | China | 40 |
| 0.30 | China | 60 |
| 0.30 | China Hong Kong | 20 |
| 0.30 | China | 20 |
| 0.30 | China | 20 |
| 0.28 | Chinese Taipei | 50 |
| 0.28 | France | 50 |
| 0.27 | Israel | 70 |
| 0.27 | Australia | 60 |
| 0.27 | Guadeloupe | 30 |
| 0.26 | China | 50 |
| 0.26 | China | 100 |
| 0.25 | China | 80 |
| 0.25 | Chinese Taipei | 20 |
| 0.24 | China | 70 |
| 0.24 | China | 70 |
| 0.24 | China | 80 |
| 0.23 | Korea | 100 |
| 0.21 | Australia | 80 |
| 0.21 | Poland | 100 |
| 0.20 | China | 79 |
| 0.20 | China | 50 |
| 0.18 | China | 60 |
| 0.18 | China | 90 |
| 0.17 | Lithuania | 70 |
| 0.16 | U.S.A. | 80 |
| 0.15 | China | 80 |
| 0.15 | Australia | 20 |
| 0.15 | China | 20 |
| 0.14 | China | 70 |
| 0.13 | Monaco | 60 |
| 0.13 | China | 30 |
| 0.13 | India | 99 |
| 0.13 | Indonesia | 100 |
| 0.13 | China | 70 |
| 0.10 | China | 60 |
| 0.10 | China | 70 |
| 0.10 | Chinese Taipei | 70 |
| 0.10 | China Hong Kong | 30 |
| 0.09 | China | 100 |
| 0.09 | India | 100 |
| 0.09 | China | 70 |
| 0.09 | China | 70 |
| 0.07 | New Zealand | 100 |
| 0.05 | Argentina | 60 |
| 0.03 | China | 60 |
| 0.03 | China | 60 |
| 0.03 | U.S.A. | 60 |
| 0.03 | Italy | 70 |
| 0.01 | Australia | 70 |
| 0.01 | Bangladesh | 90 |
| 0.01 | Scotland | 100 |
| 0.00 | Korea | 100 |
| 0.00 | China | 20 |
| -0.01 | China | 100 |
| -0.01 | China | 70 |


| LIMSINSOPON Kirawat - SITTHICHAROENSAWAT Pavinee | -0.02 | Thailand | 100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TISCORNIA Fernando - ZONCA Martin | -0.03 | Argentina | 60 |
| ZHANG Yu-ZHAO Jie | -0.03 | China | 60 |
| LIL نا Xuan -WANG Yong | -0.03 | China | 60 |
| CHIUWai-Lap Abby - SZE Shun Sum Alan | -0.04 | China Hong Kong | 50 |
| CHAI Greta - JI Steven | -0.04 | Singapore | 100 |
| YANG Fujun - ZHAO Jian | -0.05 | China | 60 |
| CAMPOS Joao-Paulo - GARAFULIC Sandra | -0.05 | Brazil | 20 |
| CHEN Xinyi - LIU Shitong | -0.06 | China | 70 |
| BASU Sujit Kumar - DEY Bharati | -0.06 | India | 100 |
| BEKKOUCHE Nadia - BLAKSET Lars | -0.06 | Denmark | 50 |
| MAESEL Helge - MAESEL Roald | -0.06 | Norway | 80 |
| POLII Bert Toar - SUGIARTO Tanudjan | -0.08 | Indonesia | 60 |
| COPUR Mehmet Emin - EKINCI Orhan | -0.08 | Turkey | 60 |
| BACH Ashley - CORNELL Michael | -0.09 | New Zealand | 80 |
| ANGELERI Ricardo - POLESCHI Ricardo | -0.10 | Argentina | 70 |
| MILNE Ranald - O'BRIEN Brendan J | -0.10 | Ireland | 90 |
| SHI Haowen -WANG Jian | -0.10 | China | 60 |
| WEI Jianjun - ZHANG Gang | -0.10 | China | 100 |
| SUYuan -WANG Yongzhong | -0.10 | China | 10 |
| O'BRIAIN Micheal - O'GORMAN Derek | -0.11 | Ireland | 100 |
| MANNO Andrea - OH Hye Min | -0.11 | Italy | 100 |
| HUANG Xiaoming - ZHANG Xiaoping | -0.12 | China | 60 |
| RUNGSATHIT Somchai - SURIYA Peeracha | -0.12 | Thailand | 100 |
| COOPER Renee - THOMPSON Ben | -0.12 | Australia | 90 |
| LI Lianjiang - PENG Chuan | -0.13 | China | 40 |
| CHENWenjun - KANG Zichao | -0.14 | China | 50 |
| HOU Jian - ZHOU Jia Hong | -0.15 | China | 60 |
| CAI Junqiang - FANG Xiaodong | -0.15 | China | 80 |
| DEAS Lynn - SEAMON-MOLSON Janice | -0.16 | U.S.A. | 79 |
| KIM Daehong - ROH Seungin | -0.17 | Korea | 100 |
| CUI Dong Yue -TANG Bin | -0.17 | China | 100 |
| HUWen - LIL Liang | -0.17 | China | 70 |
| JIN Jing - ZHOU Lihua | -0.18 | China | 40 |
| WUTianqi - ZHANG Xiangping | -0.20 | China | 30 |
| BILDE Lone - PUNCH Sam | -0.21 | Denmark | 100 |
| HE Xin - ZHOUTao | -0.21 | China | 70 |
| GUOWei - SHEN Zhong | -0.22 | China | 100 |
| CAO SiWei - GUO Xiaorun | -0.22 | China | 50 |
| LIU Siyi - Ql Linhua | -0.22 | China | 90 |
| YAISAWANG Manthanee -YAISAWANG Supote | -0.23 | Thailand | 40 |
| BARONI Irene -TARTARIN Anne-Laure | -0.23 | Italy | 70 |
| BLACKMAN Dave - HINDS Roglyn | -0.23 | Barbados | 90 |
| WILLIS David T.-YUEN Michael | -0.24 | Canada | 80 |
| RIAMSREEAsdang - SVANGSOPAKULVallapa | -0.24 | Thailand | 90 |
| FAN Kang-Wei -TSAI Po-Ya | -0.25 | Chinese Taipei | 60 |
| DUANYi - ZHANG Jiong | -0.25 | China | 20 |
| GUYan - SHAO Songhua | -0.25 | China | 40 |
| ZHANG Hongiuan - ZHANG Hongzhi | -0.25 | China | 40 |
| WUYuwei - ZHANGWei | -0.26 | China | 70 |
| LIU Hai Tao - ZHANG Yizhuo | -0.26 | China | 100 |
| JANEBUNJONG Kanokporn - PLENGSAP Kridsadayut | -0.26 | Thailand | 100 |
| JIANG Dawei - ZHANGWenshu | -0.26 | China | 80 |
| LI Rong -YU Dan | -0.27 | China | 100 |
| DAI Ke-LU Jiteng | -0.28 | China | 80 |
| YU Fang - ZHANG Ruihua | -0.28 | China | 80 |
| CASPERSEN Henrik - FARHOLT Stense | -0.28 | Denmark | 80 |
| LIU Shu - ZHANG Ligang | -0.30 | China | 70 |
| XIE Xueming - ZHAO Hongquan | -0.30 | China | 60 |
| KHOKHLOV Jouri - MATUSHKO Georgi | -0.30 | Russia | 69 |
| SHEN Siyuan -WU Shangie | -0.31 | China | 90 |
| LIU Chuan - XIE Ziqiu | -0.33 | China | 70 |
| BHATTACHARJEEAniruddha - SANTRA Dipak | -0.33 | India | 100 |

KANE Helen - SYMONSAnne
KANETKAR Avi - NEILL Bruce
JIN Kai - ZHU Chenyu
YU Xiaoguang - ZHANG Shengle
LI Jianwei - SHEN Jia Xiang
WANG Deping - ZENG Zhaorong
CAIWenbin - ZHU Zhiqiang
LUYiping - ZHANG Zhijun
YAO Zhongzhao - ZHANG Jie
AVCIOGLU Huseyin Kerem - ZORLU Nafiz
BANDESHA Muhammad Ghalib Ali - MALIK Sajid Nabi
KAMAL Nikita - THAKUR Meenal
CHOWDHURY M.A. R. - ISLAM Mohammad Monirul
ICHILCIK Lorna - KAPLAN Rena
McGOWAN Elizabeth (Liz) - McQUAKER Fiona
FANG Guoxiong - LONG Jianghong MAKMITREE Apisai -YAISAWANG Supote

MAJUMDER Debabrata - MUKHERJEE Sumit
GU Jiean - ZHOU Jianzheng
LIN Xi -YOU Zheng
JANG Jung Bae - PARK Jungyoon
CHODCHOY Taristchollatorn - JITNGAMKUSOL Terasak KHANDELWAL Himani - KRISHNAN Ramratnam HUYihong - ZHOUTao
PENG Chuan - ZHOU Jinguo
LIAN Ruoyang -WANG Xiangyang
HU Danhua - LI Xiaoyu
INCE Mehmet Ali - KOKTEN Namik
BAKKETor - MARSTRANDER Peter
HUANG Shan - MILLENS Joan
CHEN Jun - HUO Shiyu
CHEN Ji - DONG Lidang
WANG Jun - ZHOUTao
GAOTao - SONGWei
TAO Donglin -WUTianqi
CHOWDHURY M.A. R.- RAHMAN Md. Moshiur
CHEUNG Lik - LAU Edwin
DU Feng - ZHOU Shiwen
CHEN Gang - CHEN Hang
BARONI Irene - BESSISVeronique
BAO Xiangdong - PING Zhiqiang
LIU Sicheng - YIN Jia Shen
WU Liangkai - ZHANG Jun
DONG Jinhao - PING Zhiqiang
LI Liyong -YU Mingquan
JIANG Qiubo - LONG Jianghong
JIANG Qiubo - ZOU Xiaoyan
LI Xufeng -WUYu
UGGERI Paolo -VANUZZI Marilina
CHENWei - LYU Guowu
YU Hongwen - ZHANG Yu Sheng
CHEN Rugang - FEI De
BA Zhuoqiang -TIAN Liwen
MCAVOY Constance - MCAVOY James
BERNSTEIN Cindy -WHEELER Sally
YAO Jian - ZHU Xiang
FISCHER Stephen - RANKIN Pele
CHEN Biao -WANG Canyun
NIE Chunhui -WANG Huijun
BERCUSON Ken - MITTELMAN George
DAWSON Helena - PITT Helene
KIM Jin Kyoung - LEE Choonhee
DI FRANCO Massimiliano - KIM Hye Young

| -0.33 | Scotland | 100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -0.33 | Australia | 60 |
| -0.34 | China | 80 |
| -0.34 | China | 50 |
| -0.34 | China | 90 |
| -0.35 | China | 100 |
| -0.35 | China | 40 |
| -0.35 | China | 60 |
| -0.36 | China | 70 |
| -0.36 | Turkey | 50 |
| -0.39 | Pakistan | 100 |
| -0.40 | India | 10 |
| -0.40 | Bangladesh | 30 |
| -0.41 | Australia | 70 |
| -0.42 | Scotland | 100 |
| -0.43 | China | 40 |
| -0.43 | Thailand | 40 |
| -0.44 | India | 80 |
| -0.44 | China | 50 |
| -0.45 | China | 69 |
| -0.45 | Korea | 100 |
| -0.45 | Thailand | 100 |
| -0.45 | India | 40 |
| -0.45 | China | 20 |
| -0.48 | China | 50 |
| -0.48 | China | 60 |
| -0.48 | China | 60 |
| -0.49 | Turkey | 70 |
| -0.50 | Norway | 60 |
| -0.53 | U.S.A. | 40 |
| -0.53 | China | 60 |
| -0.54 | China | 50 |
| -0.55 | China | 80 |
| -0.55 | China | 20 |
| -0.57 | China | 30 |
| -0.57 | Bangladesh | 70 |
| -0.58 | Singapore | 60 |
| -0.59 | China | 49 |
| -0.60 | China | 30 |
| -0.60 | France | 20 |
| -0.60 | China | 10 |
| -0.60 | China | 10 |
| -0.61 | China | 69 |
| -0.62 | China | 60 |
| -0.63 | China | 100 |
| -0.63 | China | 30 |
| -0.64 | China | 50 |
| -0.64 | China | 70 |
| -0.65 | Italy | 60 |
| -0.67 | China | 70 |
| -0.73 | China | 100 |
| -0.75 | China | 40 |
| -0.76 | China | 90 |
| -0.76 | Canada | 50 |
| -0.76 | U.S.A. | 80 |
| -0.77 | China | 60 |
| -0.77 | Australia | 70 |
| -0.79 | China | 70 |
| -0.81 | China | 90 |
| -0.84 | Canada | 70 |
| -0.84 | Australia | 70 |
| -0.87 | Korea | 90 |
| -0.88 | Italy | 100 |


| MILNE Liam - NUNNTony | -0.88 | Australia | 60 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MARKOWICZVictor - MOSZCZYNSKI Krzysztof | -0.90 | Poland | 50 |
| TENG Wenhong -YU Shurong | -0.90 | China | 40 |
| CAO SiWei - LIU Siyi | -0.90 | China | 10 |
| EKINCI Orhan - KOKTEN Namik | -0.90 | Turkey | 10 |
| BATRA Puja - GUPTA Subhash | -0.90 | India | 100 |
| MATHIEU Philippe - ROTCHELL Douglas | -0.91 | Guadeloupe | 70 |
| MUNDELL Giselle - ZETS Avril | -0.92 | Australia | 60 |
| DU Jian - SUN Chao | -0.93 | China | 40 |
| ASTORE Giancarlo - LIGAMBI Luigi | -0.94 | Italy | 70 |
| CAMPOS Joao-Paulo - THOMA Marcos | -0.95 | Brazil | 20 |
| CHEN Rugang - HUA Xiaoming | -0.97 | China | 30 |
| CHOO Jane -TAN Gemma | -0.99 | Singapore | 100 |
| CAIWenbin -TAO Donglin | -1.00 | China | 50 |
| KHANDELWAL Himani - KHANDELWAL Rajeev | -1.00 | India | 20 |
| DU Feng - SHI Jiangyun | -1.05 | China | 20 |
| CHIUWai-Lap Abby - LUl Barney | -1.05 | China Hong Kong | 20 |
| GARAFULIC Sandra - RAVENNA Pablo | -1.05 | Brazil | 20 |
| KAMAL Nikita - SHARMA Asha | -1.06 | India | 50 |
| JIN Jing - WEI Yu | -1.07 | China | 60 |
| KHANDELWAL Rajeev - KRISHNAN Ramratnam | -1.08 | India | 40 |
| DU Bing - JIANG Tong | -1.08 | China | 50 |
| WANG Yuanluo -WANG Yuanwei | -1.08 | China | 60 |
| DUANYi -WANG Yongzhong | -1.10 | China | 70 |
| HWANG lyn Ryung - PARK Myung Kee | -1.11 | Korea | 90 |
| CHANWai Kit -WONG Shui No | -1.13 | China Hong Kong | 70 |
| HUANGYu - JIANG Renfu | -1.13 | China | 60 |
| YU Zhenhai - ZHU Fushou | -1.15 | China | 80 |
| HARTONO Michael Bambang - NURHALIMApin | -1.17 | Indonesia | 60 |
| HUYihong - ZHANG Hongzhi | -1.20 | China | 10 |
| GAOTao - SHI Feng | -1.20 | China | 10 |
| XUTao - XUE Xin | -1.23 | China | 80 |
| MARKS Rafal - OGLOBLIN Dominika | -1.24 | Poland | 50 |
| NEWELL Peter - REID Martin | -1.25 | New Zealand | 20 |
| QIN Huiyun -WAN Meikui | -1.28 | China | 40 |
| WEI Xing - ZHOU Jinguo | -1.30 | China | 30 |
| WUYong - ZHANG Ruihua | -1.30 | China | 10 |
| HAQUE Shah Zia-ul - ISLAM Mohammad Monirul | -1.30 | Bangladesh | 10 |
| NOGUEIRA Heloisa - THOMA Marcos | -1.30 | Brazil | 10 |
| SUYuan - XIEWeiping | -1.33 | China | 30 |
| MAKMITREEApisai - YAlSAWANG Manthanee | -1.35 | Thailand | 20 |
| BESSISVeronique - THUILLEZ Laurent | -1.40 | France | 30 |
| LI Ning - ZOU Xiaoyan | -1.40 | China | 10 |
| HUANGWeidong - XIE Qiang | -1.40 | China | 60 |
| LI Changcheng - ZHANG Xuejun | -1.45 | China | 60 |
| FEI De - HUA Xiaoming | -1.47 | China | 30 |
| CHEN Gang - HUANG Xiaoming | -1.50 | China | 20 |
| WOJCIESZEK Jakub -WOJCIK Marta | -1.50 | Poland | 20 |
| HOLLANDS Peter - HUMPHRIES Susan | -1.60 | Australia | 20 |
| LUO Zengqun - ZHANG Hongkang | -1.63 | China | 40 |
| CHEN Biao - SHI Xiaoling | -1.63 | China | 30 |
| GUYan -WUYong | -1.65 | China | 20 |
| KIM Hyun Hee -YEA Eun Joo | -1.66 | Korea | 90 |
| CAO SiWei - ZHANG Cheng Min | -2.00 | China | 10 |
| HE Rong Hui - OH Mi Ran | -2.27 | Korea | 90 |
| LUANG-ON Chayes - RIAMSREEAsdang | -2.30 | Thailand | 10 |
| YAO Zhi - ZHAO Jian | -2.90 | China | 10 |
| BLACKMAN Dave - ROTCHELL Douglas | -3.33 | Barbados | 9 |
| CAMPOS Joao-Paulo - NOGUEIRA Heloisa | -5.20 | Brazil | 10 |

## THE JOSEPH GREENFELD ASIA PACIFIC BRIDGE FEDERATION CONGRESS

Open Event


APRIL 15-22 2020

## Perth Convention Centre

 Western AustraliaProposed Timetable and Catagories
Open,Women's, Senior's, Mixed and Youth. (<26, <21 and <16 all subject to entries)

Bridgefest daily side events

| Date | Event |
| :--- | :--- |
| Wed 15 April | Swiss Pairs |
| Thurs 16 April | Swiss Pairs |
| Fri 17 April | APBF Teams Q1 |
| Sat 18 April | APBF Teams Q2 |
| Sun 19 April | APBF Teams Q3 |
| Mon 20 April | APBF Teams Q4 |
| Tue 21 April | APBF Teams SF <br> APBF Pairs Q |
| Wed 22 April | APBF Teams F <br> APBF Pairs F <br> Presentation and close |

## To enter follow this link

www.abfevents.com.au/events/apbf/2020/

## ACCOMMODATION

Many hotels are offering special rates to APBF 2020 participants. For example: The Ritz-Carlton Perth, situated in the heart of Elizabeth Quay, will have 40 of its 204 rooms/suites available for the APBF, and the Melbourne hotel will hold 20 rooms for APBF participants.


## Convenor

Robina McConnell Bina360@hotmail.com

|  | Transnational Teams |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | After inound 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | SHEN | 143.34 | 41 | CANADA Seniors | 105.29 | 81 | BEIJINGXUGUANG | 89.51 |
| 2 | INDONESIA Open | 136.56 |  | ITALY Mixed | 104.85 | 82 | WARHORSE | 89.44 |
| 3 | CRE8IVE | 133.26 |  | INDIA OPEN | 104.83 | 83 | PK NZ | 88.69 |
| 4 | RUSSIA Open | 131.87 | 44 | BRAZIL Mixed | 104.38 | 84 | TURKEY Seniors | 87.75 |
| 5 | POLMANY | 130.27 |  | AUSTRALIA MIXED | 104.08 | 85 | HUBEI FUXING | 85.71 |
| 6 | SIVY A | 126.63 |  | WUHU BRIDGE TEAM | 103.98 | 86 | CHINA JUNIORS | 85.68 |
| 7 | SWISS TEAM | 125.19 | 47 | HENGZHOU FINANCE | 102.63 | 87 | MINGXIN GROUP | 85.12 |
| 8 | BRIDGESCANNER | 124.76 | 48 | NORWAY Seniors | 102.01 | 88 | NAMO KK | 84.95 |
| 9 | INDONESIA Mixed | 124.60 | 49 | NANGANG POWER | 101.96 | 89 | WUHAN ENGINEERING | 84.45 |
| 10 | REIGNWOOD | 124.47 | 50 | CHENGDUGUOLI | 101.95 | 90 | WUHAN JIANG AN AREA | 83.21 |
| 11 | PIONEERS | 121.56 |  | CCSA | 101.87 | 91 | SCOTMARK | 83.11 |
| 12 | SAN CHEN FUND | 120.92 | 52 | SEOUL OPEN | 101.19 | 92 | FUJIAN BOSSSOFT | 82.73 |
| 13 | ISRAEL Open | 119.78 | 52 | HONG PRAISE TEAM | 101.19 | 93 | SEOUL MIXED | 82.18 |
| 14 | ZHONGSHAN JIEGAO | 119.32 |  | JXBA | 100.96 | 94 | BANGLADESH | 82.09 |
| 15 | WUHAN DONGFANG | 119.31 | 55 | CHINESE TAIPEI Mixed | 100.69 | 95 | BANGKOK MIND SPORT | 81.94 |
| 16 | SHANGHAILVCHENG | 117.47 | 56 | SHANGHAI GUHUA | 100.32 | 96 | ZJJDWUHANYUAN | 81.83 |
| 17 | JSQX NJZS BRIDGECLUB | 115.95 | 57 | AUSTRALIA Open | 100.10 | 97 | ONE ESSENTIAL OF RED | 81.11 |
| 18 | MILLENS | 115.87 | 58 | GINNAN | 99.85 | 98 | METALLURGY FED | 80.25 |
| 19 |  | 115.28 | 59 | ASYMCHEM | 99.11 | 99 | INDIA Women | 79.35 |
| 20 | INDONESIA Seniors | 115.01 | 60 | WUHAN | 99.06 | 100 | YENOVA | 78.20 |
| 21 | NEW ZEALAND Open | 114.72 |  | ZHEJIANG JINJIANG | 98.94 |  | JILIN BA | 77.75 |
| 22 | ZHEJIANGQIANTANG | 114.66 | 62 | HIIMOTOR | 98.25 | 102 | BRIDGEFRIENDS | 77.14 |
| 23 | ITALY Seniors | 114.24 | 63 | SCOTLAND BLUE | 97.88 | 103 | NEIMENGGU | 75.51 |
| 24 | DALIAN AOXIN | 113.82 | 64 | GALAGILE | 97.88 | 104 | ITS MAGIC | 73.08 |
| 25 | DONGFENG FENGSHEN | 113.61 | 65 | DONGFENG AUTO CO LTD | 97.63 | 105 | OZ ANGELS | 70.17 |
| 26 | CHINA XHJT | 113.55 | 66 | YUNNAN | 96.72 | 106 | XINJIANGYILI | 70.06 |
| 27 | AUSTRALIA Seniors | 113.53 | 67 | JINSHUO | 96.14 | 107 | CARIBBEAN PIRATES | 68.91 |
| 28 | INDIA TSANGPO | 112.76 | 68 | THAILAND Mixed | 95.73 | 108 | NEWB | 61.56 |
| 29 | FORMIDABLES | 112.56 | 69 | PSG | 95.51 | 110 | ENSEMBLE | 28.68 |
| 30 | WUHAN XINGYUTU MEDIA | 111.31 | 70 | HUAXINHUANBAO | 94.82 |  |  |  |
| 31 | CANADA CHINA UNITED | 110.52 |  | AURUSSIA | 94.14 |  |  |  |
| 32 | SANMING EDUCATION | 110.51 | 72 | UICCWUHAN | 93.85 |  | $C$ |  |
| 33 | CHINA HUOCHETOU | 110.17 | 73 | ANIT NEGRA | 92.80 |  |  |  |
| 34 | USA I Seniors | 109.24 | 74 | TIANYA BRIDGE FATE | 91.43 |  |  |  |
| 35 | PD TIMES | 109.03 |  | WE THE NORTH | 91.38 |  |  |  |
| 36 | MIX DENMARK | 108.24 |  | CHANG HONG | 91.32 |  |  |  |
| 37 | POLAND Mixed | 107.32 |  | HUBEI BRIDGE TEAM | 90.87 |  | 14－28 SEP2019 |  |
| 38 | GUIZHOU ZHENGYE | 107.23 |  | IRELAND | 90.70 |  |  |  |
| 39 | KONG HAN | 105.94 |  | FAR EAST | 90.64 |  |  |  |
| 40 | POLAND Seniors | 105.54 |  | BBC THAILAND | 89.74 |  |  |  |

（1）中国中铁大桥局集团有限公司
china railway major bridge enginerring group co．，itd

IPASロா 訤杽サ
专 业 电 竞 装 备

