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The WBF in social media
You can follow us on:

## Videos

Check out the following videos from the Championships:


## IBPA <br> Annual General Meeting Monday September 23rd

The IBPA annual general meeting will be at
9.00 on Monday September 23rd.
Meeting room is the Press Room


## Bermuda Bowl

After Round 23

|  | TEAM | VP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | USA I | 311.07 |
| 2 | CHINA | 307.18 |
| 3 | SWEDEN | 301.12 |
| 4 | NORWAY | 296.74 |
| 5 | NETHERLANDS | 291.99 |
| 6 | POLAND | 290.63 |
| 7 | ENGLAND | 276.99 |
| 8 | ITALY | 274.14 |
| 9 | NEW ZEALAND | 268.43 |
| 10 | ISRAEL | 263.08 |
| 11 | CHINA HONG KONG | 241.64 |
| 12 | AUSTRALIA | 237.64 |
| 13 | INDIA | 235.14 |
| 14 | USA 2 | 225.09 |
| 15 | CANADA | 219.84 |
| 16 | CHILE | 214.96 |
| 17 | INDONESIA | 212.47 |
| 18 | SINGAPORE | 198.78 |
| 19 | RUSSIA | 185.42 |
| 20 | ARGENTINA | 170.74 |
| 21 | EGYPT | 162.97 |
| 22 | BANGLADESH | 141.67 |
| 23 | GUADELOUPE | 124.44 |
| 24 | MOROCCO | 55.33 |



## Championship offer

The new dealing machines that are [only] used during the championships will be sold at the end for EUR 2299. Price incl. aluminium carrying case and five years warranty. Cards and boards are also sold at special prices. Shipping at subsidised rates from Sweden. See the Duplimate stand or email anna@jannersten.com


| Venice Cup |  |  | d'Orsi Trophy |  |  | WuThan Cu1p |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| After Round 23 |  |  | After Round 23 |  |  | After Round 23 |  |  |
|  | TEAM | VP |  | TEAM | VP |  | TEAM | VP |
| 1 | CHINA | 296.03 | 1 | USA 2 | 285.36 |  | ENGLAND | 311.19 |
| 2 | POLAND | 290.99 | 2 | INDIA | 280.07 |  | 2 USA 2 | 290.83 |
| 3 | NORWAY | 290.56 | 3 | FRANCE | 277.93 |  | 3 CHINA | 282.10 |
| 4 | USA I | 284.43 | 4 | ENGLAND | 275.09 |  | 4 RUSSIA | 281.93 |
| 5 | ENGLAND | 279.90 | 5 | DENMARK | 271.06 |  | 5 ROMANIA | 281.46 |
| 6 | JAPAN | 277.56 | 6 | NETHERLANDS | 266.04 |  | FRANCE | 273.81 |
| 7 | SWEDEN | 276.47 | 7 | CHINA | 263.10 |  | 7 LATVIA | 270.42 |
| 8 | NETHERLANDS | 275.63 | 8 | CHINESE TAIPEI | 262.21 |  | USA I | 267.59 |
| 9 | RUSSIA | 257.59 | 9 | TURKEY | 255.00 |  | DENMARK | 262.28 |
| 10 | USA 2 | 253.15 | 10 | USA I | 254.73 | 10 | 10 SWEDEN | 260.95 |
| 11 | CHINESE TAIPEI | 252.65 | 11 | POLAND | 253.43 | 11 | POLAND | 256.45 |
| 12 | CANADA | 252.31 | 12 | SWEDEN | 244.39 | 12 | 2 INDONESIA | 254.67 |
| 13 | FRANCE | 243.89 | 13 | AUSTRALIA | 235.67 | 13 | CHINESE TAIPEI | 252.10 |
| 14 | SCOTLAND | 240.14 | 14 | IRELAND | 222.74 | 14 | 4 ITALY | 247.02 |
| 15 | DENMARK | 238.71 | 15 | CANADA | 221.20 | 15 | 15 BRAZIL | 227.94 |
| 16 | NEW ZEALAND | 207.05 | 16 | NORWAY | 211.91 | 16 | 16 AUSTRALIA | 223.66 |
| 17 | CHINA HONG KONG | 206.58 | 17 | ITALY | 209.86 | 17 | THAILAND | 215.53 |
| 18 | BRAZIL | 203.57 | 18 | JAPAN | 208.13 |  | 8 INDIA | 192.24 |
| 19 | AUSTRALIA | 188.41 | 19 | INDONESIA | 199.07 | 19 | 19 CANADA | 185.27 |
| 20 | PAKISTAN | 174.24 | 20 | CHINA HONG KONG | 192.00 | 20 | NEW ZEALAND | 179.92 |
| 21 | INDIA | 165.19 | 21 | BULGARIA | 169.59 | 21 | 1 EGYPT | 161.37 |
| 22 | TUNISIA | 126.87 | 22 | U.A.E. | 156.54 | 22 | BARBADOS | 143.10 |
| 23 | SOUTH AFRICA | 122.59 | 23 | NEW ZEALAND | 148.46 | 23 | 3 MOROCCO | 115.67 |
| 24 | TRINIDAD \& TOBAGO | 107.49 | 24 | REUNION | 147.92 | 24 | 4 PAKISTAN | 75.25 |



Bermuda Bowl
$1 \begin{array}{lllll}1 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6\end{array}$ tot
$\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & \text { tot }\end{array}$


## Venice Cup

 WANG, Xiaoxue ZUO, Xiaojing WANG captain, Xiaojing WANG coachENGLAND
Heather DHONDY, Catherine DRAPER, Gillian FAWCETT, Nevena SENIOR, Nicola SMITH, Yvonne WISEMAN, David GOLD captain, David BURN coach
JAPAN Yuki FUKUYOSHI, Toshiko KAHO, Ruri KATO(OTE), Ayako MIYAKUNI, Makiko SATO, Akiko YANAGISAWA, Kazuo FURUTA captain
NETHERLANDS Merel BRUIJNSTEEN, Laura DEKKERS, Jet PASMAN,
 Anneke SIMONS, Wietske VAN ZWOL, Martine VERBEEK, Aarnout HELMICH captain, Peter IJSSELMUIDEN coach
NORWAY Ann Karin FUGLESTAD, Liv Marit GRUDE, Marianne HARDING, Torild HESKJE, Bodil Nyheim OIGARDEN, Gunn Tove VIST, Anne-Lill HELLEMANN captain, Jon-Egil FURUNES coach
POLAND Cathy BALDYSZ, Sophia BALDYSZ, Katarzyna DUFRAT, Danuta KAZMUCHA, Anna SARNIAK, Justyna ZMUDA, Miroslaw CICHOCKI captain, Marek WOJCICKI coach
SWEDEN Kathrine BERTHEAU, Sanna CLEMENTSSON, Ida GRONKVIST, Jessica LARSSON, Emma OVELIUS, Cecilia RIMSTEDT, Kenneth BORIN captain, Carina WADEMARK coach
USA I Lynn BAKER, Irina LEVITINA, Karen McCALLUM, Kerri SANBORN, Sylvia SHI, JoAnna STANSBY, Sam DINKIN captain, Beth PALMER coach

## d'Orsi Trophy



Wuhan Cup
$\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & \text { tot }\end{array}$


CHINA

NGLAND

FRANCE Benedicte CRONIER, Philippe CRONIER, Nathalie FREY, Daniele GAVIARD, Jerome ROMBAUT, Frederic VOLCKER, Francois COMBESCURE captain
LATVIA Jelena ALFEJEVA, Janis BETHERS, Maija ROMANOVSKA, Karlis RUBINS, Karlis RUBINS captain, Martins LORENCS coach
ROMANIA Mihaela BALINT, Marius IONITA, Bogdan MARINA, Geta MIHAI, Radu MIHAI, Marina STEGAROIU, Bogdan MARINA captain
RUSSIA Alexander DUBININ, Alexej GERASIMOV, Andrey GROMOV,Anna GULEVICH, Tatiana PONOMAREVA, Olga VOROBEYCHIKOVA
USA I Cheri BJERKAN, Allan GRAVES, Christal HENNER, Uday IVATURY, jill MEYERS, Howard WEINSTEIN, Joe STOKES captain
USA 2 Huub BERTENS, Debbie ROSENBERG, Andrew ROSENTHAL, Chris WILLENKEN, Jenny WOLPERT, Migry ZUR-CAMPANILE, Jeff AKER captain

# Extract of relevant parts of the Supplemental Conditions of Contest 

### 8.1. 2 Quarter-Finals

The quarter-finals will be played on Monday 23rd and Tuesday 24th September as a knockout match of 96 boards (played over three sessions, in $2 \times 16$-board stanzas), with IMP scoring. Quarter-final match opponents are determined as follows:
Ist from the round-robin chooses 5th 6th 7th or 8th qualifier; then 2nd chooses from the remaining of 5th 6th 7th or 8th; then 3rd chooses from the remaining of 5th 6th 7th or 8th; then 4th placed team will play the team not yet chosen.

## 8.I. 3 Semi-Finals

The semi-finals will be played on Wednesday 25th and Thursday 26th September as a knockout match of 96 boards, (played over three sessions, in $2 \times 16$-board stanzas), with IMP scoring. Subject to the requirement that if two teams from the same country qualify, they must meet in the semi-finals, the highest finishing team from the round-robin will choose their prospective semi-final opponent from any of the other quarter-matches.
The remaining winners will become opponents for the other semi-final match. This choice must be made at the completion of the quarter final draw and before play in the quarter final begins. Hence the semi-final draw is decided prior to the quarter final stage and remains applicable whatever the outcome of quarter-final matches.

## 8.I. 4 Final

The winners of the semi-finals play the final on Friday 27th and Saturday 28th September as a knockout match of 96 boards (played over three sessions, in $2 \times 16$-board stanzas), with IMP scoring.

## 8.I.5 Play Off

The losing semi-finalists will play-off on Friday 27th and Saturday 28 thas a knockout match of 80 boards (played over five 16-board sessions) with IMP scoring.
As for seating rights:

## I 5.2 Seating Rights

In a match divided into stanzas, the team with the seating rights in the first half of a stanza sits NS in the open room during that stanza. In a match divided into an odd number of several sessions the team with the seating rights in a session sits NS in the open room in that session. Subject always to the over-riding provisions of Section 17.10 the procedures for determining the NS team in each match or session of play shall be as follows:

## I 5.2.2 Quarter-finals, Semi-finals, Final and Play off

The seating rights for each stanza will be automatically assigned by the scoring system, using random draw. The following restrictions will apply:

## I.I. 4 Six stanzas

a) Each team will have seating rights in three of the stanzas.
b) The six stanzas are divided in portions of two each: I-$2,3-4,5-6$; when a team is assigned seating rights in one
stanza of the portion, the other automatically gets the remaining stanza of that portion.
c) If a team gets seating rights in stanza six, the other will get seating rights in stanza one.
d) If a team gets seating rights in stanza one, the other will get seating rights in stanza six.

As for the Transnational Open Teams, I remind you that you need to register not later than tonight at 22.00, regardless whether you will be playing the KO stage or not (if you don't do it, you will not be allowed to eventually drop-in).
Here is the relevant part of the SCoCo:

### 8.2.3 Drop-in Rules

Players from teams eliminated in the quarter-finals of the Open, Women, Seniors and Mixed Teams Championship may join the World Transnational Open Teams Championship at round II, with the following conditions:
i) New teams made from the losing quarter-finalists (Open, Women, Seniors) may 'drop in' provided that four of the registered players have played at least I/3 of the boards available in the round-robin/QF phase; the NPC may be one of the four registered players.
ii) Other players in the new teams (maximum of two) who do not comply with such conditions may also be included. If these are bona-fide NPCs/players from the round-robin/QF stage, then there is no additional charge. Otherwise there will be a charge for participation (see Section 26).
iii) The 'drop in' teams will start with 120 Victory Points ( 10 matches $\times 12 \mathrm{VPs}$ ) and be paired against the leading teams after round 10 by random draw. Therefore, for round II only, the 'dropped in' teams will not meet.
iv) Should, for bona fide reason, the drop-in occurr in a round other than round II, the Victory Point total mentioned in (3) above, will be adjusted accordingly.
Transnational Teams: €I,350
NPCs and Coaches registered as team members within 10th July 2019 and players eliminated from the Bermuda Bowl, Venice Cup, d'Orsi Seniors Trophy or Mixed Teams may form new Open teams and will be able to enter the World Transnational Open Teams Championship free of charge provided they enter as a complete team. Players eliminated from the Quarter-final can drop in the WTOTC free of charge provided they enter as a complete team. Players, NPCs and Coaches eliminated from the WTOTC can play the Wuhan Grand Prix free of charge. In the event that a team is made up from players who have not participated in one of the three main events, with players from these events added to the team, the charges will be as follows: A team with 4 new players \& I or 2 eliminated players: $€ \mathrm{I}, 350 \mathrm{~A}$ team with 3 new players \& up to 3 eliminated players: $€ 1,050$ A team with 2 new players \& up to 4 eliminated players $€ 700$ A team with I new player \& up to 5 eliminated players: $€ 350$.

## Mark Smith

Today's article is written in the literary style of Mark Horton, with suitable apologies to Lewis Carroll. Of the nine countries represented in all four events, only USA, China and England had four teams in the top eight of their respective Round Robin after six days play. With thirdplaced England playing India, who were leading the field, this seemed like a good time for the Bulletin to check out the Seniors event. Perhaps this would be the place to find some good, old-fashioned, sound bridge, rather than the highoctane fare we'd experienced so far from the more youthful brigade. Then again, perhaps not, with David Kendrick at one of the tables!
The action began immediately, with both N/S pairs getting doubled in five of a minor. It looked like a flat board at -500 but an unfortunate opening lead let a trick slip at one table; 5 IMPs to India. Nothing particularly significant happened on the second deal, but the bidding was a precursor to suggest perhaps that I had stumbled, fallen down a rabbit hole, and awoken on the other side of the looking glass:

Board I8. Dealer East. N/S Vul.


Sukamal Das passed as dealer and then backed in with Two Clubs (purportedly showing majors) when Alan Mould's strong INT opening was passed back to him. Whatever happened to at least 5-4 in your two suits, I wondered? Frankly, it's the sort of bid l'd expect to see from an ill-disciplined junior player, so I checked the video feed from the table. Well, he is certainly no junior! And who can argue with success? Two Spades went down one when the likely low club lead against INT would have conceded -90; N/S +50.

## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kendrick | Dhakras | Ward | Sridharan |
| - | - | Pass | INT |
| Pass | 2 | Pass | $2 \diamond$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Here, Subhash Dhakras decided to try to improve the contract via Stayman. Of course, by advancing to the twolevel you need to make TWO more tricks to make it worthwhile. Usually, a 4-4 fit will play one trick better than notrump, and yet here there is no guarantee that you have a fit at all. In a just world, the opener would always show up with a 3-3-2-5 shape when North takes this route but, of course, today Dhakras found his partner with four diamonds and all was rosy in the Indian garden: N/S +90 and I IMP to India. Surreal, and the Mad Hatter hadn't even got to the tea party yet.
The third board was flat at N/S +590 in Four Hearts Doubled with nine tricks available to E/W in spades. On the fourth, the English West stuck his neck on the block but was lucky to escape for -500 . His teammates duly collected their boring +630 , so that was 4 IMPs to England. Anyone joining the match after five deals would see a 6-5 score-line and think that the bridge had been exemplary. Then came the first major swing:

Board 22. Dealer East. E/WVul.
s-
$\bigcirc$ K 107
$\diamond A$ Q 74
\& K Q J 1043


Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Saha | Holland | Das | Mould |
| - | - | $1 \varphi$ | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | $2 \dot{\infty}$ | $3 \varnothing$ |
| Pass | $4 \dot{\infty}$ | Pass | $5 \dot{\$}$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Mould/Holland conducted a controlled auction to Five Clubs and East led the $\boldsymbol{\wedge}$, ruffed by declarer. With one certain discard available in dummy, Holland had to decide
how best to avoid losing two diamonds in addition to the ace of trumps. His solution was a low diamond from hand at trick two. His objective, presumably, was to win any return and play one round of trumps before trying to ruff a diamond in dummy. When East's $\diamond K$ popped up (thankfully, the $\diamond I O$ and $\diamond K$ were not reversed), Holland had eleven tricks: N/S +400. Their efforts proved to be almost irrelevant, though.
Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kendrick | Dhakras | Ward | Sridharan |
| - | - | 49 | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | All Pass |  |

Trevor Ward picked an inopportune moment for an offcentre pre-empt. There is a huge difference between Four Hearts and higher pre-emptive opening bids. For a start, opponents often bid over Four Hearts, but you are much more likely to be left to play doubled when the opposition have to go to the five-level. There is also less need to preempt when you have the senior suit, as you will often be able to outbid the opponents anyway.
"Off with his head," cried Dhakras, from the North seat. And so it was, as dummy contributed zero tricks to the cause (other than holding the trump losers to one): N/S +800 and 9 IMPs to India.

Board 23. Dealer South. All Vul.

```
- A QJ 98
© J 109
\(\diamond A\) Q 62
- K
```

| , - | N | - K 10762 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{K}$ Q 5 |  | $\bigcirc 842$ |
| $\diamond$ K 9875 |  | $\checkmark 103$ |
| \& 19874 | S | + 532 |
|  | - 543 |  |
|  | ¢ 4763 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ J 4 |  |
|  | 2 A Q 106 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kendrick | Dhakras | Ward | Sridharan |
| - | - | - | $I \Delta^{*}$ |
| Pass | $I Q$ | Pass | INT |
| $2 \&$ | Dble | Pass | Pass |
| $2 \diamond$ | Dble | All Pass |  |

The presence of 'Kamikaze Dave' at the table certainly makes for exciting viewing (and, presumably, scoring as long as you don't suffer from a weak stomach). Even the most mundane of deals can quickly become interesting. Dave Kendrick restrained himself on the first round of the auction here, but could not resist wading in when opener limited his hand (but notably failed to raise his partner's suit). Even the dormouse knew that dummy would turn up
with the rest of the spade suit (and he was asleep).
The eK lead would have given the defence a shot at + I IO0, but Dhakras led a routine $\vee \mathrm{J}$. After three rounds of hearts, declarer played a club to North's bare king and ruffed the $\mathbf{Q}$ exit. The second round of clubs was taken by South with the ten, and the defence now played a trump to North's queen and a second round back to ten, jack and king. Declarer played a third round of trumps to North's ace and now the VuGraph operator joined in the fun by leading the $\varphi \mathrm{Q}$, allowing declarer to escape for two down. Alas, the official score shows that Dhakras exited with his last trump and South then claimed the last three tricks with two high clubs and the thirteenth heart; $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{S}+800$.
Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Saha | Holland | Das | Mould |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| Pass | $1 \varphi$ | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{e}^{*}$ |
| $2 \diamond$ | $4 \varrho$ | Dble | All Pass |

The English South fits the mould (pun intended) of a traditional Seniors player, so he would never dream of opening that flat II-count. When Holland opened in third seat, Mould used Drury to show a maximum pass with a spade fit. Subrata Saha also ventured into four-figured territory but, of course, Holland was never going to double now that he's found a fit, and he jumped directly to game. There was still a price to be paid, though. Sukamal Das, expecting his partner to have something useful for his vulnerable intervention, now let declarer know he had a surprise waiting.


Alan Mould, England

Holland won the $\diamond I O$ lead in hand with the queen, cashed the $\$ K$, and advanced the just to make sure. East won with the $\Phi \mathrm{K}$ and shifted to hearts, but declarer won the ace, pitched his two heart losers on dummy's high clubs, ruffed a heart to hand, and played ace and another diamond. Das scored three trump tricks, but that was all the defence could get: $N / S+790$ and just another dull flat board in Wonderland.
Curiously, the next board was also flat, at N/S +I50 in Four Spades down three. Had the Indian North still not realized that he should just wait for the bidding to end and then double?

Board 25. Dealer North. E/W Vul.

- A 105

Q QJ 754
$\diamond$ KJ 62

- 6
- K Q
$\bigcirc 8$
$\diamond 108754$
\& 19853

- 43

คAK963
$\diamond A$ Q 93
\& K 2

- 197642
- 102
$\diamond$ -
* A Q 1074


John Holland, England

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Saha | Holland | Das | Mould |
| - | 18 | Pass | 19 |
| Pass | 2 | Pass | 4 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Das stayed surprisingly quiet on his 16 -count as the English pair bid quite reasonably to game in their 6-3 fit. "Points Schmoints!" as a well-known American bridge writer once said. And quite right they were too, as Four Spades cannot legitimately be beaten on any lead. However, making it single dummy proved to be more difficult.
West led a club to his partner's king."Where do I begin?" thought Mould.
"Begin at the beginning," said the $\triangleleft K$, smiling across from dummy. "Then go on until you get to the end, then stop."
A lot of help you are, thought Mould (that must be ironic as it is surely clear that the old fellow would be of no use at all). Winning with the $\boldsymbol{N} \mathrm{A}$, Mould ruffed a club in dummy. He then ruffed a diamond back to hand, ruffed a second club in dummy, then repeated the process, ruffing his last club loser with the A . He now ruffed a diamond and played a trump, but West won and played a fifth round of clubs for his partner to ruff. Declarer still had to lose two hearts and another high trump: two down and N/S -I00.
After ruffing the first diamond, declarer can make the contract only by playing a heart whilst there are still two trump entries to dummy. He will eventually set up a heart winner on which to throw his last club loser as West ruffs with his natural trump trick. Declarer makes six trump tricks, two clubs and two club ruffs.
Needless to say, N/S did not have the auction to themselves at the other table:
Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kendrick | Dhakras | Ward | Sridharan |
| - | $1 \$$ | INT | $2 \downarrow$ |
| 2NT | Pass | 3NT | $4 \dot{\leftrightarrow}$ |
| Pass | 4 | Dble | All Pass |

Here, Ward not unreasonably overcalled INT on the East hand, and South competed with a non-forcing, noninvitational Two Spades. There now seems to have been some confusion over the meaning of Kendrick's 2NT. I would guess he intended it as Lebensohl or, perhaps, minors. (lt should be Lebensohl, as the problems are identical to those posed by the auction INT-(2 )-?) It seems, though, that Ward assumed 2NT was natural and invitational, so he duly went on to game. When the auction came back to him at Four Spades, he can hardly be blamed for doubling.
Kendrick led the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$, which is in some ways more testing for declarer, although if he wins the $\Phi \mathrm{A}$ and then plays either major, the play will simplify. However, Ramamurthy Sridharan played a club at trick two. To make the contract legitimately now, he must finesse the queen. When, instead, he put up the ace, the defence were one step ahead.

Declarer ruffed a club at trick three and led a low diamond from dummy. Had Trevor Ward just followed low, as his counterpart at the other table did, he would have ended up with a plus score. However, Ward decided that this was the perfect time for the red queen to put in an appearance. Sridharan ruffed, ruffed another club with dummy's last trump and led the $\diamond K$.Ward had to cover, and declarer ruffed to leave these cards, with declarer leading six tricks to nil.


When declarer exited with a trump, West won and what can the defenders do?
West cannot lead a club without giving declarer his tenth trick with the el . Playing a heart endplays East into resuscitating the apparently entry-less dummy; N/S +590 and 12 IMPs to India.


India led 27-6 at this point, but the Bridge Gods then smiled on England by putting North on lead with:
\& 9743
$\checkmark$ A
$\diamond$ KJ 103
\& A 83
Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kendrick | Dhakras | Ward | Sridharan |
| - | - | $2 \diamond *$ | Pass |
| $4 \mathbf{e n}^{*}$ | Pass | $4 \diamond^{*}$ | Pass |
| $4 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |  |

Two Diamonds was a Multi and Four Clubs asked partner to transfer to his suit. What would you lead?
Dhakras chose a diamond, which concedes the overtrick. A club is the only winning lead. At the other table East became declarer and the English South had no problem cashing his $\mathrm{KK}-\mathrm{Q}$ and then leading a diamond through dummy's A-Q; I 3 IMPs to England, back in the match. There was another double-digit swing up for grabs on the next deal, though:

Board 27. Dealer South. None Vul.

- AJ 32

Q 3
$\diamond{ }^{\prime} 7$

- AKJ982

- A 95
- K 9654
$\diamond$ Q 6
- 764
$\bigcirc$ -
$\diamond$ A 8432
\& Q 1053
Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kendrick | Dhakras | Ward | Sridharan |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| 18 | $2 \boldsymbol{e}$ | $4 \curlyvee$ | $5 \$$ |
| $5>$ | All Pass |  |  |

I suppose it's a matter of style, but I was taught forty years ago that Four Hearts was pre-emptive, just with more trumps/shape than Three Hearts. With a real limit raise or better you have to start with either Three Clubs or, if you play it as a raise, 2NT. So, you would then bid $3 \Omega / 4 \oslash$ with this East hand minus the $\$ \mathrm{~A}$, or perhaps with a little more shape, say $x x x x / K x x x x / x / x x x$, if you prefer. Can it really be right to make the same bid on this actual hand?
Not that this is a universally-held view. I discussed it during commentary with Gunnar Hallberg, who said that he has to bid Three Hearts on all the weak hands, as Four Hearts shows some defense for him (i.e. something like the real East hand). Clearly, though, Kendrick was not expecting
his partner to be this strong, as he simply competed to the five-level. There were twelve easy tricks; E/W +680.
Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Saha | Holland | Das | Mould |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| $1\rangle$ | 29 | 4 | $5 \%$ |
| 5 | Pass | $6 \vee$ | All Pass |

At the other table, it would seem that Saha was expecting his partner to hold a smattering of values, as he advanced with a Five Diamond cue-bid. It was well judged by Das to realize that he had exactly what partner needed; E/W +1430 and 13 IMPs to India.
The match ended with a 39-28 ( $12.7-6.76 \mathrm{VPs}$ ) win for India. India remained in first place and England dropped to fourth with three matches left in the Round Robin.As I left my seat in the commentary room, I thought about going back to yesterday. That would be no good, though, as I was a different person then, so I instead headed down to the Bulletin Room. Just as I arrived, the legendary writer and commentator David Bird put his head around the door to ask what had happened to the third session on Sunday.
"There isn't one," said Co-ordinator, Mark Horton."You'll have to cover the second session."
"Impossible," said Bird. "That starts at some ungodly hour."
"Sometimes l've done as many as six impossible things before breakfast," responded Horton.
"That's when the daily opening of his wallet takes place," explained Brian Senior from in front of his customary computer in a dark corner of the room.
Ignoring him, Horton added, "You're back covering the Seniors again tomorrow, Smithy."
"But I don't want to go among the mad people," I protested.
"Oh, you can't help that," piped up the Cheshire Cat. "We're all mad here."
I walked away shaking my head. Glancing through the scorecard from this match, I saw three 590 s, one 790 , two 300 s , two 500 s , and two 800s in 16 boards. It brought back nostalgic memories from 35 years ago. I had always heard that as people get old they slowly return to their childhood. What I hadn't previously realized was that there was also a stage at which bridge players reverted to being juniors again.
Now where has all the cake gone? This was advertised as the Editor's tea party...


## Invitation

White House Juniors International I5-20 March 2020 Amsterdam
When looking at the playing field during these wonderful World Team Championships, there's a long, long list of players who also once competed in one of the largest privately held international junior tournaments in the world. We're only just a few years shy of adding players from the d'Orsi Trophy to the list, since the first White House Junior Tournament was played back in 1993.

We gladly invite any junior captain/NBO to sign up for our junior tournament in Amsterdam (15-20 March 2020) by sending an e-mail to whitehousejuniors2020@gmail.com.We will contact you with further details.

Be quick, only 24 teams can join!


Bob Drijver \& Tim Heeres

## World Championship Book 2019 Preordering

The official book of these World Championships in Wuhan will be out around April or May next year. It will comprise approximately 400 full colour large pages as in previous years.
Principal contributors will be Ron Klinger, Maurizio Di Sacco, Barry Rigal, Brian Senior and GeO Tislevoll.
The book will include many photographs, a full results service, and extensive coverage of the major championship events.
The official retail price will be US\$35 plus postage but you can pre-order while in Wuhan at the special price of US $\$ 30 / 200$ Yuan post free (surface mail). The books will be posted from England before your local retailer has a supply. The pre-order can be done in either of two ways:
I.Through Jan Swaan in the Press Room, which can be found opposite the bottom right-hand exit from the vugraph theatre.
2. By email from Brian Senior, the editor, and pay by PayPal.
The address is bsenior@hotmail.com


Jos Jacobs

## France v Poland

With time gradually beginning to run out on this, the penultimate day of the Round Robin,VPs are getting more and more precious. At the start of the round, with four rounds still to play, France were in 7th place with an II-VP advantage over eighth-placed Sweden. Poland, on the other hand, would need all they could get as they were still trailing Sweden by 16 VPs.
Poland scored the first IMP of the match when a French sacrifice proved a trifle expensive but on the next board, higher numbers were introduced:

Board I8. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

- J 94

ค9832
J85 2

- 65
- A 32
© AJ
$\diamond$ K 109
\& QJ 732
$W^{N} E 107$
ค 1076
$\checkmark$ A Q 73
* AKIO

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I Grzejdziak | Rombaut | S Grzejdziak | Gaviard |
| - | - | Pass | INT |

All Pass
When Igor Grzejdziak made the unlucky lead of a low club away from his Q J, declarer had all the time in the world to concede a diamond and to guess right in spades, finishing up with an overtrick thanks to the blockage in hearts; France + 20 .
Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B Cronier | Ogloblin | P Cronier | Marks |
| - | - | Pass | INT |
| Pass | Pass | $2 \mathbf{2}^{*}$ | Pass |
| $2 \diamond *$ | Pass | $2 \boxtimes$ | All Pass |

Philippe Cronier was more aggressive than his Polish counterpart. When he introduced his major two-suiter, the French reached a curious contract in a strong 4-2 fit.
Unaware of this, South led a normal enough top club. What next? South continued $\diamond A$ and another, but this was no good. Dummy won and played the 2 . South won the king and continued a diamond, but declarer ruffed and drew three rounds of trumps before playing on clubs. North could ruff at any time and cash a diamond, but with
the still in dummy, eight tricks were assured. France another +IIO and a remarkable 6 IMPs to them.
Three rounds of clubs don't help the defence either because there is no communication to cash two defensive spade-tricks in time. A double-dummy initial diamond lead and continuation might help, however.
The next board saw the first double-figure swing of the match, but by no means the last...

Board I9. Dealer South. E/W Vul.

- 10
-AK 985
$\diamond$ Q 4
2 K J 1083

\& 97
Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I Grzejdziak | Rombaut | S Grzejdziak | Gaviard |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| I | 2 | Pass | $4 》$ |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

West's double was primarily for take-out, of course, but East quite rightly felt she had nowhere to go and could only hope for the best. There was a more serious problem for the defence, however: West was on lead.
I don't think West can actually defeat $4 \checkmark$ but on the lead of the followed by a low diamond, declarer was in full control when dummy's $\diamond Q$ held the trick. $\vee A, \diamond A$ and a club up made it easy going, when the clubs came down 33 later on; France +590.
Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B Cronier | Ogloblin | P Cronier | Marks |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| Is | $2 \oslash$ | Pass | $3 \varnothing$ |
| Dble | $4 \odot$ | All Pass |  |

East led a spade to partner's and West continued A and another. Declarer went up with her king, cashed the $\checkmark$ A (oops) and ruffed a club only to find out that she could no longer make the contract. She cannot draw trumps, discard dummy's last diamond on her winning clubs and ruff her own last diamond in dummy. Had she taken an
immediate finesse of the ${ }^{2}$, all would have been well for her but as it was, one down was the outcome; Another +100 to France, good for another 12 IMPs.
Next came another double-figure swing.
Board 20. Dealer West. All Vul.

|  | ¢ 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AKQ 4 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AK 6 |  |
|  | \% K Q 854 |  |
| ¢ A Q 7632 | N | - 94 |
| $\bigcirc$ J93 |  | $\bigcirc 10865$ |
| $\checkmark 8$ |  | $\diamond$ Q 10972 |
| - A 102 | S | ¢ J 9 |
|  | ¢ K J 108 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 72$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ J 543 |  |
|  | \& 763 |  |

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B Cronier | Ogloblin | PCronier | Marks |
| Is | Dble | Pass | INT |
| Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{s}$ | Pass | 3NT |
| Pass | $4 \boldsymbol{e}$ | Pass | 4NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

North and South were not on the same wavelength here. South clearly intended 3NT as 'end of the auction' but North not unreasonably assumed it showed some extra values. In 4NT, playing on clubs does not work because East will get the lead to push a spade through. When West actually led a heart, declarer had no chance whatsoever and even went down three; France +300 .


Rafal Marks, Poland

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I Grzejdziak | Rombaut | S Grzejdziak | Gaviard |
| Is | Dble | Pass | INT |
| Pass | 24 | Pass | 2NT |
| Pass | $3 \mathbf{2}$ | Pass | 3NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Nothing special here. North showed a strong hand, but South was not impressed. On a spade lead, declarer played on clubs and thus came to her nine tricks easily enough. (That requires declarer to lead a club to the king, then play the 2Q to keep East off lead, of course. Ed.) France another +600 and 14 IMPs to them. The score stood at 32-I now. Two boards later:

Board 22. Dealer East. E/W Vul.

| Q - |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ K 107 |  |
| $\diamond$ A Q 74 |  |
| \& K Q J 1043 |  |
| N | ¢ A Q 108543 |
|  | $\bigcirc$ J 62 |
|  | $\checkmark \mathrm{K}$ |
| S | - 49 |
| ¢ K 762 |  |
| $\bigcirc$ A Q 53 |  |
| $\checkmark 93$ |  |
| 9 862 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I Grzejdziak | Rombaut | S Grzejdziak Gaviard |  |
| - | - | IQ | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | 2 | Pass |
| Pass | $3 \&$ | Pass | 3NT |

All Pass
The N/S spade stopper was not entirely adequate. Spade lead, down three; Poland +150 .
Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B Cronier | Ogloblin | P Cronier | Marks |
| - | - | 19 | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | 21 | 38 |
| Pass | 34* | Pass | 3NT |
| Pass | 4\% | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

When South suggested an alternative game contract, North was happy to accept. West led a spade to East's ace and a spade came back, dummy ruffing. A club went to East's ace and another spade was ruffed by West with the nine and overruffed with dummy's ten. The $\oslash \mathrm{K}$ came next, declarer overtaking with the ace and playing two more rounds of trumps. East got the lead with his last trump but


Dominika Ogloblin, Poland
with both the $\Phi K$ and $\diamond A$ still there, dummy's clubs provided the necessary tricks. Poland a fine +420 and II IMPs back to them.
Three more quiet boards and then:
Board 26. Dealer East. All Vul.

- 9743
$\checkmark$ A
$\diamond$ KJIO 3
\& A 873
AK 10652
$\triangleright J 94$
$\diamond A$ Q
52

$\pm 1$
○K Q 8732
$\triangleleft 9742$
\& 19
- Q 8
$\checkmark 1065$
865
\% K Q 1064
Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I Grzejdziak | Rombaut | S Grzejdziak | Gaviard |
| - | - | $2 \diamond^{*}$ | Pass |
| $4 \mathbf{N}^{*}$ | Pass | $4 \diamond^{*}$ | Pass |
| $4 \nabla$ | Dble | All Pass |  |

The Multi with transfer request made it more difficult for the French to find the killing defence. Two rounds of clubs and a diamond shift from South would have done the job, but when North decided to have a look first by leading the $\checkmark$ A, his club switch came too late. Poland +790 as the losing diamonds all went on the established spades.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B Cronier | Ogloblin | P Cronier | Marks |
| - | - | 2 |  |
| $4 \vee$ | Dble | All Pass | Pass |

South led his two top clubs and then tried a diamond. With the $\vee A$ still out, declarer had to finesse, so the contract went a swift one down for another +200 and 14 IMPs to Poland. Suddenly, the score stood at 33-3I but still to France.
The next board was a slam and it was greeted with some disbelief at one table.

Board 27. Dealer South. None Vul.

$$
\text { Q QJ } 32
$$

$\triangleright 3$
$\diamond$ J 7

- AKJ982


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I Grzejdziak | Rombaut | S Grzejdziak Gaviard |  |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| 18 | $2 \%$ | $2 N T^{*}$ | $5 \%$ |
| 5 | Pass | 68 | All Pass |

East's 2NT of course showed heart support. Over partner's 5 §, East thought she had an undisclosed useful ace and thus bid one for the road. Well done; Poland +980 .


Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B Cronier | Ogloblin | P Cronier | Marks |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| $1 \varnothing$ | 29 | $4 \checkmark$ | $5 \%$ |
| 58 | Pass | Pass | $6 \%$ |
| $6 \varnothing$ | Pass | Pass | Dble |

## All Pass

South drove his opponents to the slam after all but quickly regretted it. France +1210 and 6 IMPs to them.
And another slam on the next board


## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $I$ Grzejdziak | Rombaut | S Grzejdziak | Gaviard |
| $I \diamond$ | Pass | 3 | 4 |
| $5 \diamond$ | Dble | All Pass |  |

North, with his good defensive hand, had every reason to wonder where the opponents' tricks might come from. Please note East's nuisance raise to 3 ; down four, France +800 .
Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B Cronier | Ogloblin | P Cronier | Marks |
| I $\diamond$ | I $\searrow$ | Pass | $1 乌$ |
| Pass | INT | Pass | $4 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass | $5 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $6 \diamond$ | Pass | $6 \$$ |

When East kept quiet, so did South, relatively speaking. No doubt, North was thinking that partner was showing interest in hearts, but holding the higher-ranking suit, South was never in trouble. Poland a fine +1430 and 12 IMPs to take the lead in the match: 43-39.
As there was nothing in the last four boards, this also became the final score. It amounted to II. $20-8.80$ to Poland. The Poles' remote chances to make it into the top eight had remained intact and the French had more or less consolidated their position.

## The WBF visits the Jixian Community Activity Centre in Wuhan

On Saturday 21 st September the WBF, represented by the WBF President Gianarrigo Rona and by the WBF Secretary Simon Fellus, visited the Jixian Community Activity Centre where a large number of older people attend four days per week to enjoy learning bridge, having extra training and playing bridge tournaments.
The WBF Delegation had the pleasure of meeting Yuan Shanla, former Deputy Executive Mayor of Wuhan, Wang

Ganwu, former District Mayor of Qiaokou, Yang Bin, Director of District Administration of Culture and Sport, Gan Derun, former President of Wuhan Bridge Association and Yang Shuhua, Operator of Jixian Community Activity Centre of Bridge.
At the end of visit this delightful picture was taken with the WBF President, surrounded by the bridge players, all shouting "WE LOVE BRIDGE".



David Bird

## Sweden v England

With three round-robin matches to play, the England team was in sixth position. They would face three very tough opponents, though: Sweden, China and USA 2. Qualifying in the top eight places might prove an uphill task.
Marc Smith and I were hoping for the best when the BBO screen sprang to life. There was no action of note for four boards. Then a sequence of three boards brought very bad news indeed to England supporters.

| Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - K 9 |  |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc 7$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\diamond$ KJ76543 |  |  |  |  |
| - 543 |  |  |  |  |
| ¢ 852$\bigcirc 182$ |  | N |  | - A Q 73 |
|  |  | QJ82 W E $\quad$ WKQ1064 |  |  |
| $\triangleleft$ Q W E $\quad$ W |  |  |  |  |
| - A Q 10876 |  | d |  | 2 KJ |
| - J 1064 |  |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ A 95 |  |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ A 1082 |  |  |  |  |
| -92 |  |  |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |  |
| West | North |  | East | South |
| Nystrom | Malin | nowski | Upmark | k Bakhshi |
| - | 3 - |  | 38 | Pass |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | All P |  |  |  |

This layout seemed to have 'flat board' written all over it. Johan Upmark won the club lead and led the $\ulcorner Q$. David Bakhshi won the second round of trumps and cashed the $\diamond$ A. It was +450 for Sweden.
Can you predict what sort of mishap would befall the England E/W pair, sending a double-digit number in their minus column?
Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Allerton | O. Rimstedt | Jagger | M. Rimstedt |
| - | $3 \diamond$ | $3 \odot$ | $3 N T$ |
| 4e | All Pass |  |  |

Our first question must be:Why bid 4e? If it was meant to be a slam-try, it is too ambitious when facing a threelevel overcall. If it was meant to be lead-directing, against a possible diamond sacrifice, I again find that rather off-piste.
Next we must look at East's pass. Partner's $4 \%$ bid, without a 3NT intervention, would obviously be forcing, whatever it meant. After 3NT, it could conceivably be a sacrifice on a long suit. All the more reason for West not to bid it, perhaps.

The defenders took the first four tricks, after a heart lead, and the club part-score was one down. It was a depressing way to lose II IMPs.

Board 6. Dealer East. E/W Vul.


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nystrom | Malinowski | Upmark | Bakhshi |
| - | - | Pass | 19* |
| Pass | INT | Pass | 24 |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | 38 |
| Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass | 49 |



Johan Upmark, Sweden


David Bakhshi, England
David Bakhshi opened with a Polish Club. It covers various different hand-types, but is usually either a weak no-trump or a strong club.Artur Malinowski gave a positive response and 24 announced the strong-club type with spades. Over North's 2NT rebid, Bakhshi rebid his spades. That was entirely reasonable, but you may think that the Swedish South chose a better option at the other table.
Artur Malinowski, who had denied three-card spade support at his previous return, now did the best that he could by making a control-bid in hearts. This denied the $\triangleleft \mathrm{K}$ and Bakhshi could see possible losers in three suits. Although a slam was possible, it doesn't seem unreasonable to sign off in 44. Only the fact that dummy has precious values in all three suits outside clubs makes this a good slam.
Bakhshi won the 86 lead and must have nodded ruefully at the riches on display in the dummy. He drew trumps and took two diamond finesses through East, scoring +480 .

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allerton | O. Rimstedt | Jagger | M. Rimstedt |
| - | - | Pass | 14 |
| Pass | INT | Pass | 40* |
| Pass | 4NT | Pass | 5\%* |
| Pass | 5 ${ }^{*}$ | Pass | 69 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Mikael Rimstedt's $4{ }^{2}$ e showed a void and a great hand for spades. 4NT was RKCB, the response showing four keycards. North's subsequent $5 \boxtimes$ asked for specific kings. It
also implied the $₫ \mathrm{Q}$, since $5 \diamond$ would have asked for this card. Although South held no side-suit king, he judged that he was too good to sign off in 51. (Many thanks to the great AI Hollander for this explanation!)
It was a fabulous display of slam bidding. Showing South's club void was the key to success. A diamond lead solved any problem in that suit and Sweden gained another II IMPs. In the Bermuda Bowl, II out of 24 pairs bid the slam. Well done to them!
After two solid punches to the jaw, the England team would indeed be tottering if any similar blow arrived on the next board. Let's hope not!

Board 7. Dealer South. All Vul.

- K Q J 86

ค 863
$\diamond 12$
-976

| ¢ A 73 | N | $\pm 2$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 105$ |  | $\bigcirc$ AK 972 |
| $\diamond$ KQ 965 |  | $\diamond 8743$ |
| \& A 32 | S | \& K 54 |
|  | ¢ 10954 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q J 4 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 10 |  |
|  | * Q J 108 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nystrom | Malinowski | Upmark | Bakhshi |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| $1 \Delta^{*}$ | $1 \triangleq$ | $2 \boldsymbol{\wedge}^{*}$ | 2 NT |
| $3 \diamond$ | Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $4 N T$ | Pass | $5 \mathbf{2}^{*}$ | Pass |
| $6 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |  |

The $\mathrm{I} \diamond$ opening was (a) a I2-I4 NT, (b) 4 M and $5+\mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{II}-$ I5, or (c) any 444I, I2-I6. East's 24 was forcing to game with hearts. I assume that the free $3 \diamond$ bid showed I2-I4 balanced with five diamonds. Diamonds were agreed and RKCB carried them to a very playable slam.
Fredrik Nystrom won the $\stackrel{\$}{ } \mathrm{~K}$ lead, crossed to the $\triangle \mathrm{A}$ and played a trump to the king. A heart to the king permitted a second trump lead. He then claimed twelve tricks, since a club discard could be set up on the hearts even against a 4-2 break.
It was indeed a third successive thunderbolt to England's chances in this match. Miracles occasionally happen, but I realized it would be very difficult for England's E/W pair to flatten this board.
Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Allerton | O. Rimstedt | Jagger | M. Rimstedt |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| INT | Pass | $2 \Delta^{*}$ | Pass |
| $2 \nabla$ | All Pass |  |  |

Jeffrey Allerton and Chris Jagger play a 12-I4 INT throughout. East passed cautiously on the second round and only the tiniest +140 nibble was taken out of the Swedish +1370 . Rarely had a swing of I5 IMPs been better deserved.
England supporters in the Open Room were dreading a further double-digit swing on this deal:

| Board II. Dealer South. None Vul. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| -1092 |  |
| $\bigcirc$ Q 4 |  |
| $\diamond 73$ |  |
| \% K Q J 1094 |  |
| ¢ AKQ 763 | N ¢ J |
| $\bigcirc 763$ | W ¢ $\quad$ ¢ K 105 |
| $\diamond$ K 9 | W E $\quad \diamond$ AQJIO8654 |
| ¢ 87 | $S$ \& S |
|  | ¢ 854 |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AJ 984 |
|  | $\checkmark 2$ |
|  | 96532 |

## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nystrom | Malinowski | Upmark | Bakhshi |
| - | - | - | 2®* |
| 24 | Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 30 | Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 4 ${ }^{*}$ | Pass | 4NT | Pass |
| 5 | Pass | $6\rangle$ | All Pass |

Nystrom had a good hand for diamonds, but no control to show in hearts or diamonds. He used a Last Train $4 \bigcirc$ to show a suitable hand for a slam with no reference to his holding in hearts. RKCB then carried Upmark to $6 \diamond$.
If Bakhshi's vulnerable $2 \triangleleft$ opening had been based on a six-card suit, a heart ruff would have been available. Declarer must have feared the worst when ace and another heart were led. For most of the past 30 years that would have meant one down. Not in 2019! Malinowski produced another heart and the slam was made.
Could Allerton and Jagger redeem themselves?
Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allerton | O. Rimstedt | Jagger | M. Rimstedt |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| 14 | 2\% | $2 \diamond$ | 3 ${ }^{*}$ |
| 34 | Pass | $6\rangle$ | All Pass |

Yes, they could! South's $3 \boxtimes$ was a fit-jump. West showed a good hand with his free 31 rebid and Jagger then followed the old-timers' route to $6 \diamond$. Very reasonable! The next words were like tiger balm to English ears: 'No IMPs were exchanged'.
Were you beginning to fear that there would be no cardplay content whatsoever in this report? If so, you will have to retract your words.

Board I2. Dealer West. N/S Vul.

- QJ 62

QJ73
$\diamond 952$

- K Q 5

| - A 1074 | N | - 93 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 64$ |  | $\bigcirc 109852$ |
| $\diamond$ KQ 64 | W E | $\checkmark$ J 103 |
| 9963 | S | - 472 |
|  | - K 85 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AKQ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 87 |  |
|  | - J1084 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nystrom | Malinowski | Upmark | Bakhshi |
| Pass | Pass | Pass | INT |
| Pass | $2 \AA^{*}$ | Pass | $3 \boldsymbol{Q}^{*}$ |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |

Malinowski invited game and Bakhshi accepted. How would you play this when West leads the 4 to East's nine and your king?
The game will go down only if you lose three diamonds and two aces. Meanwhile, you need tricks from both of the black suits. Should you play on clubs next, or lead a second spade from your hand?
You have no idea which defender holds the diamond length, but you can presume that West holds the A . If you lead a spade at trick two, West might beat you by rising with the ace and switching to diamonds, when East has the diamond length and the A.
After much thought, Bakhshi preferred to lead a club. East won and switched to the $\diamond$ J. Declarer held up the ace for two rounds but eventually had to play a spade. The long diamond was with West and the game was one down.

| Closed Room <br> West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Allerton | O. Rimstedt | Jagger | M. Rimstedt |
| Pass | Pass | Pass | INT |
| Pass | $2 \mathbf{2 N}^{*}$ | Pass | $2 \Delta^{*}$ |
| Pass | $2 \mathbf{N}^{*}$ | Pass | 3NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Again West led a spade, rather than a lethal diamond. Mikael Rimstedt won with the king and led a second spade. This does seem to be a better play. IfWest fails to rise with the CA , you will have two spades in the bag and can switch to clubs. Even if West does rise with the A , he might not switch to diamonds from his side of the table. If he does, well, you will then need the diamond length to lie opposite the A.Allerton rose with the A and switched to the $\diamond 4$. Declarer held up the $\diamond A$ until the third round and subsequently found the A in the safe hand. It was another I2 nails hammered into the English coffin.
Sweden had played the whole match like super-heroes. They were deserved winners by 54 IMPs to 15 . We can only congratulate them!


## Brian Senior

With two rounds to play in the Bermuda Bowl qualifying round robin, New Zealand had recovered from their appalling start to the championships to have just an outside chance of a place in the knockouts. They lay tenth and needed two substantial wins if they were to sneak into the top eight. In the penultimate round they met Italy, who lay ninth so also needed a good finish. There was no guarantee that either team would make the knockouts, but for sure neither could afford to lose this match, while a draw or small win was unlikely to be enough for the kiwis.
The action began immediately, with a possible slam hand for E/W.

| Board 17. Dealer North. None Vul. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - A 97542 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc 72$ |  |  |  |
| $\diamond$ Q |  |  |  |
| ¢ K Q 107 |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \& Q 1086 \\ & \& A Q \end{aligned}$ |  | N | - - |
|  |  | W E | QKJ10963 |
| $\diamond$ KJ 9754 |  |  | $\checkmark$ A 1032 |
| - 8 |  | S | - 542 |
|  | - KJ3 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 854$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 86$ |  |  |
|  | AJ963 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Versace | Whibley | Lauria | Brown |
| - 1 | 14 | 38 | 34 |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | 4 | Dble | All Pass |
| West | North | East | South |
| Bach | Bocchi | Cornell | Sementa |
| - 1 | 19 | 28 | 2. |
| Dble | 31 | 4 | Pass |
| 41 | Pass | 5 | All Pass |

For Italy, Lorenzo Lauria made a weak jump overcall then doubled at his next turn, suggesting a very unbalanced hand with interest in bidding on. However, having a useful spade holding and only two hearts, Alfredo Versace was happy to leave in the double. Diamonds were never a serious consideration - Lauria could, for example have had clubs rather than diamonds as his main side-suit.
Lauria led the two of clubs, which set up a ruff for Versace. Michael Whibley won the ace, dropping the queen from hand, and played king of spades followed by the three of spades to the ten and ace. A third spade went to the jack and queen, and Versace returned the seven of diamonds to Lauria's ace. Lauria thought for a bit then gave his partner the ruff, after which Versace cashed the ace and queen of hearts for down two and -300 .

At the other table, Michael Cornell, for New Zealand, made a simple overcall and was willing to bid $4 \diamond$ freely at his next turn in response to Ashley Bach's take-out double. Understandably, Bach was interested in greater things now, so made a spade cuebid to see what his partner would do. But Cornell felt that, despite having the spade control, he had done plenty of bidding already on what was, after all, only an eight-count, so signed off in game. Antonio Sementa's spade lead permitted Cornell to make all 13 tricks for +440 and 4 IMPs to New Zealand.

Board 19. Dealer South. E/WVul.

- K 54
©AK 53
$\diamond$ QJ 54
- $A 5$

West
Versace
Bach
- 

Pass
Pass
All Pass
\% K Q 8
North
Whibley
Bocchi
INT
$2 \vee$

East
Lauria
Cornell
-
Pass 20
Pass $4 \checkmark$

Both Norths opened a strong no trump, South using Stayman then raising the $2 \checkmark$ response to game, against which both Easts led the ten of clubs.
Whibley won the ace of clubs and cashed both top hearts then the king and queen of clubs for a spade discard. He continued with the nine of diamonds to the jack and ace. Lauria cashed the queen of hearts and returned a diamond, which declarer ruffed in dummy. He led a spade up,Versace rising with the ace and returning the suit. Whibley could win the king and take a ruff in each hand but was a trick short, so down one for -50 .
Norberto Bocchi too won the ace of clubs at trick one, but he cashed only one top heart before taking the spade discard on the third club. Next he led a spade up, Bach ducking, so the king won and Bocchi next led the queen of diamonds from hand. That ran round to Bach's king and he returned a diamond, ruffed in the dummy. Bocchi gave up a spade now, ruffed the diamond return, and was now in a position to cash the other top heart before taking diamond and spade ruffs to bring his total to ten for +420 and 10

IMPs to Italy.
Board 2I. Dealer North. N/S Vul.
, Q 82

- K 98
$\diamond$ A 1065
- J 75

Ⓚ9764

- J 73
$\diamond$ Q 9
\& 1098

$\pm 10$
106542
842
2 6432
- AJ 53

A Q
K J 73
AK Q

| West | North <br> Versace | Whibley | East |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | Sauria |
| :--- |
| - |
| Pass |



Matthew Brown, New Zealand

Both Souths opened with a strong artificial bid. For Sementa, that bid was $2 \triangleleft$, and his 2NT rebid was gameforcing. Bocchi checked for a five-three major-suit fit then jumped to 5NT. I'm not sure what his intention was with that, given that he converted $6 \diamond$ back to $6 N T$.
In the other room, Matthew Brown's opening bid was 2\%, which led to a Kokish auction to get his strength across, then a direct leap to 6NT.
Both Wests led the ten of clubs, as who would not? The heart blockage restricts declarer;'s options somewhat and bt decided to simply try to guess the diamonds immediately. Sementa did so by leading low to dummy's ace and back to the jack, losing to the queen. Brown cashed the $\diamond K$ then led low to the queen and ace. With a spade to be lost, that meant down one for -100 for Sementa, 12 tricks for +1440 for Brown, and 17 big IMPs to New Zealand.

Board 22. Dealer East. E/WVul.

|  | ¢ 53 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 1075$ |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q 1085 |
|  | \% K 1075 |
| ¢ J 72 | N AKQ96 |
| $\bigcirc 42$ | N $\quad \vee 6$ |
| $\diamond$ AK 976 | W E $\quad \diamond 132$ |
| 2 J 83 | $S$ Q S 964 |
|  | , 1084 |
|  | $\checkmark$ AKQJ9 83 |
|  | $\checkmark 4$ |
|  | \& A 2 |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Versace | Whibley | Lauria | Brown |
| - | - | $1 Q$ | $4 \varnothing$ |
| $4 \Phi$ | 5 | Pass | Pass |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Bach | Bocchi | Cornell | Sementa |
| - | - | IS | $3 N T$ |
| Dble | 4 | All Pass |  |

Brown made the natural overcall of $4 \bigcirc$ and that bullied Versace into supporting spades a couple of levels higher than would have been ideal. However, 4\$ worked out well as Whibley had to take out insurance in $5 \checkmark$ since $4 \infty$ could easily have been making. Versace doubled $5 \bigcirc$ and that ended the auction. Versace led a spade so Lauria took two of those then switched to a diamond and that was down one for - 100 .
Sementa overcalled 3NT, presumably showing a good $4 \checkmark$ bid. Bach could double to show some values and Bocchi removed to $4 \bigcirc$. Nobody had reason to bid over that; Bach feeling that he had already shown his values, while Cornell didn't know about the spade support. There were the same three tricks to be had as in the other room, but that meant +620 and I3 IMPs to Italy.


Michael Whibley, New Zealand
Four Spades is down two, but it would be tough for anyone to find a double.

Board 24. Dealer West. None Vul.


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Versace | Whibley | Lauria | Brown |
| 14 | Pass | 20* | 24 |
| 3 | 5 | Pass | 68 |
| Dble | Pass | 69 | All Pass |

2e Artificial GF

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bach | Bocchi | Cornell | Sementa |
| 19 | Pass | 2NT | 34 |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | 5 | 6\% | Pass |
| 64 | All Pass |  |  |

Both Souths showed a two-suiter. Sementa showed hearts and either minor, while Brown may have shown hearts and diamonds but, with Lauria's $2 \%$ response being artificial that is unclear. The music stopped at both tables in 64 and it was down to the respective Norths to find an opening lead.
Cashing the ace of hearts beats the contract as there is a slow diamond to come, while a diamond lead lets the contract through as declarer can get rid of the heart loser on the fifth club and just give up a diamond at the end. Both our Norths convinced themselves that there was no future in a heart lead so led a low diamond - and the board was flat at +980 .
In the Bermuda Bowl four Norths led the ace of hearts, three led a diamond, while in the Venice Cup it was four and four. There were four heart leads and no diamonds in the d'Orsi Trophy, four hearts and two diamonds in the Mixed Teams. I'm not mentioning any names, but there was also a sprinkling of rather embarrassing heart contracts by E/W dotted around.

Board 25. Dealer North. E/W Vul.

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 085 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| ¢ J 8432 |  |  | - AKQ 5 |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 43$ |  |  | $\bigcirc 96$ |
| $\checkmark$ AJ 2 |  | E | $\diamond$ Q 103 |
| \% 98 |  |  | 2 Q 1062 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Versace | Whibley | Lauria | Brown |
| - | 28 | Dble | Pass |
| 31 | All Pass |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Bach | Bocchi | Cornell | Sementa |
| - | $2 \bigcirc$ | Dble | $3 \bigcirc$ |

44 All Pass
These five-card weak two bids have almost become the down the middle option at these championships and we saw another example on this deal with both Norths opening $2 \triangleleft$ on their 2-5-3-3 hand. Was this a good time for the bid? Well, it all depends on whether you think that E/W would have bid to game had they been given an uncontested auction.
Both Easts made a take-out double. Brown passed with the South cards and Versace made an invitational jump to 34. Looking at a minimum for his double, Lauria declined the invitation. Sementa raised pre-emptively to $3 \bigcirc$ and Bach jumped to 4 - the 8 K looked to be of questionable value, but maybe he thought he would get a heart lead,
given the raise on his right, which would sometimes be helpful in the play.
Well, 4s required two finesses, and both the $\vee A$ and $\diamond K$ were onside, and look at the value of East's ten of diamonds! With no horrible splits elsewhere, there were ten easy tricks; + 170 for Versace but +620 for Bach, and 10 IMPs to New Zealand.

Board 27. Dealer South. None Vul.


Neither opening bid promised genuine clubs. Sementa therefore rebid the suit at his next turn to clue partner in, then decided that he had enough to spare to go on to game when Bocchi responded 2NT. Brown did not rebid the clubs at this stage but was happy to compete with 30 once Whibley had shown some values, and Whibley now went on to the no trump game.
Both Easts led the jack of spades and both declarers ran it to their king and led a club up, West ducking. Here the paths diverged.
Bocchi led a diamond at trick three, winning the king after Bach split his honours.A second club went to the jack, king and ace, and Bach returned a diamond to declarer's ten, the ४J going away from dummy. Bocchi led a spade towards the queen, Cornell winning the ace and returning a spade. Bocchi won the queen and played a club and Bach won the nine but had to concede the remainder; ten tricks for +430 .
Whibley too led a club to the queen at trick two but he continued by leading a low club off the dummy, losing to the jack. Lauria returned a diamond to the jack and king and Whibley led a spade up. Lauria winning the ace and
returning a spade. Whibley won the queen and gave up a spade and he too had ten tricks for +430 and no swing.

Board 28. Dealer West. N/S Vul.

|  | ¢ 1093 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 10653$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark 943$ |  |
|  | \% J 108 |  |
| ¢ 5 | N | ¢ A Q 72 |
| $\bigcirc$ K Q 2 | W E | $\bigcirc$ AJ 84 |
| $\diamond$ A Q 1085 |  | $\diamond$ K 6 |
| \&K964 | S | \& $A Q 2$ |
|  | - KJ864 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 97$ |  |
|  | $\diamond 172$ |  |
|  | \& 753 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Versace | Whibley | Lauria | Brown |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | INT | Pass |
| 2\% | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 34 | Pass | 6NT | All Pass |
| West | North | East | South |
| Bach | Bocchi | Cornell | Sementa |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | 18 | Pass |
| 2\% | Pass | 24 | Pass |
| 3 | Pass | 5NT | Pass |
| 68 | All Pass |  |  |

Lauria's INT response showed a balanced game-force and, after the natural rebid, $2 \triangleleft$ was a relay. When Versace showed his shortage, Lauria settled for 6NT, where there were I3 easy tricks as the cards lay; + 1020 .
The New Zealand auction was standard, with West showing I-3-5-4 or similar and East asking his partner to pick a slam. With two top heart honours, Bach of course selected $6 \oslash$. Again, there was no way to go wrong in the play so Cornell soon had all 13 tricks for +1010 and a flat board.
I guess that $7 \bigcirc$ is where you would want to be - you can take a spade ruff and, if hearts are three-three, ruff a diamond to overcome a four-two split, while if hearts are four-two you have so many chances for a thirteenth trick that you would be very disappointed not to be able to find a way home. There was one pair in the Mixed, two in the Seniors, five in the Open, and one in the Women who reached that contract. The Women also saw one pair reach 7NT and one get to 7\%. All the grand slams came home, though 7e was in serious danger with that trump layout.


Board 3I. Dealer South. N/S Vul.
\& K 9
คAJ5 3
$\diamond 842$

- K 1074

」 J 108654
$\checkmark 8642$
$\diamond$ J 107
-


- Q 73
- K 107
$\diamond$ AK 63
- J 53

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Versace | Whibley | Lauria | Brown |
| - | - | - | 10 |
| Pass | I $\diamond$ | 20 | Dble |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Bach | Bocchi | Cornell | Sementa |
| - | - | - | 19 |
| 24 | Dble | Pass | 2NT |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |

Brown's l\% opening promised only two cards so Lauria made a natural 2evercall of Whibley's transfer response. Brown made a support double to show three hearts and, with his balanced hand and no guarantee of game, Whibley left it in. Whibley led out three rounds of diamonds, Lauria winning in dummy after unblocking the queen at trick one. Lauria led a heart to the nine and ten and Brown played a spade to the king and ace. Lauria got out with his remaining spade so Brown won the queen and cashed the $\odot \mathrm{K}$ then led a third heart for Lauria to ruff. Down to only trumps, Lauria led the nine of clubs, which ran round to Whibley's ten. He played the fourth heart and that enabled Brown to over-ruff with his jack then exit and Lauria could win cheaply but then had to lead from the \&AQ at trick 12 to concede a trick to the king; down three for -500 and well bid and defended by the New Zealand pair.
Where Versace had gone quietly with the West cards, Bach made a weak jump overcall. This had the effect of taking away any temptation for Cornell to get involved with his long club suit and N/S bid to 3NT. There is plenty of work to do, but the defence cannot actually beat 3NT only declarer can do that.
Bach led the jack of diamonds and Sementa won the ace and played a club to the ten and queen. Back came the queen of diamonds, which he won with the king. Now Sementa tried a spade to the king, losing to the ace, and back came a spade to his queen. He led the ten of hearts now and ran it, and the roof fell in. Cornell won the $\varnothing Q$, cashed the ace of clubs, and put his partner in with the ten of diamonds to cash four spade winners. The contract was no fewer than four down for -400 and 14 IMPs to New Zealand.

Board 32. Dealer West. E/W Vul.

- AJ 4
$\checkmark$ AJIO 963
$\diamond$ K 3
- 74

| \& K Q 72 | N | ¢ 1063 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 5$ |  | $\bigcirc$ K 4 |
| $\checkmark$ A 95 | W E | $\diamond$ J10 86 |
| 2 Q J 653 | S | 9 10982 |
|  | - 985 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q 872 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q 742 |  |
|  | 2 AK |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Versace | Whibley | Lauria | Brown |
| 18 | 18 | Pass | 2\% |
| Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Bach | Bocchi | Cornell | Sementa |
| 190 | 18 | Pass | 2NT |
| Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |  |

Both Norths became declarer in $4 \Omega$.
Cornell led the jack of diamonds, which ran to Bocchi's king. Bocchi played a diamond back, ducked to Bach's nine, and Bach returned the two of spades. Bocchi got that wrong, playing low and losing to the ten, and Cornell continued with a spade to the queen and ace. But the initial diamond lead had given Bocchi a vital tempo. He could cross to the king of clubs and lead dummy's low diamond, ruffing out the ace, the cash the ace of hearts before crossing to the A to take a spade discard on the queen of diamonds. There was just the king of hearts to be lost, so the contract was just made for +420 . Nicely played by Bocchi.
Lauria led the ten of clubs in the other room. Whibley won in dummy and ran the seven of hearts to the king. Lauria promptly returned the three of spades, Versace falsecarding with the king and Whibley winning the ace. Whibley led the jack of hearts to dummy's queen followed by a low diamond to his king. Versace won the next diamond and returned a low spade and Whibley thought for a long time then played... the jack! He had his contract now for +420 and just another dull push.
New Zealand had come out on top by 45-23 IMPs, converting to $15.38-4.62 \mathrm{VPs}$, and would go into the final round of qualifying in eighth position. However, Israel and Italy were very close behind and were playing teams in the bottom quarter of the field, while New Zealand had to face the powerful Dutch squad, so despite their tremendous efforts to get into contention, were still not favourites to make the top eight.

## BUTLER

| Players |  |  | Boards |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Butler | NBO B |  |
| MECKSTROTH Jeff - RODWELL Eric | 1.00 | U.S.A. | 287 |
| DUBOIN Giorgio -VERSACEAlfredo | 0.86 | Italy | 96 |
| NYSTROM Fredrik - UPMARK Johan | 0.79 | Sweden | 240 |
| BROGELAND Boye - LINDQVIST Espen | 0.77 | Norway | 336 |
| KALITA Jacek - NOWOSADZKI Michal | 0.74 | Poland | 320 |
| DEWJJS Simon - MULLER Bauke | 0.73 | Netherlands | 240 |
| JU Chuancheng - SHI Zheng Jun | 0.73 | China | 240 |
| AA Terje - LIVGARD Allan | 0.67 | Norway | 224 |
| FORRESTER Tony - ROBSON Andrew | 0.61 | England | 256 |
| BACHAshley - CORNELL Michael | 0.55 | New Zealand | 288 |
| CHEN Gang - ZHUANG Zejun | 0.52 | China | 240 |
| VAN PROOIJEN Ricco -VERHEES Jr Louk | 0.52 | Netherlands | 256 |
| FOURCAUDOT Marc-Andre - L'ECUYER Nicolas | 0.50 | Canada | 32 |
| EDGTTON Nabil - HUNG Andy Pei-en | 0.45 | Australia | 304 |
| EKENBERG Simon - HULT Simon | 0.44 | Sweden | 240 |
| LEVIN Robert (Bobby) -WEINSTEIN Steve | 0.42 | U.S.A. | 287 |
| AHASAN Md Rashedul - CHOWDHURY M.A. R. | 0.42 | Bangladesh | 48 |
| HU Linlin - LIUYinghao | 0.41 | China | 256 |
| BIRMAN Alon - PADON Dror | 0.39 | Israel | 272 |
| ANKLESARIA Keyzad - TEWARI Rajeshwar | 0.38 | India | 288 |
| BURAS Krzysztof - NARKIEWICZ Grzegorz | 0.37 | Poland | 288 |
| GRECO Eric - HAMPSON Geoff | 0.34 | U.S.A. | 288 |
| ALLERTON Jeffrey - JAGGER Chris | 0.32 | England | 208 |
| RIMSTEDT Mikael - RIMSTEDT Ola | 0.30 | Sweden | 256 |
| LAU Pik-Kin Tony - NG Chi-Cheung Baron | 0.30 | China Hong Kong | g 240 |
| LAURIA Lorenzo -VERSACEAlfredo | 0.29 | Italy | 272 |
| BOCCHI Norberto - SEMENTA Antonio | 0.29 | Italy | 304 |
| LEVIN Amir - ROLL Josef | 0.29 | Israel | 256 |
| AHASAN Md Rashedul - HAQUE Shah Zia-ul | 0.25 | Bangladesh | 112 |
| WAN Siu-Kau Samuel - ZEN Derek | 0.25 | China Hong Kong | g 240 |
| GEORGE Julius Anthonius - PARASIAN Robert | 0.21 | Indonesia | 256 |
| MILNE Liam - NUNNTony | 0.20 | Australia | 160 |
| CHMURSKI Bartosz -TUCZYNSKI Piotr | 0.18 | Poland | 128 |
| DRIJVER Bob - NAB Bart | 0.15 | Netherlands | 240 |
| TISLEVOLL Geir-Olav -WARE Michael | 0.14 | New Zealand | 152 |
| BAKHSHI David - MALINOWSKI Artur | 0.11 | England | 272 |
| KATZ Ralph - NICKELL Nick | 0.11 | U.S.A. | 160 |
| CARACCI Marcelo - ROBLES Jose Manuel | 0.11 | Chile | 256 |
| KVANGRAVEN Nils Kare - TUNDAL Ulf Haakon | 0.07 | Norway | 176 |
| FLEISHER Martin - MARTEL Chip | 0.05 | U.S.A. | 224 |
| L'ECUYER Nicolas - MARCINSKI Zygmunt | 0.04 | Canada | 336 |
| BROWN Matthew -WHBLEY Michael | 0.00 | New Zealand | 280 |
| BAREKET llan - LENGY Assaf | -0.01 | Israel | 208 |
| ANGELERI Ricardo - POLESCHI Ricardo | -0.02 | Argentina | 240 |
| FERGANI Kamel - FOURCAUDOT Marc-Andre | -0.03 | Canada | 80 |
| DUBOIN Giorgio - MADALA Agustin | -0.06 | Italy | 64 |
| PACAREU Joaquin - ROBLES Benjamin | -0.08 | Chile | 320 |
| LAIWai Kit - MAK Kwok-Fai | -0.11 | China Hong Kong | g 256 |
| LAM Cheng Yen - TANWei Seng | -0.13 | Singapore | 240 |
| LIUYu Chen - LUO Cheng | -0.13 | Singapore | 256 |
| FOURCAUDOT Marc-Andre - POLLACK Frederic | -0.15 | Canada | 112 |
| KHIUPPENENYury - KHOLOMEEV Vadim | -0.15 | Russia | 240 |
| SALAH Tarek - ZEIN Ahmad | -0.20 | Egypt | 224 |
| BHATTACHARJEEAniruddha - SANTRA Dipak | -0.21 | India | 256 |
| GONTHA Leslie - SUHENDRO Stefanus Supeno | -0.23 | Indonesia | 239 |


| KHOKHLOV Jouri - MATUSHKO Georgi | -0.23 | Russia | 256 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| GUPTA Uttam - KIRUBAKARAMOORTHY Nr | -0.27 | India | 192 |
| FERGANI Kamel - POLLACK Frederic | -0.27 | Canada | 176 |
| BOJOH Jemmy - KARWUR Franky Steven | -0.29 | Indonesia | 239 |
| FONG Kien Hoong - ZHANG Yukun | -0.30 | Singapore | 240 |
| AHASAN Md Rashedul - RAHMAN Md. Moshiur | -0.41 | Bangladesh | 32 |
| PEJACSEVICH Alexis - PELLEGRINI Carlos | -0.49 | Argentina | 272 |
| GILL Peter - HANS Sartaj | -0.50 | Australia | 272 |
| CAZABON Philippe - RAMIREZ Diego | -0.51 | Chile | 160 |
| AMIRY Reda - SAMIR Ahmed | -0.58 | Egypt | 256 |
| MATHIEU Philippe - SOUDAN Luc | -0.58 | Guadeloupe | 256 |
| KRASNOSSELSKI Mikhail - ORLOV Sergey | -0.58 | Russia | 240 |
| LALL Justin - MOSS Brad | -0.62 | U.S.A. | 224 |
| RAMADAN Baher - SALAHAshraf | -0.63 | Egypt | 256 |
| TISCORNIA Fernando - ZONCA Martin | -0.74 | Argentina | 224 |
| KAMRUZZAMAN A H M - RAHMAN Md. Moshiur | -0.74 | Bangladesh | 256 |
| CHOWDHURY M.A. R. - ISLAM Mohammad Monirul | -0.82 | Bangladesh | 96 |
| GARNIER Charles - KEMPCZYNSKI Alain | -0.87 | Guadeloupe | 240 |
| CHOWDHURY M.A. R.- RAHMAN Md. Moshiur | -0.94 | Bangladesh | 16 |
| GERIN Dominique - PELLETIER Jean-Claude | -1.03 | Guadeloupe | 240 |
| CHOWDHURY M.A. R. - HAQUE Shah Zia-ul | -1.08 | Bangladesh | 128 |
| BOTBOL Joseph Alain - SIBONY Pierre | -1.31 | Morocco | 248 |
| BELKOUCHAbdellatif - DINIA Mohammed | -1.35 | Morocco | 240 |
| BOTBOL Joseph Alain - DAHAN Stephane | -1.38 | Morocco | 16 |
| DAHAN Stephane - LAHLOU Fatim | -1.39 | Morocco | 216 |
| HAQUE Shah Zia-ul - ISLAM Mohammad Monirul | -2.38 | Bangladesh | 32 |
| CHOWDHURY M.A. R. - KAMRUZZAMANA H M | -2.81 | Bangladesh | 16 |


| Players |  | $0$ | Boards |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Butler | NBO |  |
| FUKUYOSHI Yuki - YANAGISAWA Akiko | 0.67 | Japan | 256 |
| DUFRAT Katarzyna - ZMUDA Justyna | 0.62 | Poland | 256 |
| WANG Nan - ZUO Xiaoxue | 0.61 | China | 240 |
| SHEN (I) Qi -WANGWen Fei | 0.61 | China | 240 |
| FUGLESTAD Ann Karin - HARDING Marianne | 0.60 | Norway | 208 |
| GRUDE Liv Marit - OIGARDEN Bodil Nyheim | 0.56 | Norway | 272 |
| BALDYSZ Cathy - BALDYSZ Sophia | 0.54 | Poland | 224 |
| VAN ZWOLWietske -VERBEEK Martine | 0.54 | Netherlands | 256 |
| LIUViolet - YANG Ming-Ching | 0.53 | Chinese Taipei | 240 |
| GIBBONS Christine - GIBBONS Jenna | 0.52 | New Zealand | 240 |
| LEVITINA Irina - SANBORN Kerri | 0.51 | U.S.A. | 288 |
| BRYANT Brenda - SMITH Julie | 0.48 | Canada | 48 |
| KANE Helen - SYMONSAnne | 0.46 | Scotland | 240 |
| DRAPER Catherine - FAWCEIT Gillian | 0.44 | England | 272 |
| GRONKVIST Ida - RIMSTEDT Cecilia | 0.42 | Sweden | 288 |
| DEAS Lynn - SEAMON-MOLSON Janice | 0.42 | U.S.A. | 272 |
| DHONDY Heather - SENIOR Nevena | 0.36 | England | 256 |
| REESSVanessa - ZOCHOWSKA Joanna | 0.36 | France | 240 |
| HESKJE Torild -VIST Gunn Tove | 0.34 | Norway | 256 |
| CLEMENTSSON Sanna - OVELIUS Emma | 0.32 | Sweden | 192 |
| LIUYan - LUYan | 0.31 | China | 256 |
| KHONICHEVA Elena - RAKHMANI Diana | 0.31 | Russia | 240 |
| BAKER Lynn - McCALLUM Karen | 0.31 | U.S.A. | 224 |
| BLANK Sondra -WOLPERT Hazel | 0.28 | Canada | 320 |
| KAZMUCHA Danuta - SARNIAK Anna | 0.26 | Poland | 256 |
| SMITH Nicola - WISEMANYvonne | 0.26 | England | 208 |
| PASMAN Jet - SIMONS Anneke | 0.25 | Netherlands | 240 |

## BUTLER

PAVLUSHKO Olga -YAKOVLEVA Maria BERNSTEIN Cindy-WHEELER Sally KOO Hor Yung Charmian -WONG Wai Man Flora BESSISVeronique - PUILLET Carole BRYANT Brenda - NISBET Pamela KATO(OTE) Ruri - MIYAKUNI Ayako BRUIINSTEEN Merel - DEKKERS Laura BUUSTHOMSEN Signe - HOUMOLER Bjorg
KAHO Toshiko - SATO Makiko
NISBET Pamela - SMITH Julie
SHI Sylva - STANSBY JoAnna BERTHEAU Kathrine - LARSSON Jessica NEWTON Shirley -WILKINSON Jenny MENEZES Lucia -VIDIGALAna Carolina LESLE Paula - PUNCH Sam MOURGUES Jennifer - TARTARIN Anne-Laure BILDE Lone - RASMUSSEN Helle SMITH Julie -WOLPERT Hazel CHENYin-Shou - LINYin-Yu EYTHORSDOTTIR Hjordis - LEWIS Linda DIKHNOVA Tatiana - GROMOVAVictoria
HSIAO Kuan-Chu - LIN Chien-Ya HOULBERG Anne-Sofe - MADSEN Christina Lund AGHA Rubina - RAZA Fatima SELLAMI Hedia - TRABELSI Ferdaouss TANG Tsz In -TUNG Sau Yin Joyce McGOWAN Elizabeth (Liz) - McQUAKER Fiona DOSSA Qudsia - RAZA Fatima BATRA Puja - SHARMA Asha MUNDELL Giselle - ZETS Avril DAWSON Helena - PITT Helene
ICHILCIK Lorna - KAPLAN Rena KAMAL Nikita - THAKUR Meenal ABID Najim - PIRZADA Shahnaz HOWARD Pat - JOSA Denise MEIRELES Jaqueline M. - PACHECO Juliana BAKERI Rupa - KSHIRSAGAR Alka DOSSA Qudsia - HAI Rubina Saeed BOOTH Christine Nicolle - CHAN PuiYi Pearlie ARMSTRONG Vanessa Margaret - RAWSON Tanya Anne CROSSE Patricia Jane - HEWITT Beverley Priscilla ROSSLEE Diana - STANTON Carol HAI Rubina Saeed - RAZA Fatima BACCAR Hedia - SFAR Najet DALDOULAicha - DALDOUL Meriem CARTNER Linda - PALMER Glenis FLETCHER Deborah - XAVIER Alana HOWARD Kalifa - PARMANAN Sharon
KAMAL Nikita - SHARMA Asha BACCAR Hedia - DALDOULAicha
BACCAR Hedia - SELLAMI Hedia
BLANK Sondra - SMITH Julie
AGHA Rubina - PIRZADA Shahnaz

| 0.23 | Russia | 255 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.22 | U.S.A. | 192 |
| 0.21 | China Hong Kong | 256 |
| 0.20 | France | 256 |
| 0.20 | Canada | 288 |
| 0.20 | Japan | 256 |
| 0.19 | Netherlands | 240 |
| 0.19 | Denmark | 255 |
| 0.16 | Japan | 224 |
| 0.16 | Canada | 32 |
| 0.12 | U.S.A. | 224 |
| 0.10 | Sweden | 256 |
| 0.10 | New Zealand | 256 |
| 0.09 | Brazil | 368 |
| 0.05 | Scotland | 256 |
| 0.03 | France | 240 |
| 0.00 | Denmark | 256 |
| 0.00 | Canada | 32 |
| -0.01 | Chinese Taipei | 256 |
| -0.01 | U.S.A. | 272 |
| -0.03 | Russia | 240 |
| -0.04 | Chinese Taipei | 240 |
| -0.07 | Denmark | 224 |
| -0.10 | Pakistan | 288 |
| -0.11 | Tunisia | 254 |
| -0.12 | China Hong Kong | 256 |
| -0.16 | Scotland | 240 |
| -0.19 | Pakistan | 32 |
| -0.20 | India | 238 |
| -0.29 | Australia | 256 |
| -0.31 | Australia | 240 |
| -0.32 | Australia | 240 |
| -0.38 | India | 208 |
| -0.54 | Pakistan | 224 |
| -0.57 | Trinidad and Tobago | 256 |
| -0.59 | Brazil | 368 |
| -0.65 | India | 254 |
| -0.70 | Pakistan | 140 |
| -0.74 | China Hong Kong | 224 |
| -0.74 | South Africa | 240 |
| -0.76 | South Africa | 240 |
| -0.80 | South Africa | 256 |
| -0.84 | Pakistan | 32 |
| -0.84 | Tunisia | 218 |
| -0.97 | Tunisia | 224 |
| -1.03 | New Zealand | 240 |
| -1.07 | Trinidad and Tobago | 240 |
| -1.22 | Trinidad and Tobago | 240 |
| -1.72 | India | 32 |
| -1.81 | Tunisia | 16 |
| -2.44 | Tunisia | 16 |
| -2.50 | Canada | 16 |
| -3.50 | Pakistan | 16 |

## d'Orsi Trophy

Players
LIN Chii-Mou - SHIH Juei-Yu HRISTOV Hristo -VANCHEV Angel HOLLAND John - MOULD Alan SUN Ming -TAO Jian Hua CHUNG Jen-Chien - LIN Chii-Mou GARNER Steve -WOLFSON Jeff HOFLAND Leo -VAN DER HOEK Bas LING Roger -YEUNG Peter
DHAKRAS Subhash - SRIDHARAN Ramamurthy KANETKAR Avi - NEILL Bruce ABECASSIS Michel - LEVY Alain KASLE Gaylor - MORSE Dan LEBEL Michel - SOULET Philippe BOESGAARD Knud-Aage - NIELSEN Hans Christian BIZON Piotr - SZYMANOWSKI Marek
LIANGYixiong - SUN Ming
BJARING Christer - OSTBERG Johnny MULDER Andre -VERGOED Hans FITZGIBBON Nicholas - MESBUR Adam HANSEN Jorgen Cilleborg - SCHOU Steen INCE Mehmet Ali - KOKTEN Namik LASUT Henky - MANOPPO Eddy M F
DAS Sukamal - SAHA Subrata BERKOWITZ David - SONTAG Alan LAIR Mark - ROSENBERG Michael KWIECIEN Michal - STARKOWSKIWlodzimierz BOYD Peter - ROBINSON Steve EKINCI Orhan - KARADENIZ Mesut HRISTOV Hristo -VALKANOV Yordan PODDAR Dipak - SOLANI Jitendra BRAMLEY Bart -WOOLSEY Kit AVCIOGLU Huseyin Kerem - ZORLU Nafiz CHUN Peter - LIVincent Hoi Yuen SHEN Mingkun - SHEN Xiaonong CARRUTHERS John - KIRR Martin FALLENIUS Bjorn - NILSLAND Mats IMAKURA Tadashi - INO Masayuki CHIU Karic - LIVincent Hoi Yuen HANNA Nader - RAYNER John
KENDRICK David -WARD Trevor LIN Rongqiang -TAO Jian Hua CHANG Chung Mou - HUANG Patrick K.H. CHRISTIANSEN Soren - HANSEN Henrik Norman MAEDA Takashi - OMASAAkito MAESEL Helge - MAESEL Roald BAKKE Tor - MARSTRANDER Peter RUSEVTony -VALKANOVYordan HUANG Patrick K. H. - SHIH Juei-Yu MULLER David - PRYOR Malcolm LING Roger - TSE Edmund COMELLA Amedeo - SABBATINI Stefano ASTORE Giancarlo - LIGAMBI Luigi BRAITHWAITEAndrew - DE LIVERA Arjuna Percival AXDORPH Mats - EFRAIMSSON Bengt-Erik

| Butler | NBO | Boards |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.94 | Chinese Taipei | 16 |
| 1.38 | Bulgaria | 16 |
| 0.91 | England | 303 |
| 0.83 | China | 96 |
| 0.79 | Chinese Taipei | 271 |
| 0.75 | U.S.A. | 255 |
| 0.74 | Netherlands | 223 |
| 0.61 | China Hong Kong | 112 |
| 0.58 | India | 240 |
| 0.56 | Australia | 240 |
| 0.54 | France | 288 |
| 0.52 | U.S.A. | 128 |
| 0.52 | France | 288 |
| 0.48 | Denmark | 240 |
| 0.38 | Poland | 336 |
| 0.38 | China | 144 |
| 0.37 | Sweden | 255 |
| 0.36 | Netherlands | 255 |
| 0.36 | Ireland | 288 |
| 0.32 | Denmark | 272 |
| 0.29 | Turkey | 254 |
| 0.27 | Indonesia | 336 |
| 0.25 | India | 240 |
| 0.23 | U.S.A. | 240 |
| 0.21 | U.S.A. | 240 |
| 0.20 | Poland | 336 |
| 0.19 | U.S.A. | 272 |
| 0.19 | Turkey | 160 |
| 0.19 | Bulgaria | 16 |
| 0.18 | India | 256 |
| 0.15 | U.S.A. | 336 |
| 0.13 | Turkey | 320 |
| 0.13 | China Hong Kong | 111 |
| 0.10 | China | 320 |
| 0.09 | Canada | 272 |
| 0.09 | Sweden | 240 |
| 0.08 | Japan | 256 |
| 0.08 | China Hong Kong | 144 |
| 0.05 | Canada | 224 |
| 0.04 | England | 239 |
| 0.02 | China | 112 |
| -0.01 | Chinese Taipei | 224 |
| -0.04 | Denmark | 224 |
| -0.04 | Japan | 256 |
| -0.06 | Norway | 240 |
| -0.06 | Norway | 240 |
| -0.06 | Bulgaria | 16 |
| -0.06 | Chinese Taipei | 95 |
| -0.08 | England | 192 |
| -0.08 | China Hong Kong | 127 |
| -0.09 | Italy | 255 |
| -0.11 | Italy | 239 |
| -0.11 | Australia | 256 |
| -0.12 | Sweden | 240 |

## BUTLER

## VALKANOVYordan -VANCHEV Angel

 GUMBY Pauline - LAZER Warren O'BRIAIN Micheal - O'BRIAIN Padraig ALMADI Akeil - ELAGAMAWY Mohamed LASSERRE Guy - POIZAT Philippe CAITI Stefano - MATTIOLI Giuliano BAUQUIER Denise - LAGACHE Philippe STABELL Leif-Erik - STABELL Tolle NURHALIMApin - POLII Bert Toar JACOBTom - MACE Brian DEVRIND Hans - TEN BRINK Frans HAMEL Patrick - LAGACHE Philippe BERCUSON Ken - MITTELMAN George MILNE Ranald - O'BRIEN Brendan J POLII Bert Toar - SUGIARTO Tanudjan POPLILOV Lilo - POPLILOV Matilda GIRAUD Christian - HAMEL Patrick DOLBEL David John - HUMPHRIES Denis HRISTOV Hristo - RUSEV Tony NORANI Nermeen - SUMAR Humayun OHNO Kyoko -YAMADA Akihiko MIDFA Ahmed -VALRANI Darshan SHIH Juei-Yu -YEH Chen LIANGYixiong - TAO Jian Hua DORDHAIN Patrice - GIRAUD Christian ELAGAMAWY Mohamed - MIDFA Ahmed BAUQUIER Denise - GIRAUD Christian DORDHAIN Patrice - HAMEL Patrick TSE Edmund -YEUNG Peter HARTONO Michael Bambang - NURHALIM Apin CHIU Karic - CHUN Peter MARKOWICZVictor - MOSZCZYNSKI Krzysztof PALMER Barry - STUCKEY Neil MIDFA Ahmed - SUMAR Humayun SUMAR Humayun -VALRANI Darshan| -0.25 | Bulgaria | 224 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -0.27 | Australia | 240 |
| -0.27 | Ireland | 240 |
| -0.29 | United Arab Emirates | 303 |
| -0.29 | France | 160 |
| -0.30 | Italy | 240 |
| -0.31 | Reunion | 208 |
| -0.33 | Norway | 256 |
| -0.33 | Indonesia | 79 |
| -0.33 | New Zealand | 256 |
| -0.35 | Netherlands | 256 |
| -0.39 | Reunion | 96 |
| -0.41 | Canada | 240 |
| -0.46 | Ireland | 208 |
| -0.49 | Indonesia | 160 |
| -0.50 | Bulgaria | 240 |
| -0.55 | Reunion | 64 |
| -0.55 | New Zealand | 224 |
| -0.55 | Bulgaria | 224 |
| -0.56 | United Arab Emirates | 335 |
| -0.60 | Japan | 224 |
| -0.63 | United Arab Emirates | 16 |
| -0.63 | Chinese Taipei | 128 |
| -0.64 | China | 64 |
| -0.68 | Reunion | 144 |
| -0.73 | United Arab Emirates | 48 |
| -0.75 | Reunion | 64 |
| -0.77 | Reunion | 160 |
| -0.87 | China Hong Kong | 127 |
| -0.91 | Indonesia | 160 |
| -0.93 | China Hong Kong | 112 |
| -0.97 | Poland | 64 |
| -1.09 | New Zealand | 256 |
| -1.13 | United Arab Emirates | 16 |
| -1.38 | United Arab Emirates | 16 |

CHEN Jien -WANG Ping
STOKKAAdam - STRANDBERGYlva
GRZEJDZIAK Igor - GRZEJDZIAK Sabina
NOGUEIRA Heloisa -THOMA Marcos
HAMMELEV Johan - KREFELD Camilla Bo
FREY Nathalie -VOLCKER Frederic
MARKS Rafal - OGLOBLIN Dominika
ASBI Taufik Gautama - BOJOH Lusje Olha
IONITA Marius - STEGAROIU Marina
CHAVARRIA Margherita - GANDOGLIA Alessandro
FAN Kang-Wei -TSAI Po-Ya
BERTENS Huub -WOLPERT Jenny
BEKKOUCHE Nadia - BLAKSET Lars
JANEBUNJONG Kanokporn - PLENGSAP Kridsadayut
SACUL Denny - SUMAMPOUW Conny
HACHEM Dalia - SALAMA Karim
HENNER Christal - IVATURY Uday
CASPERSEN Henrik - FARHOLT Stense
GARAFULIC Sandra - RAVENNA Pablo
LIMSINSOPON Kirawat - SITTHICHAROENSAWAT Pavinee
DAVIES Catherine - PATTERSON John
BJERKAN Cheri -WEINSTEIN Howard
UGGERI Paolo -VANUZZI Marilina
CHEN Li-Jen - SO Ho-Yee
FRASER Douglas - FRASER Sandra
WOJCIESZEK Jakub -WOJCIK Marta
DUBININ Alexander - PONOMAREVA Tatiana
BEAUCHAMP David -TUTTY Jodi
ERIKSSON Daniel - HELIN Malin
BETHERS Janis - ROMANOVSKA Maija
COOPER Renee -THOMPSON Ben
MCAVOY Constance - MCAVOY James
FISCHER Stephen - RANKIN Pele
KHANDELWAL Himani - KHANDELWAL Rajeev
NAIDOO Bindiya - SINHA Priya Ranjan
AUDICHEAlain - ELAWADY Dina
BASU Sujit Kumar - DEY Bharati
BLACKMAN Dave - CUMMINS Patricia
CAMPOS Joao-Paulo - DE MELLO Sylvia Figueira
ELAHMADYWaleed - ELAWADY Dina
GRANT Alan - LENNONAudrey Jane
CHODCHOY Taristchollatorn - JTNGAMKUSOLTerasak
CUMMINS Patricia - ROTCHELL Douglas
AUDICHE Christina - ELAHMADYWaleed
ELAHMADYWaleed - HACHEM Dalia
WU Shaohong - XIE Zhaobin
FOSTER Rhonda - MCCULLY Gerry
BENKIRANE Fattouma - SAID Edahabi
BLACKMAN Dave - HINDS Roglyn
HENRY Annette - HENRY Stephen
AUDICHE Christina - SALAMA Karim
BANDESHA Muhammad Ghalib Ali - SARFRAZ Fahmida
IRAQI Houria - KACIMI Moulay Ahmed
MALIK Sajid Nabi - SAIGOL Rehana
BANDESHA Muhammad Ghalib Ali - SAIGOL Rehana
HINDS Roglyn - RECHTMAN Neal
SEFITA Ernis - SOEBROTO Anthony
SARFRAZ Fahmida - SHAH Saeed Uz Zafar
ELAWAD Ali - LAMRANI Laila

| 0.36 | China |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.33 | Sweden |
| 0.31 | Poland |
| 0.31 | Brazil |
| 0.28 | Denmark |
| 0.27 | France |
| 0.25 | Poland |
| 0.24 | Indonesia |
| 0.22 | Romania |
| 0.21 | Italy |
| 0.21 | Chinese Taipei |
| 0.21 | U.S.A. |
| 0.19 | Denmark |
| 0.17 | Thailand |
| 0.16 | Indonesia |
| 0.16 | Egypt |
| 0.12 | U.S.A. |
| 0.09 | Denmark |
| 0.08 | Brazil |
| 0.05 | Thailand |
| 0.04 | New Zealand |
| 0.03 | U.S.A. |
| 0.01 | Italy |
| -0.02 | Chinese Taipei |
| -0.03 | Canada |
| -0.03 | Poland |
| -0.08 | Russia |
| -0.09 | Australia |
| -0.11 | Sweden |
| -0.14 | Latvia |
| -0.14 | Australia |
| -0.19 | Canada |
| -0.20 | Australia |
| -0.24 | India |
| -0.24 | India |
| -0.25 | Egypt |
| -0.32 | India |
| -0.35 | Barbados |
| -0.38 | Brazil |
| -0.44 | Egypt |
| -0.46 | New Zealand |
| -0.46 | Thailand |
| -0.47 | Barbados |
| -0.52 | Egypt |
| -0.55 | Egypt |
| -0.60 | China |
| -0.64 | Canada |
| -0.71 | Morocco |
| -0.76 | Barbados |
| -0.76 | New Zealand |
| -0.76 | Egypt |
| -0.78 | Pakistan |
| -0.84 | Morocco |
| -0.94 | Pakistan |
| -0.95 | Pakistan |
| -1.05 | Barbados |
| -1.09 | Indonesia |
| -1.39 | Pakistan |
| -1.49 | Morocco |

## RESULTS

## Bermuda Bowl

| Round 22 |  |  |  |  |  | Round 23 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Match |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  | Match |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  |
| BANGLADESH | CHILE | 27 | 45 | 5.40 | 14.60 | GUADELOUPE | BANGLADESH | 70 | 39 | 16.88 | 3.12 |
| GUADELOUPE | MOROCCO | 41 | 17 | 15.74 | 4.26 | MOROCCO | CHILE | 42 | 45 | 8.84 | 10.91 |
| NORWAY | AUSTRALIA | 47 | 29 | 14.60 | 5.40 | NORWAY | CHINA HONG KONG | 30 | 51 | 4.81 | 15.19 |
| NEW ZEALAND | ITALY | 45 | 23 | 15.38 | 4.62 | NEW ZEALAND | NETHERLANDS | 23 | 21 | 10.61 | 9.39 |
| SWEDEN | USA 2 | 39 | 73 | 2.69 | 17.31 | SWEDEN | CHINA | 10 | 48 | 2.15 | 17.85 |
| USA I | INDIA | 6 | 29 | 4.44 | 15.56 | USA I | INDONESIA | 21 | 22 | 9.69 | 10.31 |
| ISRAEL | CANADA |  | 58 | 3.42 | 16.58 | ISRAEL | EGYPT | 25 | 40 | 6.03 | 13.97 |
| CHINA HONG KONG | SINGAPORE |  | 28 | 13.97 | 6.03 | AUSTRALIA | SINGAPORE | 22 | 46 | 4.26 | 15.74 |
| NETHERLANDS | ARGENTINA |  | 19 | 13.97 | 6.03 | ITALY | ARGENTINA | 53 | 11 | 18.33 | 1.67 |
| CHINA | ENGLAND | 35 | 51 | 5.82 | 14.18 | USA 2 | ENGLAND | 35 | 33 | 10.61 | 9.39 |
| INDONESIA | RUSSIA |  |  | 13.93 | 5.82 | INDIA | RUSSIA | 48 | 24 | 15.74 | 4.26 |
| EGYPT | POLAND |  | 48 | 2.69 | 17.31 | CANADA | POLAND | 17 | 61 | 1.45 | 18.55 |



## d'Orsi Trophy

| ROUnd 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Match | IMPs |  | VPs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.A.E. | USA 2 | 18 | 40 | 4.62 | 15.38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DENMARK | NETHERLANDS | 19 | 31 | 6.72 | 13.28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NEW ZEALAND | REUNION | 37 | 61 | 4.26 | 15.74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHINESE TAIPEI | INDIA | 36 | 22 | 13.75 | 6.25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TURKEY | JAPAN | 32 | 17 | 13.97 | 6.03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FRANCE | CHINA HONG KONG 45 | 18 | 16.26 | 3.74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| INDONESIA | NORWAY | 30 | 30 | 9.50 | 10.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ENGLAND | CANADA | 47 | 20 | 16.26 | 3.74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SWEDEN | AUSTRALIA | 38 | 49 | 6.96 | 13.04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ITALY | USA I | 46 | 86 | 1.91 | 18.09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IRELAND | POLAND | 40 | 40 | 10.00 | 10.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHINA | BULGARIA | 33 | 45 | 6.47 | 13.28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| ROUnd 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Match | IMPs |  | VPs |  |  |  |  |  |
| USA 2 | SWEDEN | 35 | 34 | 10.31 | 9.69 |  |  |  |  |
| NETHERLANDS | ITALY | 59 | 31 | 16.42 | 3.58 |  |  |  |  |
| REUNION | IRELAND | 8 | 47 | 2.03 | 17.97 |  |  |  |  |
| DENMARK | CHINA | 35 | 35 | 10.00 | 10.00 |  |  |  |  |
| U.A.E. | BULGARIA | 22 | 49 | 3.74 | 16.26 |  |  |  |  |
| INDIA | POLAND | 25 | 45 | 5.00 | 15.00 |  |  |  |  |
| JAPAN | USA I | 38 | 40 | 9.39 | 10.61 |  |  |  |  |
| CHINA HONG KONG | AUSTRALIA | 13 | 38 | 4.08 | 15.92 |  |  |  |  |
| NORWAY | CANADA | 22 | 34 | 6.72 | 13.28 |  |  |  |  |
| ENGLAND | CHINESE TAIPEI | 38 | 32 | 11.76 | 8.24 |  |  |  |  |
| INDONESIA | TURKEY | 45 | 38 | 12.03 | 7.97 |  |  |  |  |
| FRANCE | NEW ZEALAND | 40 | 10 | 16.73 | 3.27 |  |  |  |  |


| Wuhan Cup |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Round 22 |  |  |  |  |  | Round 23 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Ps |  | Ps |  |  |  | MPs |  | Ps |
| THAILAND | CHINESE TAIPEI | 35 | 34 | 10.31 | 9.69 | USA 2 | CHINESE TAIPEI | 9 | 40 | 3.12 | 16.88 |
| PAKISTAN | ENGLAND |  | 67 | 1.67 | 18.33 | CHINA | ENGLAND | 34 | 38 | 8.80 | 11.20 |
| EGYPT | SWEDEN | 31 | 57 | 3.91 | 16.09 | BARBADOS | SWEDEN | 22 | 16 | 11.76 | 8.24 |
| POLAND | INDONESIA | 25 | 18 | 12.03 | 7.97 | FRANCE | INDONESIA | 18 | 54 | 2.41 | 17.59 |
| ITALY | MOROCCO | 81 | 20 | 20.00 | 0.00 | NEW ZEALAND | MOROCCO | 49 | 38 | 13.04 | 6.96 |
| USA I | RUSSIA | 28 | 38 | 7.20 | 12.80 | AUSTRALIA | RUSSIA | 30 | 23 | 12.03 | 7.97 |
| DENMARK | AUSTRALIA | 53 | 22 | 16.88 | 3.12 | THAILAND | ITALY | 41 | 7 | 17.31 | 2.69 |
| INDIA | NEW ZEALAND | 30 | 41 | 6.96 | 13.04 | PAKISTAN | POLAND | 27 | 71 | 1.45 | 18.55 |
| LATVIA | FRANCE | 32 | 40 | 7.71 | 12.29 | USA I | EGYPT | 58 | 4 | 19.52 | －0．52 |
| BRAZIL | BARBADOS | 54 | 15 | 17.97 | 2.03 | DENMARK | ROMANIA | 61 | 35 | 16.09 | 3.91 |
| CANADA | CHINA | 33 | 40 | 7.97 | 12.03 | INDIA | CANADA | 29 | 20 | 12.55 | 7.45 |
| ROMANIA | USA 2 | 44 | 40 | 11.20 | 8.80 | LATVIA | BRAZIL | 32 | 20 | 13.28 | 6.72 |

## IBPA，WBF and FUNBRIDGE


＂Bridge for Peace＂

## actosx FUNBRIDGE

IBPA，WBF and FUNBRIDGE have entered into a double－pronged agreement：
（I．）Firstly，IBPA members are eligible for five free plays in FUNBRIDGE online tournaments Here is the offer：

## IBPA JOURNALISTS SPECIAL OFFER

Get 5 WBF Robot Tournaments for free with this gift code：IBPAl9
You can download FUNBRIDGE for free at：www．funbridge．com and follow the instructions there to play or simply to try it for free．
（2．）Secondly，FUNBRIDGE and WBF have agreed to sponsor three new IBPA awards for play in online events：Best Declarer Play，Best Defence and Best Bid hand．The agreement is that these awards will carry the same cash value to journalists and players as do the regular annual IBPA awards．
Players and journalists are encouraged to submit their award candidates to the IBPA Bulletin for publication．Players may write up their candidate deals themselves，have a journalist write about them or submit them directly to the editor of the IBPA bulletin at：ibpaeditor＠sympatico．ca
In addition to the International Bridge Press Association and the World Bridge Federation，FUNBRIDGE has agreements with the European Bridge League，the ACBL，Le Bridgeur and 14 National Contract Bridge Organisations to provide their online tournaments．

启标的



