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## THE CLASS OF 2019



This morning we will add to our montage of Championship photos. Secure your place in history by assembling in front of the Royal Hotel at 13.00, after the 8th Round has been completed.

| SCHEDULE TO DAY |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 10.00 | -11.10 | Teams: 7th 8 boards round Swiss |  |
| 11.40 | -12.50 | Teams: 8th 8 boards round Swiss |  |
| 15.00 | -17.10 | Teams WU26: 9th 8 boards round Swiss |  |
| 15.00 | -17.20 | Teams U26/U21/U16: |  |
|  |  | Quarterfinal 1st 16 boards |  |
|  | Teams BAM Tablets |  |  |
| 17.40 | -20.00 | Teams U26/U21/U16: |  |
|  | Quarterfinal 2nd 16 boards |  |  |


| $\checkmark 1$ |  | G O LD | SILVER | BRO NZE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | NORWAY | 2 | 2 |  |
| $\underline{\square}$ | POLAND | 2 |  | 2 |
| $\Sigma$ | ISRAEL | 2 | 1 |  |
| $\stackrel{\square}{1+}$ | FRANCE | 2 |  |  |
| 0 | AUSTRALIA |  | 2 |  |
| 曻 | RUSSIA |  | 2 |  |
| m | SWEDEN |  | 1 |  |
| \$ | CHINA |  |  | 4 |
| F | CANADA |  |  | 2 |

## JOAN G ERARD FO RM

NPC and coaches are kindly requested to pass by the hopitality desk to collect the Joan Gerard Award form

## WBF YO UTH WEBSITE

Visit http://youth.worldbridge.org/ where you will find news, articles and videos about the Championships.

## ASEEP AT THESNTIG

## Simon ftocken

Board 8 U26 Final session 2
Love All. Dealer West.

- AK 9
© J 853
$\diamond$ J4 32
\& $A K$
A 1076
$\checkmark$ A Q 1064
$\diamond A$
\& 10943


か J 32
$\diamond$ K 972
$\diamond 97$
\& Q 652

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Scatò |  | Donati |
| 10 | 1NT | Dble | Pass |
| Pass | Rdbl | Pass | Pass |
| $2 \%$ | Pass | Pass | Dble |
| Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Dble | 20 |



- Q 854
$\stackrel{\circ}{ }$
$\diamond$ K Q 10865
\& J 87

On board 8 of the second session of the finals North-South have 22 combined points but can make only one contract - $1 \Omega$. An impossible contract to reach when your opponent opens the suit with $\odot$ AQ1064. Three pairs managed to find their heart fit nevertheless. Rosenberg \& Kristensen for USA were held to seven tricks in 20 . Likewise Vojtik and Klems for Holland just one level higher but doubled for -300 . Could Donati and Scatà do one better? At all three tables diamonds were led. What should West do next?
West switched to $\checkmark 4$ won by $\checkmark 5$ in dummy. The top clubs were cashed and declarer exited with a diamond to East's 10 who had no alternative but to play a high diamond trumped with $৩ \mathrm{~K}$ and overtrumped. West played $\triangle Q$ and exited with $\bigcirc 10$ won by dummy's $\backsim$ J. East was now in trouble in the 5 -card ending as Percario led $\triangle 8$ off dummy:


If East discards a diamond on the final heart, North will endplay him with the diamond to lead away from the Q . As it is, he chose to let go a spade and declarer ended up with an overtrick which made precisely zero difference to the matchpoints. So Donati actually managed two better, taking advantage of West's error at trick 2. West must attack spades at trick two and then the defence cannot be denied a spade trick, two diamonds and three trump tricks. There is no threat from dummy as West can always overruff declarer in the diamond suit.
(West can also defeat the contract by exiting with a spade before or after playing the $\circlearrowleft Q$. Editor.)

## PAIRS U2 6 FINAL, SESSO N 3

## Jos Jacobs

After about two thirds of the 50 scheduled boards in this final, Israel's Amir Ezion and Lior Urman were leading the field by a comfortable margin of $5.5 \%$ over three candidates for the silver medal: China's Hong Ji Wei and Shi Yu Sun, Israel's Oren Toledano and Ami Zamir and Australia's Jamie Thompson and Matthew Smith, in that order. These three pairs were all in the $58 \%$ region, more than $3 \%$ ahead of the 5th ranked pair.
With 16 more boards to be played, the rankings could still change dramatically because any board could make a difference of $2 \%$ in a pair's overall score.
The first board of the set was an entirely routine 3NT for 11 tricks but the next board was one of those typical pairs' curiosities. As you can see, 3NT again looks very much the normal contract but, contrary to the previous board, there were many "odd pairs out" this time. Three E/W pairs ended up in $4 \triangle$ and at two of the 3NT tables, an eccentric lead was an issue.

Board 18. Dealer East. N/S Vul.
4 Q 987
©87652
$\diamond 10$
\& A J 2
A K J 102
$\checkmark$ Q
$\diamond$ A J 3
\& K Q 974


ヵ 54
$\diamond 9$
$\diamond$ KQ 9752
\& 10865
If West leads a normal enough spade, declarer will end up with 11 tricks but what if North produces the $\diamond 10$ to South's $\diamond$ Q? This is what Cooper (Australia) and Tanabe (Japan) did to their opponents, holding them to 10 and 9 tricks respectively for 16 and 23 mp . to N/S out of the maximum 24.
Two boards later, correct bidding was punished severely.

Board 20. Dealer West. All Vul.

- 875
© 8652
$\diamond 6$
\& 47543
A AK 94
$\checkmark 10$
$\diamond$ KQ 942
\& J J 108


A Q 63
$\checkmark$ AKQ
$\diamond$ A 10875
\& 62
© J 102
©J9743
$\diamond$ J 3
\& K Q 9

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kampermann | Yin | Cuervo | Liu |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $2 \diamond$ | Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $5 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |  |

Reaching the correct contract of $5 \diamond$ was worth 11 mp , just under average. England's Norton and Natt were the only other pair to get there.
Below, we have some examples of what happened in less correct auctions.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Yamamoto | Percario | Imakiire | Sau |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $2 \uparrow$ | Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $3 \uparrow$ | Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $4 \diamond$ | Pass | $4 \uparrow$ | Pass |
| $4 N T$ | Pass | $5 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $6 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |  |

To me, it looks that East's forward-going 4a bid implies a club control. So it did to West, I would say, but North had the last laugh when he could lead the $\% \mathrm{~A}$ and continue the suit.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Smith | Chiarandini | Thompson | Gaiotti |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | 2NT | Pass |
| $3 \diamond$ | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

As so often in pairs, 3NT was a popular contract. The Aussie medal contestants reached it like this
and duly got a heart lead once West showed his shortness. Twelve tricks, 19 mp .
The Israeli medal contestants suffered a remarkable setback here as this was their auction.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Toledano | Wu | Zamir | Hung |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | $2 \circlearrowleft$ | All Pass |

One down was still worth 4 mp because some pairs had gone down in slams or even in 3NT. Well done, Giovanni Donati, to find the club lead to beat 3NT.
The next board was one of those cases of youthful optimism, I think.

Board 21. Dealer North. N/S Vul.
AK109864
ऽJ83
$\diamond \mathrm{A}$
\& K 76

| A A 75 |  | - Q J 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 54$ | ${ }^{\mathrm{N}}$ - | $\bigcirc 107$ |
| $\diamond$ J 876 | W E | $\diamond$ Q 1095 |
| \& A Q 84 | S | \& 10953 |
|  | A 3 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AKQ 962 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K 422 |  |
|  | \& J 2 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cuervo | $W u$ | Kampermann Hung |  |
|  | $1 \uparrow$ | Pass | $2 \Omega$ |
| Pass | $3 \circlearrowleft$ | Pass | $4 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $4 N T$ | Pass | $5 \uparrow$ |
| All Pass. |  |  |  |

If $2 \triangle$ is GF by agreement, which is likely, and certainly if $2 \circlearrowleft$ is not GF, then North had better bid $4 \checkmark$ straight away to avoid leading partner into temptation. As it was, there suddenly was no way
back. One down and 2 mp because the only pair to really get to $6 \circlearrowleft$ suffered the ignominy of being doubled, too.
On the next board, Austria's Scheberan and Thorpe were the only NS pair to avoid $4 \checkmark$, good for 16 mp against the leaders Urman and Ezion.
The other side of this coin was shown by our Aussie medal candidates, in the direct confrontation with their Israeli rivals:

Board 22. Dealer East. E/W Vul.
A K Q
©A5432
$\diamond 965$
\& A 103


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Smith | Zamir | Thompson | Toledano |
| - | - | $1 \mathbf{4} \mathbf{~}$ | $1 \Omega$ |
| Pass | $4 \Omega$ | Pass | Pass |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

West not only doubled but also led a nasty $\propto 8$ rather than a friendly but safe enough $\diamond \mathrm{K}$. Declarer played low from dummy so East won the $¢ \mathrm{Q}$ and returned a diamond. Now, declarer made the correct pairs play of going up with the ace and taking another club finesse. Had it worked, he would have got rid of his diamond loser and thus might well have made his contract - his only chance. As it was, he now went down two for no matchpoints but it had been worth the risk: going down one trick doubled would have brought him just 2 mp .

## Championship offer

The new dealing machines that are [only] used during the championships will be sold at the end for EUR 2299 incl. a full five years warranty. Cards and boards are also sold at special prices in conjunction with a purchase of a machine. Please contact anna@jannersten.com regarding payment and pick up at Opatija/shipping from Sweden.


On the next board, +120 to $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{S}$ was a popular result but we also saw a few strange exceptions:

| Board 23. Dealer South. All <br> - 53 <br> $\checkmark$ A J 4 <br> $\diamond$ A Q 52 <br> \& K 1063 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4. 872 <br> ๑K10932 <br> $\diamond$ J 86 <br> ${ }^{9} \mathrm{Q} 2$ | 2 | A A J 96 <br> © 65 <br> $\diamond$ K 94 <br> \& A 984 |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A K Q } 104 \\ & \diamond \text { Q } 87 \\ & \diamond 1073 \end{aligned}$ $\text { \& J } 75$ |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kristensen | Chiarandini | Rosenberg | Gaiotti |
| - | - - | - | Pass |
| Pass | 1 NT | Pass | 24 |
| Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass | 2NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

East led a heart to the nine and declarer's jack. A spade then went to dummy's queen and a club to declarer's $\$ 10$ also held the trick. Another spade went to dummy's ten but when declarer took a diamond finesse next, East won the queen with his king and cleared the hearts. Stranded in his hand, declarer could do little better but play a diamond but when West produced the jack, he could also cash a number of hearts, squeezing dummy in the black suits in the process for down three and all the matchpoints after a fine defensive effort.
At another table, the Israeli medal chasers also showed their defensive virtues.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Yamamoto | Toledano | Imakiire | Zamir |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| Pass | $1 \boldsymbol{\&}$ | $1 \boldsymbol{1}$ | 1NT |
| $2 \boldsymbol{A}$ | All pass |  |  |

South led the 5 to dummy's queen and North's king which was allowed to hold the trick. A trump came back, South winning the ten and the doJ now was won by declarer's ace. A club was ruffed in dummy and a diamond off dummy was taken by North's ace. Another trump went to declarer's nine and South's queen. When South continued the $\triangle \mathrm{Q}$, dummy ducked this so South could play another heart to North's jack. On the $\triangle \mathrm{A}$, declarer discarded his last losing club. When North exited
with the $\$ 10$, South overruffed declarer's $\boldsymbol{J}$ with his $\boldsymbol{K}$ and put declarer back into his hand with the last trump. In the end, North thus scored his $\diamond \mathrm{Q}$ as the fourth undertrick, good for 23 mp .
After a few less spectacular boards, we got back into action on \#27:

Board 27. Dealer South. None Vul.

- A 75
© J 764
$\diamond 765$
\& A 65
AK J 1092
○985
$\diamond$ K 8
\& Q 74

- 4
$\checkmark$ AK Q 103
$\diamond 3$
\&か J 109832
A Q 863
$\bigcirc 2$
$\diamond$ A Q J 10942
\& $K$

| West <br> Chakraborty | North <br> Toledano | East <br> Ghosh | South <br> Zamir |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - | - | - | $1 \diamond$ |
| $1 ヵ$ | Dble | 1NT | $3 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 3NT | Dble | All Pass |

The Indian $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{W}$ did well to double 3NT but the eventual result came as a big surprise to me. On BBO , the contract was scored as one down which looked a clear mistake.
East led the $\checkmark \mathrm{A}$ and shifted to a spade so declarer immediately won the ace and went for his only chance: the diamond finesse right. No luck: West won the $\diamond \mathrm{K}$ and returned the $\vee 9$ and another, to put the contract down two, one would think. However, on BBO and, more important, in the official results, the board was scored as down one, still worth 5 mp to $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{S}$ instead of a plain zero to the Israeli contenders.

| West <br> Koivu | North <br> Thompson | East <br> Mylläri | South <br> Smith |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - | - | - | $1 \diamond$ |
| $1 \uparrow$ | Dble | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ | $2 \diamond$ |
| $3 \&$ | $3 \diamond$ | $5 \boldsymbol{\leftrightarrow}$ | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | All Pass |  |

The Oz contenders did much better: When given the chance, they duly collected +300 in the other direction for an entirely legitimate +300 and 19 mp to them.
The next board was a matter of finding the right lead.

Board 28. Dealer West. N/S Vul.
ヘ K J 32
$\bigcirc$ Q J 10
$\diamond$ A 7
\& 8742

\& $A$

|  | ¢ 76 | Q65 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ๑K9863 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond 863$ |  |  |
|  | \& J 109 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Koivu | Thompson | Mylläri | Smith |
| 14 | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 20 | Pass | 2NT | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

Can you blame South for leading a solid-looking \&JJ rather than a heart through West's natural (yes, entirely natural) $2 \circlearrowleft$ rebid? Eleven tricks and 19 mp to $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{W}$.
No South player and only two out of five North players on lead against 3NT found the heart lead. Mind you, one of the North players to lead the $\bigcirc$ Q still managed to let 3NT through...only the Japanese Yamamoto and Imakiire defeated it.
On the last board to be shown in this report, the lead once again made a substantial difference.

Board 29. Dealer North. All Vul.
A K J 86
$\checkmark$ K 10
$\diamond$ A 82
\& K 1075

| A A 75432 |  | A 109 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ J | $\mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{N}}$ E | $\checkmark$ AQ9864 |
| $\diamond$ K 954 | W E | $\diamond 107$ |
| \& 98 | S | \& Q 42 |
|  | A Q |  |
|  | ¢7532 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q J 63 |  |
|  | \& A J 63 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Chakraborty | Cuervo | Ghosh | Kampermann |
| - | $1 N T$ | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ |
| Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ | Pass | $2 N T$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

A heart lead not only takes away West's only card in the suit but it also costs a direct trick, never a
good idea in a pairs event.
North won the $৩ \mathrm{~K}$ and immediately led a diamond to dummy's queen and West's king. A diamond came back to dummy's $\diamond \mathrm{J}$ and the Q was taken by West's ace. West, forever out of hearts, exited in spades so declarer won and successfully played a club to dummy's jack - a safety finesse. When this held, he had made two overtricks for +180 which was worth a disappointing 16 mp only. Below, we shall explain why.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mylläri | Imakiire | Koivu | Yamamoto |
| - | $1 N T$ | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\uparrow}$ |
| Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{\omega}$ | Pass | 3NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Not wanting to give away a trick and the contract, East led an interesting $\diamond 10$. Dummy's ace won and the $\uparrow \mathrm{Q}$ was continued, which West allowed to win. Declarer's next move was to misguess the clubs into the safe hand, trying to avoid a heart shift but now, East woke up. He won the queen and returned a spade. West won the ace and continued the $৩ \mathrm{~J}$ after all to give partner six tricks in the suit for down four and 23 mp . In spite of this fine example of how to deal with this deal, 3NT was bid and even made twice and there was one case of N/S collecting a telephone number (do we still know what this is?)
When all the smoke had cleared, (another expression on the brink of disappearance, btw) the leading Israeli pair Urman-Ezion had just held on to 2.2 mp of their big lead, enough to win the title. The Australians Smith and Thompson had won the session and thus reached the runner-up position, coming from 4th place, whereas the China pair Wei and Sun had dropped back from 2nd to 3rd. Congratulations to all six medal winners. Our third initial medal contender, the Israelis Toledano and Zamir, had not enjoyed the final session either and had dropped back to 7th spot in the end.


## A NOT SO SIM PLE SQ UEZZE

## Simon ftocken

Board 14. Dealer East. Love All.
A K 876
$\bigcirc 3$
$\diamond$ K 8
\& A 108543

| - 54 | N | A Q J 1093 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 742$ |  | ऽ K 65 |
| $\diamond$ Q 9765 | W E | $\diamond 104$ |
| \& Q J 9 | S | \& 762 |
|  | A A 2 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A Q J 1098 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ AJ 32 |  |
|  | \& K |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Linn | Natt | Perez | Norton |
| - | - | Pass | $1 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $1 ヵ$ | Pass | $3 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |

The English pair had a sensible and natural auction to 3NT. East found the extraordinary lead of $\diamond 10$. Extraordinary because the $\uparrow \mathrm{Q}$ looks mandatory. Natt tried the $\diamond \mathrm{J}$ which was covered by the queen and ace. When East got in with the 9 K , a second diamond broke up the impending minor suit squeeze and restrict declarer to 11 tricks. +490 would have been worth $70 \%$ of the matchpoints. 460 was worth $46 \%$.

| West | North | East <br> Koivu | Cuervo |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | Myllaeri |
| :--- |$\quad$| South |
| :--- |
| - |
| - |
| Passermann |

Our second auction shows North, Juan-Felipe Cuervo the only Colombian player in attendance, upgrading his hand with a game-forcing $2 \%$. South rebid $2 \diamond$ and North bid 3NT to show minimal values. South was unstoppable after partner's game-force and closed the auction with $6 \triangle$.
Again, the lead was significant. West chose a trump, which pushed declarer away from a losing option. On a non-trump lead, declarer might be tempted to create a 12th trick by trumping a diamond in dummy. As it was declarer won, played
$A \circlearrowleft$ and $Q \odot$ to East who switched to spades, won in hand with $\boldsymbol{A}$. After unblocking the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$, declarer went to dummy with the $\boldsymbol{\$} \mathrm{K}$ to play the $\boldsymbol{Q}$. When West dropped the $\& \mathrm{Q}$, declarer had a restricted choice scenario. Did West start with a doubleton or $\%$ QJ9? Declarer got it right and trumped - there were still some additional chances if the clubs did not provide the 12th trick. +980 was worth 90\% outscored only by two successful 6NT contracts.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bakke | Bose B | Oikonomop. | Kar |
| - | - | $2 \diamond^{*}$ | Pass! |
| $3 \Omega^{*}$ | $3 \backsim!$ | Pass | 6NT |
| Pass | Pass | Dble | All Pass |

Our final auction is somewhat bizarre: The first three bids in the auction were off beat but acceptable. East opened a 5 -card multi $2 \diamond$ which South elected to Pass. West's optimistic $3 \circlearrowleft$ was pass or correct. The fourth bid defies any reasonable explanation with the 4 -card overcall at the 3 -level (perhaps he had a club with the spades...?) South had heard enough and found an easy 6 NT , still unsure which major his RHO held. This was doubled by East. 6NT played by South can always be made as the squeeze-breaking diamond lead can not be made by West without gifting declarer his 12th trick in diamonds . West did well to guess his partner's suit and led the $\$ 5$, which declarer needed to win the $\boldsymbol{A} \mathrm{K}$. Winning with the A meant communications became tangled and declarer ended up with 10 tricks when the diamond finesse failed. Winning the A allows declarer to knock out the 9 K and then return to the A to run the hearts, which squeezes West in clubs and diamonds. As the diamonds are 5-2 it is impossible for declarer to misguess the ending.
The heart slam is excellent - how best to reach it?
We saw one route where North responds $2 \boldsymbol{*}$ -Game-forcing using 2/1 but most would avoid a game-force with a singleton in partner's suit and a 10-count.
If South were to open $2 \%$, slam would be reached again most would avoid the $2 \% \mathrm{GF}$ with the south cards.
I saw one auction begin $1 \bigcirc-19-2 \%$ (Gazilli) and this seemed to offer better chances although the final resting spot was $4 \checkmark$.

## misplay this hand with me in Opativa

## Mork Horton

During the last session of a close match I pick up a regulation hand:

Dealer West. All Vul.

- A J 6
$\checkmark$ A 764
$\diamond K 863$
\& Q J
The first three players have nothing to say and although this hand is nothing special I open 1NT and partner raises to game, giving us this simple auction:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | Pass | Pass | $1 N T$ |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| West leads the |  |  |  |
| Q Q, and dummy is not encouraging: |  |  |  |



I can understand why my partner did not look for a heart fit.
When I duck (not a difficult play for anyone who has lived in Bath) West switches to the 2 and when East follows with the nine I win with the queen. The club king must be onside, so I have seven tricks. The other two will have to come from the red suits, so I play the $\varsigma 7$, putting up dummy's nine when West follows with the eight. East wins with the ten, and having played the 5 on the first round of the suit, now returns the 9. I play the jack, but West wins and plays the 4 , which I win with the jack. When I play the $\bigcirc 4$ East discards the $\$ 7$ and East takes
dummy's jack with the queen and plays the $\% 6$, West following with the king as I win with dummy's ace. I can pick up East's hearts, but there is no way to avoid a diamond loser, so I am one down.
This was the layout:
Dealer West. All Vul.

- 743
© J 953
$\diamond$ A 92
\& A 103
ヘ K Q 1082
$\odot 8$
$\diamond$ J 7
\& K 8742

- 95
$\checkmark$ K Q 102
$\diamond$ Q 1054
\& 965
- A J 6

งA764
$\diamond$ K 863
\& Q J

## Post mortem

If declarer wins the second round of spades, he can then play a diamond to the nine. East will win, but declarer will then have time to develop two tricks in hearts and will only have to play West for his 2-4-4-2 pattern. As to the location of the high cards, declarer must assume that the king of clubs is onside, and West's silence during the bidding therefore suggests that the remaining high cards will be with East.
In the other room, West overcalled $2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}$, promising spades and a minor and when North doubled my hand gave no thought to passing, rebidding 2NT and being raised to game.
West switched to the $\triangle 8$ at trick two and declarer took the ten with the ace and played a diamond to the nine and ten. When a spade came back declarer took the ace and played the $\Omega 6$ to East's queen. A club exit went to the queen and declarer ran the jack and played the $\bigcirc 7$ for the jack and king. The diamond return went to the jack and ace and declarer cashed dummy's $\% \mathrm{~A}$, the $\circlearrowleft 5$ and then played the $\diamond 2$ to the eight for +600 and 12 IMPs.
We lost the match by just 8 IMPs.


## OPATUA DIARY

## Mork Horton

## Saturday, August 24th, 2019

After the excitement of Friday's finals come new opportunities. The news that the impending strike by British Airways Pilots has caused my flight to Wuhan for the World Championships to be cancelled is unwelcome, and there is a strong possibility that my return flight will be affected. Given my intended entry point in China, I am tempted to add a new meaning for the word shanghaied.
Material is coming in thick and fast, a mixture of brilliancies \& blunders with an occasional example of the esoteric.

## Exta Boards

I can't say we watch every deal, but we do try to pay attention to the ones that might provide a story. The U26W played 20 boards in the first session of the finals and this was the second of them:

Board 20. Dealer West. All Vul.

|  | - K J 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 73$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ AKQ 9742 |  |
|  | \& 10 |  |
| ¢ Q 8754 | N | A 10 |
| $\bigcirc$ Q 1096 | $W^{\text {N }}$ E | $\bigcirc 854$ |
| $\diamond$ J 8 | ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\diamond 106$ |
| \& 74 | S | \& AKJ 8532 |
|  | A A962 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AKJ 2 |  |
|  | $\diamond 53$ |  |
|  | \& Q 96 |  |

There are only seven results - the shared top of $11 / 1$ going to the two NS pairs who recorded +720 -one after the lead of the $\boldsymbol{\$} 7$ (there is no squeeze, but West might go wrong) the other after the lead of the \& $4!+690$ was worth $7 / 5,+6604 / 8,+6604 / 8$ and +620 a mildly unlucky zero.
The deal reminds me of one that was written about by Reese and Trézel where they described how a contract might be played by players of different levels of ability.

Imagine the contract is $6 \diamond$ and East cashes a top club and switches to a diamond.
An inexperienced player might win, draw the outstanding trumps and then guess which major suit to finesse in.
A more experienced player might, after drawing trumps, cash the top spades and then fall back on the heart finesse.
How about this line: after drawing trumps, cash the top spades and then settle down to the rest of the diamonds; East will be forced to keep two clubs, otherwise you can cross to dummy with a heart and ruff a club. That means that East will have to come down to two hearts and two clubs, while West must retain a spade and three hearts. Then the last trump, pitching a club, squeezes West in the majors. That's not bad, but East might be 3-1-2-7 with the $\uparrow \mathrm{Q}$, when that won't work.
An expert will leave the major suits well alone and simply play out some trumps. The penultimate diamond will see West, down to ©Q87 ऽQ1096 become the victim of a trump squeeze.
Were East to have the 3-1-2-7 I have mentioned then declarer will have to read the position, coming down to $\uparrow 9 \subseteq \mathrm{AK} \& \mathrm{Q}$ and then crossing to dummy with a spade to cash the $\triangle \mathrm{AK}$, squeezing East in the black suits.

In order to defeat hatred, we play the Card of Peace


## Do you Feel Ludky?

Here's a little problem from the first session of the finals:

Board 12. Dealer East. EW Vul.
-A 8
© K 10873
$\diamond 762$
\& J 96
Holding the West hand, you see the auction unfold like this:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - | - | Pass | $1 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $1 \diamond$ | $2 \diamond^{*}$ | $4 \boldsymbol{\boldsymbol { \omega } ^ { * }}$ |
| Pass | $4 \circlearrowleft$ | Pass | $5 \boldsymbol{\mu}^{*}$ |
| Pass | $5 \circlearrowleft$ | Pass | Pass |

$2 \diamond$ Black suits
4* Splinter
5\% Void
Are you a man or a mouse?

Suppose you double? Partner leads the $\mathbf{~} \mathrm{J}$ and this proves to be the layout:

- 92
$\checkmark$ AJ 94
$\diamond$ J 84
\& K 1052
A A 8
© K 10873
$\diamond 762$
\& J 96


A K Q 63
$\checkmark$ Q65 2
$\diamond$ AK Q 105
$8-$
Declarer, Stephan Thorpe, plays the king from dummy and you win and return the 8 , declarer winning with the queen and advancing the $\triangle \mathrm{Q}$. When you cover, declarer takes the ace as East throws a club. Declarer ruffs a club, crosses to hand with a diamond to the jack and then plays winning diamonds. You pitch clubs, as do North and East. In the four card ending declarer plays a spade and you ruff with the $\checkmark 8$. Declarer overruffs with the nine and ruffs a spade with the $\checkmark 5$. You overuff, but then have to play a heart from your $\smile 103$ into the $\subseteq J 4$.
'Eek! I'm a mouse Minnie'.

## UIDEO CORNER



And the winners are...
https://youtu.be/hbYpKqiOtkA


Let me show you a squeeze
https://youtu.be/IO3ZLkry3Gk


## G RAND DESG NS

## Simon ftocken

Board 25 U26 Final session 3 Dealer North. EW Vul.

```
A AQ 83
\(\checkmark\) AQ 975
\(\diamond 532\)
4 A
```

- 10
© K 832
$\diamond$ Q J 1086
\& Q 104


A J

- J 1064
$\diamond$ K 94
\& K 8753
^K 976542
$\checkmark-$
$\diamond$ A 7
\&) J962

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Spooner | Sobczak | Cooper | Marcinowski |
| - | $1 \circlearrowleft$ | Pass | $1 \uparrow$ |
| Pass | $3 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}$ | Pass | 3NT |
| Pass | $4 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}$ | Dble | Pass |
| Pass | Rdbl* | Pass | $4 N T$ |
| Pass | $5 \diamond$ | Pass | $7 \uparrow$ |

3NT shortage?



Thirteen tricks are trivial on this hand once the spades divide 1-1 but how many would find an auction to get there? Two pairs (out of 13) bid it in the U26 final. Four pairs (out of 13 ) in the U21 final. One out of 13 in the U 16 final and none of the seven pairs in the Women's final bid it.
The auction above has three points of instruction:

1. The 3NT bid asking for shortage is key - South is able to count three extra tricks from ruffs in dummy, which brings the known total to 13 - grand slams should generally only be bid if thirteen tricks can be counted.
2. East's double of the splinter is not useful as a lead-directing bid. One possible treatment is to suggest a lead of the suit above (or below) according to agreement. Another is to suggest a possible sacrifice although the vulnerability here would advocate against that.
3. The double here makes little difference as once South initiates RKCB, North's response will confirm the presence of the singleton ace of clubs. Had North held a club void, the double would have been more costly, as the redouble by either player is used to show first-round control (ace or void) in the suit. West led a trump and South claimed shortly thereafter. If the spades divide 2-0, declarer can choose to trump three clubs before drawing trumps, or attempt to create an extra trick out of the heart suit.

## UNDER 26 TEAM ROSTERS

| ALSO INDIA | India LEEMING, Ian ROBSON, Eshan SINGHAL, Hanna TUUS |
| :---: | :---: |
| AUSTRALIA | Renee COOPER, John MCMAHON, Nicholas RANSON, Matthew SMITH, Andrew SPOONER, Jamie THOMPSON, Michael DOECKE captain |
| AUSTRIA | Patricio CRISAFULLI SADABA, Felix EDER, Sophie HERMANN, Manuel JINDRA, Philip SCHEBERAN, Stephan THORPE, Florian WEISS captain, Simon WEINBERGER coach |
| BELGIUM | Stefan BEUKEMA , Kamiel LUYCKX, Arthur MASSAR, Emiel VANDEWIELE, Dennis DE WIT captain |
| CHILE | Francisco BASOALTO, Alexander BOEHM, Kyle BOSSONNEY, Nicolas DAVILA, Bastian PEREZ, Esteban VASQUEZ, Hanoi RONDON captain, Hanoi RONDON coach |
| CHINA RDFZ HU | Ziliang CHEN, Chang LIU, Shiyu SUN, Hongji WEI, Qiufeng XIANG, Yichen YIN, Jichao HU captain, Jichao HU coach |
| CROATIA | Ivan BILUSIC, Emanuel EVACIC, Matko FERENCA, Filip KATUSIC, Viktor LESKOVAR, Kristijan STEFANEC, Marshall LEWIS captain |
| GERMANY | Florian ALTER, Maximilian Niklas STEPPER, Lauritz STRECK, Sibrand VAN OOSTEN, Sibrand VAN OOSTEN captain |
| GREISNOR | Christian BAKKE, Amir EZION, Tomer LOONSTEIN, Ioannis OIKONOMOPOULOS |
| HONG KONG | Wai Lam CHEUNG, Tsz Fung Harry HUNG, Kam Fai LIN, Shuk Man NG, Michael WU, Tsz Fung Harry HUNG captain |
| INDIA | BABHRUBAHAN BOSE, ARYA CHAKRABORTY, SOUMADEEP GHOSH, SOUVIK KAR, SAYANTAN KUSHARI, SAGNIK ROY, Shibnath Dey SARKAR captain, Shibnath Dey SARKAR coach |
| ISRAEL | Oren TOLEDANO, Lior URMAN, Asaf YEKUTIELI, Ami ZAMIR |
| ITALIA | Francesco CHIARANDINI, Giovanni DONATI, Alvaro GAIOTTI, Giacomo PERCARIO, Roberto SAU, Sebastiano SCATA, Dario ATTANASIO captain, Valerio GIUBILO coach |
| JAPAN | Eisuke ENDO, Takayuki HINO, Eishi IMAKIIRE, Hitoshi TANABE, Tetsutaro YAMAMOTO, Hirokana YUGE, Hiroaki MIURA captain |
| NATT | Stephen KENNEDY, Shahzaad NATT, Ben NORTON, Junyuan YE |
| NETHERLANDS | Pim COPPENS, Guy MENDES DE LEON, Thibo SPRINKHUIZEN, Niels VAN BIJSTERVELDT, Guy MENDES DE LEON captain |
| POLAND | Piotr MARCINOWSKI, Jakub PATREUHA, Patryk PATREUHA, Mateusz SOBCZAK, Marek MARKOWSKI captain |
| SERBALICIOUS | miCHAEL DONNELLY, Ronan Richard James VALENTINE, Stojan VAZIC, Jovana ZORANOVIC |
| SIVY B | Cornelius DUFFIE, Benjamin KRISTENSEN, Kevin ROSENBERG, Sarah YOUNGQUIST |
| URUGUAY | Andres ARREDONDO, Juan Felipe CUERVO LOPERA, Sofia KOZLOVIZ, Jonathan LENZI, Agustin TEIXEIRA, Guillermo MINUTTI captain, Guillermo MINUTTI coach |

## UNDER 26 TEAMS RANKING AFTER SESSION 6

| 1 | AUSTRALIA | 82.66 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | NATT | 79.16 |
| 3 | SIVY B | 74.59 |
| 4 | NETHERLANDS | 73.28 |
| 5 | GERMANY | 72.29 |
| 6 | ISRAEL | 69.40 |
| 7 | ITALIA | 68.70 |
| 8 | POLAND | 66.46 |
| 9 | JAPAN | 63.72 |
| 10 | CROATIA | 58.17 |


| 11 | AUSTRIA | 56.21 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 12 | CHINA RDFZ HU | 53.68 |
| 13 | HONG KONG | 53.32 |
| 14 | BELGIUM | 52.45 |
| 15 | GREISNOR | 50.47 |
| 16 | ALSO INDIA | 49.24 |
| 17 | SERBALICIOUS | 48.97 |
| 18 | INDIA | 46.75 |
| 19 | CHILE | 46.28 |
| 20 | URUGUAY | 30.20 |

## WOMEN UNDER 26 TEAM ROSTERS

| CHILE | Diana MOLINA, Francisca NACRUR, Valentina ROMAN, Camila YANEZ, <br> Alexandra COVA captain, Hanoi RONDON coach |
| :--- | :--- |
| ITALIA | Federica DALPOZZO, Valentina DALPOZZO, Anastasia DI LORENZO, Linda SEREGNI, |
| POLAND GIRLS | Dario ATTANASIO captain, Margherita CHAVARRIA coach <br> Sophia BALDYSZ, Joanna KOKOT, Dominika OCYLOK, Joanna ZALEWSKA |
| SCREWDRIVER | Malene Holm CHRISTENSEN, Laura COVILL, Esther VISSER, Janneke WACKWITZ, <br> SERBIA <br> Bas VAN ENGELEN captain |
| SOFIES WORLD | Vesna KATANIC, Tamara MILUTINOVIC, Aleksandra OVUKA, Katarina VUJIC, <br> Vesna KATANIC captain, Vuk TRNAVAC coach |
| SXDAISY | Thea Lucia INDREBO, Agnethe Hansen KJENSLI, Ida Marie OEBERG, <br> Sofie Grasholt SJODAL |
| SXPEONY | Xiaoran BI, Yixuan JIANG, Qing TANG, Yuming WANG, Fan YANG, <br> Yuchen ZHAO, Shuangle HU captain |
| SXTULIP | Yunpeng CHEN, Chenyun GE, Yijia LU, Xinyao RUAN, Jiaming XU, Wenfei YU, <br> Ling WANG captain |
| ZHIHAOLE | Lingwen FAN, Yi Ran GAO, Jinyi MA, Yutong WU, Tong XU, Yiyun YANG, <br> Tianlu MA captain, Yunpeng CHEN coach |
| Qin Yi CHAO, Jingjing HAN, Qiyun HE, Yu XUAN, Chiyu ZHAO, Yuqiao ZHAO |  |

## UNDER 26 WOMEN TEAMS RANKING AFTER SESSION 6

| 1 | POLAND GIRLS | 84.74 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | SCREWDRIVER | 84.69 |
| 3 | SXPEONY | 81.24 |
| 4 | SOFIES WORLD | 73.77 |
| 5 | SXDAISY | 66.31 |
| 6 | CHILE | 63.99 |
| 7 | ITALIA | 52.79 |
| 8 | SXTULIP | 42.97 |
| 9 | ZHIHAOLE | 28.02 |
| 10 | SERBIA | 21.48 |



## UNDER 21 TEAM ROSTERS

| 5050 | Theo ANOYRKATIS, Andrew COPE, Harry MADDEN, Alexander PEMBERTON, Oscar SELBY |
| :---: | :---: |
| AZS WRATISLAVIA | Alicja MYSLIWIEC, Szymon OSTROWSKI, MACIEJ RACEWICZ, |
|  | Ryszard ROSIKIEWICZ, Adam SZUSZKIEWICZ, Oskar TOKARCZUK |
| BADOELL | Erik HANSSON, Castor MANN, Oscar NIJSSEN, Tim VAN DE PAVERD |
| CHINA RDFZ | Zixi CAI, Yuanzhe DING, Zhaofeng WANG, Haoqing YU, Jichao HU captain, Jichao HU coach |
| DABROWKA | Kajetan GOSCIANSKI, Karol MACKOWIAK, EWA MORAWSKA, Jakub NAWROCKI |
| DABROWKA PO | Jozef BUGAJEWSKI, Szymon GRAS, Lukasz JOZKOWIAK, Adam ZALWOWSKI |
| FRANCE FUNBRIDGE | Luc BELLICAUD, Theo GUILLEMIN, Leo ROMBAUT, Clement TEIL, Thibault DELMAS-SIRVEN captain |
| INDIA | Kalpana BALIRAM GURJAR, Rekha BHIMANAIK, Vidhya KAMAL PATEL, Manasa MANASA, KAMRYN MENEZES, Taral Emmanuel Lazarus RODRIGUES, Vinay DESAI captain, Vinay DESAI coach |
| ITALIA | Gabriele GIUBILO, Gianmarco GIUBILO, Matteo LOMBARDI, Federico PORTA, Valerio GIUBILO captain, Dario ATTANASIO coach |
| ITALIA 2 | Alessandro CARLETTI, Matteo MEREGALLI, Annachiara PELAGGI, Maddalena PELAGGI |
| JAPAN | Terushi INAMI, Yoshitake INOUE, Sukai KAWABATA, Yihan SONG, Takahito SUZUKI, Ken TAKIZAWA, Hiroaki MIURA captain |
| MAN EATING COBRAS | Jamie FEGARTY, Liam FEGARTY, Patrick GAUDART, Ben LEWIS |
| MEISTERS DISCIPLE | Ilai Ilan BANIRI, Soren BUNE, Sanna CLEMENTSSON, Christian LAHRMANN, Alexander SANDIN |
| POLAND | Jakub BAZYLUK, Krzysztof CICHY, Tomasz KIELBASA, Kacper KOPKA, Marek MARKOWSKI captain |
| PRISM FALCONS | Sihan FEI, Lanxi JIANG, Rui WANG, Fengrui ZHANG, Zhijun ZHANG captain, Xiaofang WANG coach |
| SERBIA | Ivan BORKOVIC, Slobodan GUZVICA, Mihailo SIMIC, Bogdan VELICKOVIC, Bogdan VELICKOVIC captain, Milorad RADOJEVIC coach |
| SXNEBULA | Hanyang DAI, Penghao WANG, Yingqi WANG, Hao XU, Tianyao YAN, Jiahao YANG, Haihong GU captain, Liping WANG coach |
| SXSPARK | Xiaolei GUO, Jiaxin TONG, Zhaochen YU, Zhaolun YU, Tiancheng ZHANG, Chuanyao ZHOU, Jiping YAO captain, Renhong PAN coach |
| SXSTARLORD | Cheng DENG, Haochen LIU, Yihong LIU, Mingyu LU, Tianle YAO, Zhijie YUAN, Xiaochen KONG captain |
| THE CANADIANS | Hao Zhen (John) DONG, Finn KOLESNIK, Harrison Anders LUBA, Bo Han ZHU |

## UNDER 21 TEAMS RANKING AFTER SESSIO N 6

| 1 | ITALIA | 90.98 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | BADOELL | 88.42 |
| 3 | POLAND | 75.42 |
| 4 | THE CANADIANS | 72.35 |
| 5 | SXSTARLORD | 69.56 |
| 6 | JAPAN | 66.50 |
| 7 | AZS WRATISLAVIA | 65.83 |
| 8 | SXSPARK | 64.46 |
| 9 | CHINA RDFZ | 63.43 |
| 10 | MEISTERS DISCIPLE | 56.95 |


| 11 | SXNEBULA | 56.85 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 12 | FRANCE FUNBRIDGE | 54.27 |
| 13 | DABROWKA PO | 52.70 |
| 14 | INDIA | 51.72 |
| 15 | 5050 | 51.62 |
| 16 | ITALIA 2 | 48.44 |
| 17 | MAN EATING COBRAS | 45.58 |
| 18 | DABROWKA | 44.85 |
| 19 | PRISM FALCONS | 43.55 |
| 20 | SERBIA | 32.52 |


| CAPRISUN | Weiyi JIN, Yupeng LIU, Jiayi SHI, Zihao WANG, Qianwen ZHANG, Zimo ZHANG, Jian WANG captain |
| :---: | :---: |
| CHINA RDFZ HOPE | Xuefeng CHEN, Yipeng LIAO, Xuanci LIU , Moye REN, Anrui SHANG, Xinlun YU, Yanyan CAO captain, Wei QIN coach |
| CROATIA U16 | Franko BACIC, Vanja CIZEL, Eliza DRAGCEVIC, Mia GRUBISIC, Kaya Fay PROBST, Tristan Nicholas PROBST, Richard PROBST captain, Vjekoslav ZEPIC coach |
| CS21MSCHOOL | Xingjian CHEN, Yaojia LI, Maoxiang TIAN, Jialu WAN, Hongxin XIAO, Junyu YANG |
| EYE OF THE TIGER | Clement BENS, Louis BONIN, Martin DESAGE, Maxence ROCHES, Lucas SARTORI, Philippe TCHOULKINE, Benoit DEVEZE captain |
| INDIA | ANSHUL BHATT, Kunj CHHEDA, Tilakraj CHOWDHURY, Prajiwal MAYUR, Aryan MEHTA, Vineet NANDU, Vinay DESAI captain, Vinay DESAI coach |
| POLAND | Lucja CIBOROWSKA, Konrad CIBOROWSKI, Lukasz KASPERCZYK, Kacper KUFLOWSKI, Franciszek KURLIT, Michal STASIK, Marcin KUFLOWSKI captain |
| RDFZ BRIDGE STRAIT | Ziwen CHANG, Juncan HUANG, Xuanda LIN, Zhuangyan SUN, Ziao WANG, Zhili ZHUO, Jichao HU captain, Ziliang CHEN coach |
| RDFZ ZHIHAOLE | Yanxu CHEN, Jiakang LIU, Siyi LIU, Hanxi MA, Chushi SU, Sikun WEI, Yanhong LIU captain, Wei LIU coach |
| SHARKS | Jasmine BAKHSHI, Markus BERTHEAU, Anders BROGELAND, <br> Are Borgar LANDSVIK, David BAKHSHI captain, Tonje Aasand BROGELAND coach |
| SHSLLS1 | Yuxin JIANG, Jialin LI, Shiyuan QIN, Muqun XU, Nuoyi XU, Siqing YANG, Wei Guo ZHENG captain, Jiening XU coach |
| SXMARS | Yanzhuo FU, Zhuxiongjie GAO, Ningyu LANG, Haotian SHEN, Zicheng WANG, Fanfei YU, Wei YANG captain, Yihong LIU coach |
| SXSATURN | Suhang LI, Zhenyue LU, Tengbo TANG, Zhixian TIAN, Yijun WU, Xuyang ZHANG, Hongfeng ZHU captain, Zhijie YUAN coach |
| SXVENUS | Haoxuan JIANG, Fengming LIN, Shuoming MA, Jiarui WANG, Zimo XIE, Junyi YANG, Lin LIN captain, Cheng DENG coach |
| TIANJIN BRIDGESCHOOL | Linzhen CAI, Zirui FENG, Ruoshui SUN, Xieyang WEI, Jingxuan XIA, Beilin ZHANG, Yang ZHANG captain, Binbin DONG coach |

## UNDER 16 TEAMS RANKING AFTER SESSIO N 6

| 1 | SHSLLS1 | 92.88 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2 | SXSATURN | 86.06 |
| 3 | POLAND | 74.29 |
| 4 | EYE OF THE TIGER | 72.91 |
| 5 | SHARKS | 69.95 |
| 6 | SXVENUS | 67.79 |
| 7 | SXMARS | 61.50 |
| 8 | RDFZ BRIDGE STRAIT | 61.46 |
| 9 | RDFZ ZHIHAOLE | 58.37 |
| 10 | CAPRISUN | 51.69 |
| 11 | INDIA | 46.70 |
| 12 | CROATIA U16 | 44.99 |
| 13 | CS21MSCHOOL | 44.91 |
| 14 | CHINA RDFZ HOPE | 43.28 |
| 15 | IIANJIN BRIDGESCHOOL 35.22 |  |

