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The EBL President Jan Kamras and the EBL Treasurer Eric Laurant visit the Championships.
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In a World Bridge Championship, every day is important, but some are more important than others. Today is one such, when the first four titles of these Championships will be decided. Perhaps these words from Edgar A. Guest will inspire you all:
Success is failure turned inside out,
The silver tint of the clouds of doubt,
And you never can tell how close you are,
It may be near when it seems afar;
So stick to the fight when you're hardest hit It's when things seem worst that you mustn't quit.

## CARRY OVR

There will be a linear carry-over
in all four Finals
of three tops.

## SOHEDE TODAY

| $10.00-12.45$ | Pairs Final A \& B 1 $1^{\text {st }}$ Session |
| :--- | :--- |
| $13.30-16.00$ | Pairs Final A \& B 2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Session |
| $16.20-18.50$ | Pairs Final A \& B 3 |
| 19.30 |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Pairs Prize Giving |  |

## PRIZE GIVNG

Today's Prize Giving will be held in front of the Royal Hotel at 19.30.

## PHOTO!

We will be taking a picture of all the participants at these Championships on Sunday, at 1 pm (after the last round of the Swiss). The meeting point is in front of the venue!


## Pnolo Clair



Ata Aydin (Youth Committee chairman), Gilad Ofir (WBF Youth Coordinator), Sevinç Atay (Kids Sub-Committee Chairman), Fernando Lema (Kids Sub-Committee Member), Simon Fellus (WBF Secretary), Geert Magerman (University Sub-Committee Chairman), Gianarrigo Rona (WBF President), Paolo Clair (Youth Committee Secretary)

Yesterday, here in Opatija, after the start of the World Open Pairs Youth Championship, and the various youth competitions scheduled, the recurring assemblies of the Youth Committee and the Kids subCommittee of the World Bridge Federation were held simultaneously in the same session; the two Chairmen - Mr. Ata Aydin and Mrs. Sevinç Atay respectively brilliantly coordinating the meeting also attended by WBF President Gianarrigo Rona.

After a detailed presentation by Mr. Aydin of the 6th World Youth Open Championships that had just started, the Chairman then presented the statistical aspects of the event from which the continuous growth trend of the biennial event born in 2009 and now in its sixth incarnation emerged. This edition brought the record number of participations in the Under 21 category as well as an important stabilization in the Under 26 and Under 16 categories and in the number of nationalities attending (35).

The numerous subsequent topics on the agenda were considered by those present with attention and an abundance of detail and significant consideration was given to:

- the organization and structure of the 2020 Online Team League (also an initiative in its full development);
- the improvement of the Youth Website;
- the initiatives concerning Bridge in Universities (and related European and World Championships held under the auspices of the EUSA and FISU respectively).

At the conclusion of the meeting, President Rona, after congratulating the Committee for the excellent success of the Opening Ceremony, emphasizing the presence of numerous Croatian authorities and, consequently, both national and local media, announced that IMSA (International Mind Sports Association) has also included in its official program an ad hoc event for our young players: the "IMSA Youth Games" (initially reserved for the strongest athletes).

## WORLD YOUTH OPEN OHAMPIONSHPS STATISTICS

|  |  | PAIRS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Venue | U26 | U21 | Women U26 | U16 | Total |  |
| 2009 | Istanbul | 94 | NO | NO | NO | 94 |  |
| 2011 | Opatija | 61 | NO | NO | NO | 61 |  |
| 2013 | Atlanta | 32 | 40 | 12 | NO | 84 |  |
| 2015 | Opatija | 37 | 21 | 23 | 41 | 122 |  |
| 2017 | Lyon | 35 | 37 | 42 | 78 | 192 |  |
| 2019 | Opatija | 49 | 54 | 27 | 57 | 187 |  |


|  |  | TEAMS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Venue | U26 | U21 | Women U26 | U16 | Total |  |
| 2009 | Istanbul | 40 | NO | NO | NO | 40 |  |
| 2011 | Opatija | 27 | NO | NO | NO | 27 |  |
| 2013 | Atlanta | 12 | 15 | NO | NO | 27 |  |
| 2015 | Opatija | 16 | 8 | 7 | 13 | 44 |  |
| 2017 | Lyon | 17 | 12 | 15 | 26 | 70 |  |
| 2019 | Opatija | 22 | 19 | 10 | 15 | 66 |  |

# WOULD YOU LKE TO RECEVE THE DAILY BUШEIN BY E-MAIL? 

## If the answer is YES,

 please send an e-mail to:
## franceschannels@gmail.com

## OPATUA DIARY

## Mork Horton

For the third session, I left the building to watch the WU26, where a top is $26 / 0$.
The fact that I can deliver the match point scores is due to the brilliant scoring system under the control of Fotis. It was put at risk when two tables thought it would be a good idea to swap Bridgemates and forgot to exchange them back for the next round.
It reminded me of the classic Morecombe and Wise sketch with André Previn where Eric Morecombe is told by the conductor that in his attempted rendition of Grieg's Piano Concerto he is 'playing all the wrong notes' to which Eric replies 'I'm playing all the right notes - but not necessarily in the right order'. I guess the bridge equivalent is 'I'm entering all the right results - but not necessarily in the right Bridgemate'.

Board 5. Dealer North. NS Vul.
A A Q 98
$\bigcirc$ J
$\diamond$ K 963
\& Q 632


- Q 9875
$\diamond$ J 52
\& 1095


AK7652
© K 1064
$\diamond 108$
\& A 7

- 43
- A 32
$\diamond$ A Q 74
\& K J 84

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pelaggi | Baldysz | Pelaggi | Zalewska |
| - | $1 \diamond^{*}$ | Dble | Rdbl |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | Pass | $2 \diamond^{*}$ |
| Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass | $3 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass | $5 \diamond$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Having set up a game force by bidding 20 South could happily support diamonds. If North had held something like $\varsigma \mathrm{Qx}$ she might now have been able to bid 3NT.
East led the $\bigcirc 4$ and declarer won with dummy's ace, ruffed a heart, played two rounds of diamonds
ending in dummy and ruffed a heart. When a club to the king held she drew the outstanding trump and played a club for the queen and ace. When East made the mistake of exiting with a spade, handing over a valuable overtrick, +620 scored 19.46/6.54, while +600 would have been 15.15/10.85.

Board 6. Dealer East. EW Vul.
ヘ 92

- AJ 9872
$\diamond$ A J
\& J 92


East led the $\% \mathrm{~K}$ and declarer won with dummy's ace, and played a heart for the queen and king. East cashed the $\& \mathrm{Q}$ but then switched to a diamond and that meant declarer could claim the remaining tricks for +450 and 21.62/4.38, no-one holding declarer to ten tricks.
There were three scores of +790 for EW $2.23 / 23.77$ a couple of $+620 s^{\prime}$ and $a+170$.
Although I am not a fan of overcalling on a fourcard suit, I think that at this form of scoring West might try 1ヵ. It was the legendary Terence Reese who wrote that playing pairs one must try to get involved in the bidding on any reasonable excuse. Failing that, East could consider overcalling. On the six occasions EW played in spades West was declarer three times (I will leave you to work out how many times East was at the helm).

Board 7. Dealer South. All Vul.

- Q J 85
$\bigcirc 984$
$\diamond 964$
\& 42
か K 964
○ K Q 5
$\diamond 7$
\& K 9875



## A A

- A 10732
$\diamond$ AKQ 105
\& A J
- 10732
© J 6
$\diamond$ J 832
\&) 1063

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Xuan | Tuus | Chao | Leeming |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| 1\% 6 | Pass | 18 | Pass |
| 14 | Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 30 | Pass | 4NT* | Pass |
| 5\%** | Pass | $7 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |

Having established that her partner held a key card, East went directly to $7 \circlearrowleft$ - perhaps forgetting that she could ask about the $\triangle \mathrm{Q}$.
South led the $\boldsymbol{\$}$ and rather than describe the play in detail I'll simply report that declarer omitted to take a diamond ruff and ended up losing a diamond at trick thirteen handing NS 23.77/2.33. Making the contract would have reversed the scores.

Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.

|  | - 64 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 976$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond 76432$ |  |  |
|  | \& K 64 |  |  |
| ¢ K Q |  |  | ¢ J 1093 |
| $\bigcirc$ K J 54 | $\mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{E}$ |  | $\bigcirc$ A Q 83 |
| $\diamond$ A J | ${ }^{W}{ }_{\mathbf{S}}{ }^{\text {E }}$ |  | $\diamond$ Q 1095 |
| \& A Q 853 |  |  | S \& 10 |
|  | 4 A 8752 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 102$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K 8 |  |  |
|  | \& J 972 |  |  |
| West | North | East | t South |
| Xuan | Tuus | Chao | Leeming |
| 2NT | Pass | 30* | Pass |
| $3 \bigcirc$ | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |

North led the 6 and South won and returned the $\$ 8$. Declarer won, drew trumps ending in dummy and cashed two spades pitching clubs. A diamond to the jack was followed by the ace, and declarer claimed the rest, +480 and $5.46 / 20.54$. The five declarers who attempted $6 \triangle$ went down - easy enough to see why.

Board 9. Dealer North. EW Vul.

- J 92

○9642
$\diamond$ Q 7
\& Q J 97
4 A 85
$\checkmark$ AJ 87
$\diamond$ AJ 94
\& K 2

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $X u$ | Fan | Yu | $X u$ |
| - | Pass | Pass | $1 \diamond$ |
| $1 N T$ | Pass | $2 \Omega^{*}$ | Pass |
| $2 \uparrow$ | All Pass |  |  |

North led the $\diamond Q$ and declarer won with the ace and played a spade to the ten, South taking the king and returning the $\diamond 3$. Declarer won with dummy's king, drew trumps ending in dummy and played a diamond to the nine. That led to ten tricks, +170 and 7.62/18.38.
One pair bid 3NT, China's Yuqiao Zhao \& Chiyu Zhao (they are cousins, not sisters!).

Their auction was uncomplicated - Yuqiao overcalled South's $1 \boldsymbol{\$}$ with 1 NT , then replied $2 \diamond$ to Chiyu's $2 \boldsymbol{\%}$ and raised the 2 NT continuation to game.
North led the $\& \mathrm{Q}$ and declarer won with the king and played ace of spades and a spade to the ten and king. The defenders cashed three clubs leaving North on lead in this position:


I was hoping that North had now switched to a heart, after which declarer can win with the ace and cash dummy's winning spades. The last of these will find South, down to $\triangle \mathrm{K} \diamond 1085$, become the victim of a show up squeeze. Alas, North saw fit to exit with the $\diamond \mathrm{Q}$, so I will have to look elsewhere for a candidate for an IPBA Junior award. +600 was worth 0.08/25.92.


## LAS BOARD

## Mork Horton

Martin Cantor, one of the many contributors to the free online magazine, A New Bridge Magazine, suggested that this deal from the final of the Riga Invites event might be suitable for the sequel to the Masterpoint Press Publication Last Board.

Board 12. Dealer North. All Vul.

- 42
$\checkmark 1083$
$\diamond A$ Q 76
\& J 976
A KJ863
$\checkmark$ AKJ 9
$\diamond 53$
\& 42


A A 109
$\bigcirc 542$
$\diamond$ KJ 84
\& A 83
A Q 75

- Q 76
$\diamond 1092$
\& K Q 105
When this deal, the last of the match settled on the table, Vitas led by 6.1 IMPs.


## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Olanski | Fredin | Vainko | Osterga |
| 1ヵ | Pass | $1 \mathrm{NT}^{*}$ | Pass |
| 2 | Pass | $3 \boldsymbol{~}$ | All Pass |

## 1NT Forcing

On a bad day you might find everything was wrong and go down in 4- but you would certainly want
to be in it. North led the 4 and declarer won with the jack and played a diamond. North went in with the ace and switched to the $\boldsymbol{\$ 7}$. Declarer won with dummy's ace, cashed the $\diamond \mathrm{K}$, ruffed a diamond and played the $\triangle A$. When North followed with the ten declarer continued with the $৩ \mathrm{~K}$ and that meant only ten tricks, +170 .
If Hammershark Deluxe could pickup 7 IMPs they would take the spoils.

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Wrang | Matushko | Wrang | Krasnosselski |
| $1 \uparrow$ | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{6}$ | Pass |
| $2 \otimes$ | Pass | $4 \uparrow$ | All Pass |

EW completed the first part of the required equation by bidding the spade game. Now they required 11 or more tricks to regain the lead when it mattered.
On a non-club lead you have a shot at twelve tricks, but North eliminated that possibility by leading the \&6. Declarer won with dummy's ace, played a heart to the ace and a diamond. North went up with the ace and played a second club, South taking the queen and continuing with the king. Declarer ruffed, played a spade to the ace, ran the 10 and drew the outstanding trump. At this point crossing to dummy with a diamond and playing a heart to the jack is +450 and 7 IMPs - a win by 0.9. Not wanting to lose to a doubleton $\odot \mathrm{Q}$ declarer cashed the $৩ \mathrm{~K}$, went to dummy and played a heart, +420 . That was worth 6 IMPs, making the final score 12.1-12. Phew!



## misplay this hand with me in Ophtile

## Mark Horton

Going into the last session of a long final we are trailing by a few IMPs when I pick up this collection:

```
* AQ 8 4
* AQ 8
\diamondQ1096
&}7
```

We are vulnerable and when the player on my right passes, I have a choice of opening bids. As our notrump range is (14)15-17 I decide to start with 1NT. When partner responds with 20 I dutifully show my spades and partner continues with 3\%, which we play as asking for a further description. When I bid $3 \diamond$ partner jumps to 5 NT , which asks me to pick a slam. Given that I have already denied four hearts it must be right to bid $6 \odot$, leaving the final decision to partner. When he corrects to 64 let matters rest, leaving us with this auction:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | Pass | 1NT |
| Pass | 26** | Pass | 24 |
| Pass | 36** | Pass | $3 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 5NT* | Pass | 60 |
| Pass | 64 | All Pa |  |

West leads the 5 and when dummy appears I see that the contract is hardly a laydown:

A K J 3
© J 1063
$\diamond$ K 4
\& AKQ 9


- A Q 84
$\checkmark$ A Q 8
$\diamond$ Q 1096
\& 72
As far as I can see I am going to need the heart finesse, and I may need to ruff a diamond. I take the opening lead in dummy, and with fingers crossed, I
play a heart to the queen. West produces the king and lays down the $\diamond \mathrm{A}$. This was the layout:

```
Dealer East. NS Vul.
    A K J 3
    © J 1063
    \(\diamond\) K 4
    \& AK Q 9
A 1065
\(\diamond\) K 754
\(\diamond\) A J
\& 10653
```



```
- 972
\(\checkmark 92\)
\(\diamond 87532\)
\& J 84
- A Q 84
\(\checkmark\) AQ 8
\(\diamond\) Q 1096
\& 72
```


## Post mortem

There was no rush to take the heart finesse. Suppose declarer plays dummy's $\diamond \mathrm{K}$ at trick two and West wins and plays a second spade. Declarer wins that in dummy and plays a diamond to the queen. When the jack falls, declarer takes a third round of trumps and now knows that West started with eight cards in hearts and clubs. There is a good case for playing for the actual layout, and as you can see, West is squeezed. If the jack of diamonds does not fall doubleton, declarer can ruff a diamond and then take the heart finesse.
A initial club lead would be deadly, as when West gets in with the $\diamond \mathrm{A}$ he can play a second club.
They reached $6 \boldsymbol{A}$ in the other room and declarer also took the heart finesse, so there was no swing.


## Imprompiu arscnment

## Marshall Lewis

## U26 Pairs－2nd Qualifying Session （Boards 17－32）

I received my impromptu assignment from Mark Horton to cover the second set of sixteen boards in the＂ancient－juniors＂series，but my arrival in the playing room was slightly delayed by the exchange of a few front－line foreign－correspondent war stories with the esteemed Editor－in－Chief－which sadly were not even believed by the non－journalists in the Press Room，let alone each other．O tempora！O mores！！
In consequence，my eyewitness report can personally vouch for at－the－table proceedings of only the last twelve boards，thus excluding the initial quartet of deals，which can be briefly summarized as follows：
17．A dull－looking 3NT，with an overtrick hinging on the nerve to take a finesse which（if unsuccessful） could yield－100 in a laydown contract．
18．A layout featuring 24 combined HCP for NS，on which an extremely tricky par of +120 is in theory eligible to nose out an unambitious and quite unchallenging +110 in either red suit．
19．A deal on which accurate defense is required to hold 3NT to ten tricks－should anything go amiss．In that endeavor，NS must hope a sizeable cohort of their counterparts are defending against $60 \%$ instead，and that the North players fail to find an opening lead from the doubleton king of diamonds．
20．A double－fit in the majors for NS，wherein hearts plays a trick better as a trump suit both despite and because of a 4－1 split．

With all that water under the bridge－or perhaps the other way around－now on record，this narrative will move on to events I can solemnly swear to，at least in the absence of suitable monetary incentives．


Board 21．Dealer North．NS Vul．

> A 542
> $\diamond$ K J 93
> $\diamond$ K 973
> $\& 85$

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| －10983 | N | ヘ AKQ 76 |
| $\bigcirc$ Q 72 | $\mathrm{w}^{\text {N }}$ E | $\bigcirc 65$ |
| $\diamond 652$ | W | $\diamond$ Q J |
| \＆ A 109 | S | \＆K Q J 3 |
|  | A J |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A 1084 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ A 1084 |  |
|  | \＆ 7642 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bilusic | Toledano | Evacic | Zamir |
| - | Pass | $1 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}$ | Pass |
| $2 \boldsymbol{1}$ | Pass | $4 \boldsymbol{\$}$ | All Pass |

I started at the table of one of the core U26 junior－ international pairs from the host country，Ivan Bilusic andEmanuelEvacic（aka＂Bibi＂\＆＂Mimi＂，respectively）． In second chair Mimi opened 1ヵ，and despite an appropriate distribution South unsurprisingly chose not to double for takeout，given the combination of quite scanty values and adverse vulnerability．Bibi now had a bidding decision，and despite his solid grounding in the precepts and dictates of Losing Trick Count （LTC）－which would advise a 1NT response despite the ample spade support，due to the dismal 10 －loser profile of the hand－chose just to raise to $2 \boldsymbol{A}$ ．This had various ways to work out satisfactorily－and in any case was likely enough to be the field action．Mimi now had the values to bid a game（whether by the LTC metric or any other yardstick）despite the squishy diamond holding－the only question was whether to show the club concentration，with a view toward possibly playing in 3 N from Responder＇s side since Opener＇s hand is quite anti－positional．With only the one ace Mimi rejected this potentially tempting （perhaps especially at Match－point scoring）distraction， however，and briskly closed proceedings with 4ヵ． The bad news was that this contract had zero play， resulting in 29．21／18．79．The potentially consoling good news was that the opponents were indeed on for a filthy－ugly－lucky $4 \triangle$ ，though realistically few NS pairs were likely to be so adventurous－plus EW could
and would routinely compete as high as 3 at the very least. Of course, even if $W$ does respond 1NT it is not hard to imagine getting to game, since that call suggests no fit and instead W holds FOUR trumps and the key side ace.
On the companion board, South did make a light takeout double - perhaps wistfully chasing the dreadful unbid game from the previous deal:

Board 22. Dealer East. EW Vul.
A A843
© Q 1042
$\diamond A$ Q
\& 1042


- Q J 95

© K J 986
$\diamond$ K 6
\& 97
A K 107
$\odot 3$
$\diamond 98743$
\& A Q J 5
Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bilusic | Toledano | Evacic | Zamir |
| $\overline{2 \Omega}$ | - | $1 \Omega$ | Dble |
| $2 \Phi$ | All Pass |  |  |

Still sitting East of course, Mimi opened $1 \bigcirc$ as dealer and South - this time at favorable vulnerability, though still a spade in arrears by the standards of some pundits - deposited a red card in the tray. West contributed a (rather heavy, by the standards of a different tribe of pundits) "bad single raise" by bidding $2 \Omega$, and after lengthy consideration North took the plunge with $4 \boldsymbol{A}$. This enterprising contract went two down undoubled, scoring 18.79/29.21. Obviously East has no reason to do so on the available evidence (and plenty of reason not to) - but with an ace and a king facing a vulnerable opener West might well have thrown down the gauntlet, particularly in view of the previously advertised "bad raise". This contract was about as unlucky as $4 \triangle$ had been lucky on prior deal in the NS line. There is a moral to this sort of chasescene, but such homilies are above my pay grade.

Our heroes continued to keep their opponents guessing on the next round, now occupying NS furniture. The zigzag "moving-target-is-hard-to-hit" philosophy of "now a little heavy, now a little light" scored a spectacular success on Board 23, against the English pair of Shahzaad Natt and Ben Norton:

Board 23. Dealer South. All Vul.
© K J
© K 1053
$\diamond$ A 83
\& J 986


Re-fortified by a quick between-rounds dose of LTC (not yet on the WADA forbidden list), Bibi opened his two-suiter (which two was that again?) with an unabashed 14 - and to be perfectly fair, when your first suit is odds-on to win any lowball pot, how can you hide your radiant light under a bushel basket? The duo bid briskly on to $4 \diamond$, while West remained a silent onlooker despite holding the most Milton-rich collection at the table. The play posed little problem after: (1) club king; (2) diamond switch won by South; (3) spade to the jack. +620 scored 43.79/4.21.

On the following board, a dangerous opening lead by the EW pair - perhaps an attempt to recoup something in the round after the " $\&$-not-even-kissed" experience of the first deal? - proved regrettably illtimed, so the Croatian pair emerged with a round that ought to satisfy even the greediest of Matchpoint highwaymen. Keen readers may have noticed that There's That Moral Again.
With my protégés seemingly in no further need of my aura, I moved on laterally to observe some of the other competitors whom experience has taught me might be providers of good copy. First on my visiting list would be another familiar pair from the Balkan "neighborhood" - the Serbian duo Jovana Zoranovic and Stoyan Vazic. In fact I had kibitzed Jovana four years ago when these very Championships were also held right here in Opatija, and had the pleasure of watching her win the Gold Medal in the Ladies Pairs, along with the equally formidable Selena Pepic. Their exploits had provided me a whole article then - surely she could at least cough up a gem or two in our quarter-hour this time, hardly too much to ask.

Well, it was apparently too much to ask on Board 25 , which was as dull a 4 as could ever be imagined. Fortunately, the companion Board salvaged all of our reputations as Perennial JetSet Media Darlings:

Board 26. Dealer East. All Vul.

- Q 8652
$\bigcirc 963$
$\diamond 4$
\& K 942
A A J 10743
$\diamond$ J
$\diamond$ A Q J


A K
© A 2
$\diamond$ K 1098653
\& 863
A 9
© K Q 108754
$\diamond 72$
\& A Q 5

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vazic | Davila | Zoranovic | Basoalto |
| - | - | $3 \diamond(?)$ | $3 \varnothing$ |
| $3 \boldsymbol{4}$ | Pass | $3 N T^{*}$ | $4 \circlearrowleft$ |
| $4 \boldsymbol{4}$ | All Pass |  |  |

As we all know, wagering on WBF events is strictly forbidden, but if ever I were tempted to contravene this wise and just regulation, it would be to bet heavily that the auctions around the room on this board were not identical. "What about $3 \diamond$, please - does that show seven?" "Yes, of course, and doubly so - seven diamonds, plus seven HCP outside of diamonds." Jovana alerted 3NT and explained it as showing a doubleton spade, which explains her persistence with that suit on the second round. I have to confess that holding the North hand after two vulnerable calls by partner despite my prior silence, I would have been unable to tug yet another green one out of the bidding box over 4- , but that confidently-bid contract did go unchallenged. Jovana's disappointment at the spade "length" in dummy and her chagrin at having to concede 200 to the adversary, were assuaged by the silver lining of the frigid +620 par for NS in hearts and she did score some points, $32.33 / 15.67$.
Not one to overstay my welcome (or if you prefer, always one step ahead of the shotgun), I quit Jovana and her partner while we were all ahead of the game, and moved on to another table for Boards 27-28. Sadly no details of this round will find their way into this report, because while the EW pair came away with two great results, these outcomes were due to opposing defensive catastrophes rather than to any newsworthy prowess by the beneficiaries. For the same reasons, the identities of these competitors are
also withheld. (Long about now you might be starting to figure out what exactly my earlier veiled phrase "suitable monetary incentives" referred to.)
It's funny I should mention that, because a similar policy of "side-pocket diplomacy" continued right into the next round, when I spied on another new quartet. Board 29 saw declarer making two overtricks in a doomed game after a disastrous selection by the opening leader and a further clear error by his partner. To be $100 \%$ fair, the former player was quasi-endplayed at Trick One, in that his only safe lead against 4 was the same AQ109x suit which he had overcalled and which had not been supported. Meanwhile, the subsequent defensive error by E was clearly an act of pure noblesse and partnership solidarity - it would be selfish and cruel to let one's partner take all of the blame for a disaster, when one can so easily share the guilt.
This might strike the reader as a fanciful or downright facetious interpretation of events, but the second deal proved that $E$ is indeed an exceedingly gallant gentleman, as you are about to see. In fact, the last two boards of the session produced nothing of note, so it is both convenient and appropriate that this testament will end with this inspiring tale from the penultimate round - not just of the aforementioned old-school sportsmanship by East, but also of breathtaking imagination and enviable resourcefulness by North, and declarer.



Board 30. Dealer East. None Vul.
© K 109
© Q 93
$\diamond 98764$
\& Q 6

| A 62 | N | - Q 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ A J 10754 | ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | $\bigcirc$ K 62 |
| $\diamond 5$ | W E | $\diamond$ A K J 10 |
| \& J 1097 | S | \& A 83 |
|  | A AJ7543 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 8$ |  |
|  | $\diamond 32$ |  |
|  | ¢ K 542 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vysheslavov | Scheberan | Skarzhinskiy | Thorpe |
| - | - | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $2 \diamond *$ | Pass | $2 N T$ | Pass |
| $3 \diamond$ | Pass | $3 \circlearrowleft$ | Pass |
| $4 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |  |

EW conducted a highly artificial sequence to $4 \triangle$ played by East, who opened $2 \diamond$ and rebid 2 NT over a relay response, after which a transfer to hearts arranged for the strong hand to declare. South had been silent throughout and the only suit EW had shown was trumps, so it was an awkward opening lead problem. Unwilling to compromise his own high honors or his partner's trump holding, South entrusted his fate to a diamond. Now the declarer Nikolai Skarzhinskiy (Russia) - played a small trump to the ace (clearly not the best single-dummy play of that suit combination if viewed purely in isolation, but of course so often there are special factors in context), everybody following. After a short huddle to make up his mind ...
Get ready, folks, the exquisite moment is here ... declarer called for the jack of hearts from dummy, and

North covered!! With the queen!!!!
Of clubs !!!!!!
Yes as so very often happens, North thought that declarer had asked for the jack of clubs rather than hearts, and was so certain of it that he did not wait to observe which card West would actually extract and play to the trick. After a moment of confusion, the defender realized what he had done and confessed that he in fact was not devoid of trumps at this point.
Perhaps declarer - or somebody - should have called the Director (this situation is well within their pay grade), and perhaps someday they will. But here in the old-fashioned, Portland-Club atmosphere of the famously genteel, halcyon haven of Opatija, Nikolai Skarzhinskiy magnanimously wa(i)ved both his hand and any statutory penalty, and instructed North to pick up his club queen. (In the film version of this event, he will of course add: "Use it to revoke on some later trick"). Now he still had to figure out the lie of the trump suit - and HONESTLY, how in blazes could he get it right?
First of all, which is more likely, that North revoked with ONE extra heart in his hand, or two?
Secondly, when there is an irregularity of this sort it almost invariably throws everybody's equilibrium and train of thought out of whack.
Thirdly, it is a standard extension of the Principle of Restricted Choice. With both queens, North might have played the other one - or at least revoked with it on some other trick.
Finally, North had - no doubt inadvertently - come up with one of the most brilliant ways in bridge history to protect an imperiled queen of trumps.
But bless my soul (no letters, please, it is just a figure of speech) - Nikolai got it right. He passed the jack of trumps, collected twelve tricks, and became my Instant Hero (and collected 4.21/43.79).


Nikolay SKARZHNSKY


Further information and registration:
Organizing Committee: David \& Alon Birman, 50 Pinkas St., Tel Aviv, Israel
Tel.: +972-3-6058355, +972-50-6698655, Email: birmand@inter.net.il, www.bridgeredsea.com

## UnDsi 26 PARS RESULS AFILR EEP:ON 6

1
2
3
4
5 ROSENBERG Kevin
6 MCMAHON John
7 WU Michael
8 COOPER Renee
9 MYLLAERI Maria
10 THOMPSON Jamie
11 KOLEK Lukas
12 URMAN Lior
13 CUERVO LOPERA Juan Felipe
14 GHOSH SOUMADEEP
15
16

21 VANDEWIELE Emiel
22 TANABE Hitoshi
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

43 DONNELLY MICHAEL
VOJTIK Jakub
MARCINOWSKI Piotr
TOLEDANO Oren
WEI Hongji
ROSENBERG Kevin
MCMAHON John
WU Michael
COOPER Renee
MYLLAERI Maria
THOMPSON Jamie
KOLEK Lukas
URMAN Lior
CUERVO LOPERA Juan Felipe
GHOSH SOUMADEEP
KLEMS Erik
SOBCZAK Mateusz
ZAMIR Ami
SUN Shiyu
KRISTENSEN Benjamin
RANSON Nicholas
HUNG Tsz Fung Harry
SPOONER Andrew
KOIVU Oskari
SMITH Matthew
KOHUTOVA Lucie
EZION Amir
KAMPERMANN Mareille
CHAKRABORTY ARYA

LIU Chang
SCATA Sebastiano
BAKKE Christian
VAZIC Stojan
YAMAMOTO Tetsutaro
NATT Shahzaad
JALSOVSZKY Janka
YUGE Hirokana
PERCARIO Giacomo
THORPE Stephan
STEPPER Maximilian Niklas
GAIOTTI Alvaro
YUN Irina
STRECK Lauritz
GRSKOVIC Zvonimir
CHEN Ziliang
KUSHARI SAYANTAN
BILUSIC Ivan
BASOALTO Francisco
FERENCA Matko
ENDO Eisuke
SKARZHINSKIY Nikolay
RAFECAS Jordi
CRISAFULLI SADABA Patricio
DEY Pradip
EDER Felix
YE Junyuan
NG Shuk Man
VALENTINE Ronan Richard James
GEMENO DIAZ Guzman
KAR SOUVIK
LIN Kam Fai
LENZI Jonathan
KOZLOVIZ Sofia
VULETA Ivan

CZE - CZE
61.12

POL-POL 58.91
ISR - ISR 56.23
CHN - CHN 55.73
USA - USA 55.65
AUS - AUS 55.14
HKG - HKG 55.01
AUS - AUS 54.86
FIN - FIN 54.62
AUS - AUS 54.38
CZE - CZE 53.86
ISR - ISR 53.60
COL-GER 53.45
IND - IND 53.23
CHN - CHN 53.10
ITA - ITA 52.75
GRE - NOR 52.34
SER - SER 51.99
JPN - JPN 51.87
ENG - ENG 51.80
BEL - HUN 51.38
JPN - JPN 51.37
ITA - ITA 50.72
AUT - AUT 50.51
GER - GER 50.37
ITA - ITA 49.87
RUS - RUS 49.61
GER - GER 49.40
CRO - CRO 49.06
CHN - CHN 48.79
IND - IND 48.76
CRO - CRO 48.58
CHI-CHI 47.88
CRO - CRO 47.85
JPN - JPN 47.79
RUS - RUS 47.72
ESP - ESP 47.71
AUT - AUT 47.68
IND - IND 47.25
AUT - AUT 45.71
ENG - ENG 45.51
HKG - HKG 45.40
IRL - SCO 45.07
ESP - ESP 44.10
IND - IND 42.30
CHI - HKG 41.57
URU - URU 40.38
URU - URU 39.22
BIH - $\mathrm{BIH} \quad 38.61$

## UNDER 21 PARS RENUSAFICR gexion 6

NIJSSEN Oscar
PATREUHA Jakub
WANG Yingqi
KOPKA Kacper
BUNE Soren
SANDIN Alexander
CICHY Krzysztof
ZHU Bo Han
ZHOU Chuanyao
BELLICAUD Luc
XU Hao
MADDEN Harry
COPE Andrew
YAO Tianle
PORTA Federico
LIU Yihong
HANSSON Erik

GRAS Szymon
YU Zhaochen
GIUBILO Gianmarco
BORKOVIC Ivan
PYSZKO Adam
ZHANG Tiancheng
TAKIZAWA Ken
INAMI Terushi
PEMBERTON Alexander
RACEWICZ MACIEJ
OSTROWSKI Szymon
MACKOWIAK Karol
TEIL Clement
NAWROCKI Jakub
DAI Hanyang
DING Yuanzhe
YU Haoqing
SONG Yihan
GAHAN Elizabeth
OTRADOVCOVA Zuzana
VELICKOVIC Bogdan
BUGAJEWSKI Jozef
LEWIS Ben
BHIMANAIK Rekha
MEREGALLI Matteo
ROMANOWSKI Cenek
TSENG Pinghsuan
WANG Zhaofeng
WANG Rui
VASQUEZ Esteban
KOKOTOS Loukas

VAN DE PAVERD Tim
YUAN Zhijie
PATREUHA Patryk
WANG Penghao
KIELBASA Tomasz
LAHRMANN Christian
BANIRI Ilai Ilan
BAZYLUK Jakub
DONG Hao Zhen (John)
YU Zhaolun
GUILLEMIN Theo
YAN Tianyao
SELBY Oscar
ANOYRKATIS Theo
LIU Haochen
LOMBARDI Matteo
LU Mingyu
MANN Castor
SZUSZKIEWICZ Adam
GAUDART Patrick
KOLESNIK Finn
NEMCIK Daniel
SKORDAS Panagiotis
JOZKOWIAK Lukasz
TONG Jiaxin
GIUBILO Gabriele
SIMIC Mihailo
KASTOVSKY Ondrej
GUO Xiaolei
INOUE Yoshitake
SUZUKI Takahito
LA CHAPELLE Imogen
MYSLIWIEC Alicja
TOKARCZUK Oskar
GOSCIANSKI Kajetan
ROMBAUT Leo
MORAWSKA EWA
YANG Jiahao
CAI Zixi
ZHANG Fengrui
KAWABATA Sukai
ANOYRKATIS Samuel
VAVRA Premysl
GUZVICA Slobodan
ZALWOWSKI Adam
CLARK Joseph
RODRIGUES Taral Emmanuel L
CARLETTI Alessandro
WALACH Vit
DRNOVSEK Tina
FEI Sihan
JIANG Lanxi
BOEHM Alexander
MICHELIS Pavlos

| NED - NED | 61.72 |
| :--- | :--- |
| CHN - CHN | 58.06 |
| POL - POL | 57.40 |
| CHN - CHN | 56.85 |
| POL - POL | 56.18 |
| DEN - DEN | 55.94 |
| SWE - ISR | 55.73 |
| POL - POL | 55.46 |
| CAN - CAN | 55.32 |
| CHN - CHN | 54.11 |
| FRA - FRA | 54.07 |
| CHN - CHN | 53.86 |
| ENG - ENG | 53.66 |
| ENG - ENG | 53.22 |
| CHN - CHN | 53.22 |
| ITA - ITA | 53.18 |
| CHN - CHN | 53.07 |
| SWE - SWE | 52.66 |
| POL - POL | 52.41 |
| ENG - ENG | 52.34 |
| USA - USA | 52.20 |
| CZE - CZE | 51.88 |
| GRE - GRE | 51.59 |
| POL - POL | 51.01 |
| CHN - CHN | 50.68 |
| ITA - ITA | 50.17 |
| SER - SER | 49.74 |
| CZE - CZE | 49.68 |
| CHN - CHN | 49.63 |
| JPN - JPN | 49.45 |
| JPN - JPN | 49.42 |
| ENG - ENG | 49.34 |
| POL - POL | 49.32 |
| POL - POL | 49.31 |
| POL - POL | 48.83 |
| FRA - FRA | 48.64 |
| POL - POL | 48.48 |
| CHN - CHN | 48.34 |
| CHN - CHN | 48.07 |
| CHN - CHN | 47.80 |
| JPN - JPN | 47.75 |
| ENG - ENG | 47.32 |
| CZE - CZE | 47.24 |
| SER - SER | 45.78 |
| POL - POL | 45.11 |
| ENG - ENG | 44.90 |
| IND - IND | 44.62 |
| ITA - ITA | 44.60 |
| CZE - CZE | 42.22 |
| TPE - SLO | 42.19 |
| CHN - CHN | 41.76 |
| CHN - CHN | 38.48 |
| CHI - CHI | 37.91 |
| GRE - GRE | 37.80 |

## UNDER 26 WOMEN PARS REOLTBAFIER Bron 6

| 1 | LU Yijia | GE Chenyun | CHN - CHN | 58.35 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | SJODAL Sofie Grasholt | KJENSLI Agnethe Hansen | NOR - NOR | 56.56 |
| 3 | MOLINA Diana | ROMAN Valentina | CHI - CHI | 56.21 |
| 4 | BI Xiaoran | ZHAO Yuchen | CHN - CHN | 55.49 |
| 5 | DALPOZZO Valentina | DALPOZZO Federica | ITA - ITA | 54.80 |
| 6 | TUUS Hanna | LEEMING India | ENG - ENG | 54.63 |
| 7 | DI LORENZO Anastasia | SEREGNI Linda | ITA - ITA | 54.59 |
| 8 | BALDYSZ Sophia | ZALEWSKA Joanna | POL - POL | 54.47 |
| 9 | INDREBO Thea Lucia | OEBERG Ida Marie | NOR - NOR | 53.44 |
| 10 | KOKOT Joanna | OCYLOK Dominika | POL - POL | 53.42 |
| 11 | CHEN Yunpeng | RUAN Xinyao | CHN - CHN | 52.97 |
| 12 | KAMAL PATEL Vidhya | BALIRAM GURJAR Kalpana | IND - IND | 52.59 |
| 13 | STRBOVA Barbora | DOLANSKA Veronika | CZE - CZE | 51.56 |
| 14 | PELAGGI Maddalena | PELAGGI Annachiara | ITA - ITA | 50.72 |
| 15 | WANG Yuming | YANG Fan | CHN - CHN | 50.65 |
| 16 | JIANG Yixuan | TANG Qing | CHN - CHN | 49.05 |
| 17 | NACRUR Francisca | YANEZ Camila | CHI - CHI | 49.00 |
| 18 | YU Wenfei | XU Jiaming | CHN - CHN | 47.64 |
| 19 | HAN Jingjing | HE Qiyun | CHN - CHN | 47.37 |
| 20 | VUJIC Katarina | KATANIC Vesna | SER - SER | 46.66 |
| 21 | MA Jinyi | WU Yutong | CHN - CHN | 46.33 |
| 22 | XUAN Yu | CHA - CHN | 44.88 |  |
| 23 | GAO Yi Ran | CHAO Qin Yi | CHN - CHN | 44.48 |
| 24 | FAN Lingwen | YANG Yiyun | CHN - CHN | 44.41 |
| 25 | VINOGRADOVA Maria | XU Tong | SMIRNOVA Polina | RER - SER |
| 26 | MILUTINOVIC Tamara | OVUKA Aleksandra | 42.62 |  |
| 27 | ZHAO Yuqiao | ZHAO Chiyu | CHN - CHN | 35.86 |

## Championship offer

The new dealing machines that are [only] used during the championships will be sold at the end for EUR 2299 incl. a full five years warranty. Cards and boards are also sold at special prices in conjunction with a purchase of a machine. Please contact anna@jannersten.com regarding payment and pick up at Opatija/shipping from Sweden.


KURLIT Franciszek
LI Suhang
SOUMPLIS Michail
STOLYAROV Egor
BAKHSHI Jasmine
YANG Siqing
WANG Ziao
WU Yijun
ROSE Henry
XIE Zimo
BETLINSKI Maciej
STASIK Michal
BONIN Louis
ZHUO Zhili
WANG Jiarui
MA Shuoming
BUKAT Aleks
TANG Tengbo
GAO Zhuxiongjie
ROCHES Maxence
LANG Ningyu
CHEN Yanxu
BROGELAND Anders
BHATT ANSHUL
FU Yanzhuo
LI Jialin
JIANG Yuxin
LIU Siyi
CHANG Ziwen
SARTORI Lucas
LIU Haoxin
TIAN Maoxiang
CATER James
CIBOROWSKA Lucja
LI Chengxi
WEI Sikun
POTTER Thomas
ZHANG Qianwen
NANDU Vineet
CAI Linzhen
LIAO Yipeng
LI Yaojia
MAUVE Amelia
CHOWDHURY Tilakraj
YU Xinlun
CHEN Xingjian
LIU Yupeng
JANCIC Natalija
CHEN Xuefeng
SUN Ruoshui
WANG Zihao
FENG Zirui
KOWALSKI Kacper
GRUBISIC Mia
SMADILO RALIS Tibor
LADOVIC Lara

KUFLOWSKI Kacper
ZHANG Xuyang
PAPASPYROU Iasonas-iraklis
TATARKIN Ivan
BERTHEAU Markus
XU Muqun
LIN Xuanda
LU Zhenyue
FEGARTY Jamie
JIANG Haoxuan
ZIMORSKI Sebastian
KASPERCZYK Lukasz
BENS Clement
HUANG Juncan
YANG Junyi
LIN Fengming
SWIATKOWSKI Pawel
TIAN Zhixian
WANG Zicheng
TCHOULKINE Philippe
YU Fanfei
LIU Jiakang
LANDSVIK Are Borgar
MAYUR Prajjwal
SHEN Haotian
QIN Shiyuan
XU Nuoyi
SU Chushi
SUN Zhuangyan
DESAGE Martin
LI Yankun
YANG Junyu
SOONAWALLA Zane
CIBOROWSKI Konrad
HUANG Ziyu
MA Hanxi
POTTER Jacob
JIN Weiyi
CHHEDA Kunj
WEI Xieyang
SHANG Anrui
XIAO Hongxin
MAUVE Antoinina
MEHTA Aryan
REN Moye
WAN Jialu
ZHANG Zimo
FABEKOVEC Zlatko
LIU Xuanci
ZHANG Beilin
SHI Jiayi
XIA Jingxuan
ZIMORSKI Wojciech
CIZEL Vanja
CACKOVIC Natanael
LUBINA Lara

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| POL - POL | 63.54 |
| CHN - CHN | 61.20 |
| GRE - GRE | 61.03 |
| RUS - RUS | 59.25 |
| ENG - SWE | 58.82 |
| CHN - CHN | 58.73 |
| CHN - TPE | 58.70 |
| CHN - CHN | 58.50 |
| ENG - ENG | 58.07 |
| CHN - CHN | 58.01 |
| POL - POL | 57.52 |
| POL - POL | 57.06 |
| FRA - FRA | 56.93 |
| CHN - CHN | 56.89 |
| CHN - CHN | 56.27 |
| CHN - CHN | 56.20 |
| POL - POL | 56.04 |
| CHN - CHN | 55.31 |
| CHN - CHN | 55.06 |
| FRA - FRA | 55.02 |
| CHN - CHN | 54.72 |
| CHN - CHN | 54.19 |
| NOR - NOR | 53.94 |
| IND - IND | 53.48 |
| CHN - CHN | 53.47 |
| CHN - CHN | 52.61 |
| CHN - CHN | 52.34 |
| CHN - CHN | 51.23 |
| CHN - CHN | 50.71 |
| FRA - FRA | 50.50 |
| CHN - CHN | 49.92 |
| CHN - CHN | 49.13 |
| ENG - ENG | 49.00 |
| POL - POL | 48.79 |
| CHN - CHN | 48.70 |
| CHN - CHN | 48.59 |
| ENG - ENG | 48.37 |
| CHN - CHN | 46.76 |
| IND - IND | 46.69 |
| CHN - CHN | 46.20 |
| CHN - CHN | 45.43 |
| CHN - CHN | 44.80 |
| ENG - ENG | 44.54 |
| IND - IND | 43.60 |
| CHN - CHN | 43.54 |
| CHN - CHN | 43.48 |
| CHN - CHN | 42.11 |
| CRO - CRO | 41.93 |
| CHN - CHN | 40.63 |
| CHN - CHN | 38.40 |
| CHN - CHN | 37.99 |
| CHN - CHN | 37.52 |
| POL - POL | 34.97 |
| CRO - CRO | 33.44 |
| CRO - CRO | 31.30 |
| CRO - CRO | 29.11 |

