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## THE SHAPE OF THINGS TO COME?



## Bermuda Bowl



|  | $\mathbf{P}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | RRANCE | 44 | 42 | 46 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 187 |

FRANCE Thomas BESSIS, Francois COMBESCURE, Cedric LORENZINI, Jean-Christophe QUANTIN, Jerome ROMBAUT, Frederic VOLCKER, Lionel SEBBANE captain
CHINA Yunlong CHEN, Jianming DAI, Jianwei LI, Lixin YANG, Bangxiang ZHANG, Jie ZHAO, Jihong HU captain, Gang CHEN coach
NETHERLANDS Simon DEWIJS, Bob DRIJVER, Bauke MULLER, Bart NAB, Berend VAN DEN BOS, Joris VAN LANKVELD, Wubbo DE BOER captain, Ton BAKKEREN coach
NEW ZEALAND Ashley BACH, Matthew BROWN, Michael CORNELL, Geir-Olav TISLEVOLL, Michael WARE, Michael WHIBLEY, Derek EVENNETT captain

|  | I | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FRANCE | 33 | 28 | 35 |  |  |  |  |  | 96 |
|  | USA 2 | 33 | 38 | 25 |  |  |  |  |  | 96 |
|  | BULGARIA | 29 | 51 | 35 |  |  |  | 115 |  |  |

USAI Ralph KATZ, Robert LEVIN, Jeff MECKSTROTH, Nick NICKELL, Eric RODWELL, Steve WEINSTEIN, Jill LEVIN captain, Eric O. KOKISH coach
BULGARIA Victor ARONOV, Diana DAMIANOVA, Georgi KARAKOLEV,Vladimir MIHOV Ivan NANEV, Julian STEFANOV,Victor ARONOV captain, MARTA NIKOLOVA coach

|  |  | $\mathbf{P}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SWEDEN |  | 43 | 37 | 11 | 16 | 36 | 27 | 170 |
|  | USA2 |  | 41 | 51 | 43 | 32 | 21 | 5 | 193 |
|  |  | $\mathbf{P}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ |
|  | USAI |  | 36 | 34 | 49 | 21 | 60 | 26 | 226 |
|  | BULGARIA | 72 | 12 | 11 | 40 | 46 | 58 | 239 |  |


|  | $\mathbf{P}$ | $\mathbf{I}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | BULGARIA | 42 | 36 | 22 | 29 | 30 | 23 | 182 |
| $\ldots$ | USA2 | 18 | 49 | 39 | 38 | 40 | 32 | 216 |

## Venice Cup

|  |  | $\mathbf{P}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | T |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SWEDEN |  | 26 | 48 | 23 | 40 | 20 | 34 | 193 |
|  | ISRAEL |  | 30 | 34 | 43 | 6 | 36 | 11 | 160 |


|  |  | $\mathbf{P}$ | $\mathbf{I}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SWEDEN |  | 12 | 23 | 52 | 55 | 29 | 12 | 183 |
|  |  | ENGLAND |  | 42 | 56 | 19 | 34 | 33 | 21 |

SWEDEN Pia ANDERSSON, Kathrine BERTHEAU, Ida GRONKVIST, Emma OVELIUS, Cecilia RIMSTEDT, Sandra RIMSTEDT, Kenneth BORIN captain, Carina WADEMARK coach
ISRAEL Adi ASULIN, Hila LEVI, Michal NOSACKI, Nathalie SAADA, Dana TAL, Noga TAL, Joseph ENGEL captain
RUSSIA Victoria GROMOVA, Anna GULEVICH, Elena KHONICHEVA, Tatiana PONOMAREVA, Diana RAKHMANI, Maria YAKOVLEVA, Tatiana DIKHNOVA captain
ENGLAND Sally BROCK, Fiona BROWN, Catherine DRAPER, Sandra PENFOLD, Nevena SENIOR, Nicola SMITH, Derek PATTERSON captain, David BURN coach
INDONESIA Rury ANDHANI, Lusje Olha BOJOH, Suci Amita DEWI, Kristina Wahyu MURNIATI, Conny SUMAMPOUW, Julita Grace TUEJE, Hendra RAILIS captain, Bill MONDIGIR coach

## POLAND

 Cathy BALDYSZ, Zofia BALDYSZ, Katarzyna DUFRAT, Natalia GAWEL,Aleksandra JAROSZ, Justyna ZMUDA, Miroslaw CICHOCKI captain

CHINA Yan HUANG, Yan LIU, Yan LU, Qi SHEN, Nan WANG, Wen Fei WANG, Jianxin WANG captain, Xiaojing WANG coach
NETHERLANDS Carla ARNOLDS, Merel BRUIJNSTEEN, Laura DEKKERS, Magdalena TICHA, Wietske VAN ZWOL, Martine VERBEEK, Alex VAN REENEN captain, Hans KELDER coach

|  |  | $\mathbf{P}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | INDONESIA |  | 62 | 12 | 27 | 12 | 18 | 21 | 152 |
|  | POLAND |  | 18 | 47 | 38 | 36 | 26 | 6 | 171 |
| $*:$ | CHINA | $\mathbf{P}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ |
|  | NETHERLANDS |  | 70 | 5 | 13 | 19 | 28 | 48 | 183 |

## d＇Orsi Trophy

|  |  | $\mathbf{P}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | USA2 |  | 34 | 17 | 45 | 26 | 42 | 39 | 203 |
|  | JAPAN |  | 25 | 37 | 54 | 16 | 31 | 3 | 166 |
|  |  | $\mathbf{P}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ |
|  | AUSTRALIA |  | 55 | 7 | 33 | 9 | 33 | 36 | 173 |
|  | SWEDEN |  | 32 | 36 | 70 | 36 | 26 | 38 | 238 |


|  | P | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | USA2 |  | 17 | 32 | 41 | 10 | 28 | 41 | 169 |
|  | SWEDEN |  | 25 | 23 | 34 | 20 | 30 | 2 | 134 |

USA2 Michael BECKER，David BERKOWITZ，Allan GRAVES，Neil SILVERMAN，Alan SONTAG， Jeff WOLFSON，Steve GARNER captain
JAPAN Tadashi IMAKURA，Masayuki INO，Kyoko OHNO，Akito OMASA，Akihiko YAMADA，Kazuhiko YAMADA，Hiroaki MIURA captain
AUSTRALIA Terry BROWN，Peter Walter BUCHEN，Pauline GUMBY，Avi KANETKAR，Warren LAZER， Bruce NEILL，George BILSKI captain，LALITA KANETKAR coach
SWEDEN Mats AXDORPH，Christer BJARING，Sven－Ake BJERREGARD，Bengt－Erik EFRAIMSSON，

|  |  | I | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

ITALY Andrea BURATTI，Amedeo COMELLA，Giuseppe FAILLA，Aldo MINA，Ruggero PULGA，

|  | INDIA | 19 | 23 | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | T |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | SWEDEN | 40 | 30 | 17 |  | 56 |  |

USAI Dennis CLERKIN，Jerry CLERKIN，Marc JACOBUS，Mike LEVINE，Mike PASSELL，Eddie WOLD， Bob MORRIS captain
FRANCE Nicholas DECHELETTE，Pierre－Yves GUILLAUMIN，Georges IONTZEFF，Jean－Jacques PALAU， Pierre SCHMIDT，Philippe TOFFIER，Eric GAUTRET captain
INDIA Ramawatar AGRAWAL，Subhash DHAKRAS，Dipak PODDAR，Keshav Sakharam SAMANT， jitendra SOLANI，Ramamurthy SRIDHARAN，Dipak PODDAR captain，Anal SHAH coach

|  | ITALY |  | $\mathbf{P}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | USAI | 14 | 20 | 70 | 22 | 26 | 194 |  |  |
|  | FRANCE | $\mathbf{3 5}$ | 25 | 45 | 12 | 41 | 30 | 188 |  |
|  | INDIA | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ |  |


|  | $\mathbf{P}$ | I | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | INDIA |  | 14 | 40 | 35 | 6 | 11 | 36 | 142 |
|  | ITALY |  | 11 | 61 | 30 | 44 | 47 | 11 | 204 |

## Funbridge Transnational

|  | PAZURKIEWICZ | 28 | 36 | 41 | 105 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BRULIBU | 35 | 42 | 8 | 85 |


|  |  | P I | 2 | 3 | T |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 䜌 | PERCY | 19 | 41 | 34 | 94 |
| 雩䜌 | THE MAGICDOGS | 27 | 1 | 32 | 60 |


|  | MAZURKIEWICZ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | PERCY |

MAZURKIEWICZ Piotr GAWRYS，Krzysztof JASSEM，Michal KLUKOWSKI，Marcin MAZURKIEWICZ，Marcin MAZURKIEWICZ captain
BRULIBU Jean Marie BACKES，Patrick BOCKEN，Zvi ENGEL，Olivier NEVE，Patrick BOCKEN aptain
PERCY Peter CROUCH，David GOLD，Zia MAHMOOD，Marion MICHIELSEN，Andrew ROBSON，Anita SINCLAIR


THE MAGICDOGS Gary COHLER，Fred GITELMAN，Daniel KORBEL，Sylvia SHI，Sheri WINESTOCK
ZIMMERMANN Geir HELGEMO，Lorenzo LAURIA，Krzysztof MARTENS，Franck MULTON，Alfredo VERSACE， Pierre ZIMMERMANN

PIEDRA
JINSHUO
Bartlomiej IGLA，Fernando PIEDRA，Howard WEINSTEIN，Adam WILDAVSKY
Tong JIANG，Chuancheng JU，Jacek KALITA，Michal NOWOSADZKI，Zheng Jun SHI，Xiaofeng
 ZHANG，Dade WANG captain

CHINA XHJT Jingsheng BIAN，Xueliang CAO，Hua HUANG，Xiangyang WANG，Shikan ZHOU captain


## Grand Prix Ville de Lyon after 24 Rounds

| 1 | GILLIS | 65 |  | MOAD | 51 |  | GAIA | 44 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | SWISSHUN | 63 | 26 | BLACK | 50 | 50 | QLJB | 43.5 |
| 3 | CHINA OPEN | 62 |  | WACH | 50 | 51 | NATAF | 43 |
| 4 | MON BAZILOU | 61 |  | LE BOSAKR | 50 |  |  |  |
|  | SPAIN | 61 |  | NEYMAR | 50 | 52 | RIDOLFO | 42 |
| 6 | POLAND | 60 | 30 | RAPTORS | 49.6 |  | BELL | 42 |
| 7 | ADDICTS | 59 | 31 | POLAND UNIVERSITY | 49.5 |  | CUBE STRASBOURG | 42 |
| 8 | LALL | 58 | 32 | BRENDA | 49 |  | KITKUTIM | 42 |
| 9 | RUSSIA | 57.8 |  | RAF | 49 | 56 | AURELE | 41 |
| 10 | YOUNGSTERS | 57 |  | SEMI CROUSTILLANTS | 49 |  |  |  |
|  | INDONESIAN MIXED | 57 |  | DHAMPUR SUGAR MILL |  |  | BANGALORE | 41 |
| 12 | MEPHISTO | 56 | 36 | BLUE NOTE | 48 |  | OBJECTIVITY | 41 |
| 13 | VICKY | 54 |  | MERRET | 48 | 59 | CHEVAL | 39.2 |
|  | YBM | 54 |  | INDONESIA SUPERMIX | 48 | 60 | I LUCKY GUY | 39 |
| 15 | INDONESIAN OPEN | 53 |  | QUAGGAS | 48 | 61 | PROF RIMBUS | 38 |
|  | NONAME | 53 | 40 | MOSSOP | 47.8 |  | PROF RIMBUS | 38 |
|  | USA I SENIORS | 53 | 41 | LES COUILLUS | 46 | 62 | PROMISE | 35.2 |
| 18 | CHAD | 52.2 |  | PESSOA | 46 | 63 | FINIKIOTIS | 35 |
| 19 | BRIDGEVID | 52 | 43 | RENSON | 45.2 |  | SPAM | 35 |
|  | FILIPPO 5 | 52 | 44 | ADAD | 45 |  | OHMCONNECT | 35 |
| 21 | LAST MINUTE | 51 | 45 | RIEHM | 44.5 |  |  |  |
|  | PD TIMES | 51 | 46 | KINAHAEL | 44 | 66 | SEURIN | 34 |
|  | PUNCH | 51 |  | OLD YOUNG TEAM | 44 | 67 | LES GRANDS CRUS | 33 |
|  | LEOPARCK | 51 |  | FORMIDABLES | 44 | 68 | PONCOL JAKARTA | 27.8 |

## THE INDONESIAN CONTRACT BRIDGE ASSOCIATION

(e) BCA


## VuGraph SCHEDULE

The VuGraph Theatre is located in the Auditorium Pasteur

| 11:00 |  | 14:30 |  | 17:10 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FRANCE-USA2 (BB) | VG/BBOI/FB | FRANCE-USA 2 (BB) | VG/BBOI/FB | FRANCE-USA2 (BB) | VG/BBO/FB |
| USA2-ITALY (OT) | BBO 2 | USA2-ITALY (OT) | BBO 2 | USA2-ITALY (OT) | BBO 2 |
| SWEDEN-INDIA (OT) | BBO 3 | SWEDEN-INDIA (OT) | BBO 3 | SWEDEN-INDIA (OT) | BBO 3 |
| ENGLAND-CHINA (VC) | BBO 4 | MAZURKIEWICZ-PERCY (T) | BBO 4 | MAZURKIEWICZ-PERCY (T) | BBO 4 |
| SWEDEN-POLAND (VC) | BBO 5/ FB | SWEDEN-POLAND (VC) | BBO 5/ FB | SWEDEN-POLAND (VC) | BBO 5/ FB |
| N. ZEALAND-BULGARIA (BB) | BBO $6 / \mathrm{FB}$ | N. ZEALAND-BULGARIA (BB) | BBO $6 / \mathrm{FB}$ | N. ZEALAND-BULGARIA (BB) | BBO 6/ FB |
| MAZURKIEWICZ-PERCY (T) | BBO 7 | ZIMMERMANN-JINSHUO (T) | BBO 7 | ZIMMERMANN-JINSHUO (T) | BBO 7 |
| ZIMMERMAN-JINSHUO (T) | BBO 8/ OG | ENGLAND-CHINA (VC) | BBO 8/ OG | ENGLAND-CHINA (VC) | BBO 8/ OG |
| courtesy of |  | $0 \cdot 0 \cdot 5 \times$ <br> FUNBRIDGE | $\sigma_{0}^{9}$ | 芙תn |  |

## Le (bon) coin francophone

Jean-Paul Meyer

## LA GLOIRE DE NOS ENFANTS

Revenons avec plaisir sur les podiums "Youth".
Les nouveaux champions du Monde, Youngsters, moins de 21 ans, vainqueurs de l'équipe chinoise Shengxing en finale, sont, de gauche à droite:
Melic Dufrêne, Arthur Boulin, Maxence Fragola, Raphael Basler, Theo Guillemin, Luc Bellicaud, avec Christophe Oursel (Capitaine non joueur), et José Damiani.


Les médaillées d'argent Girls - moins de 26 ans - battues en finale par les Chinoises de la même école :
Mathilde Thuillez, Sarah Combescure, Marie-Valentine Coupel, Emeline Joumin, Hélène Besançon, Hélène Chelin (de gauche à droite avec leur Capitaine non joueur, Wilfried Libbrecht).


Cela dit, soyons méfiants pour l'avenir, plus nous descendons dans les classes d'âge, plus la domination asiatique est évidente. La Chine, absente du podium en junior, obtient les médailles d'argent et de bronze chez les moins de 21 ans et les trois mé-dailles- or, argent et bronze - dans la catégorie des moins de 16 ans (kids).

## LES FRANÇAIS DANS LE TRANSNATIONAL.

Nous vous avions annoncé que nos joueurs ne s'étaient pas mis en vedette dans le très relevé championnat transnational.

Deux équipes ont sauvé l'honneur avec une place dans les 20 premiers:
14 èmes : Philippe Chottin, Bernard Doussot, David Harari, Philippe Kasler
15 èmes : Pierre Adad, Mickael Courrias, Sabine Grenthe, Franck Mateos-Ruiz, Pascal Ringuet, Didier Thomazet.

## LE PLUS DETENDU DES FINALISTES

De l'avis général Frédéric Volcker, France open, est le joueur le moins stressé du monde. Il adore monter « des coups ». Durant ce championnat, il est interpellé par une dame qui lui déclare :
«Je suis certaine que vous pouvez m'aider. Sur mon relevé, il me manque des points d'expert. J'ai beau le signaler à la Fédération, je ne reçois pas de réponse et ils ne me sont toujours pas crédités."
Gardant son sérieux, Frédéric (3éme joueur au classement national avec 3952 PP et 632976 PE) de répondre «Vous ne m'étonnez guère, à moi aussi il manque des points d'expert et on ne veut pas me les attribuer. Mais je connais celui qui peut nous venir en aide Philippe Cronier, le DTN, appelez-le c'est le 06....»
Non, nous ne le révèlerons pas, compte tenu de notre grande mansuétude, mais imaginez la surprise de Philippe, mis à contribution pour cette importante mission.

## CHAMPIONNAT DES LOGICIELS

Les demi-finales ont rendu leur verdict sans surprise.
Le Français WB5 a dominé le hollandais Robo sur le score sans appel de 194 à 109 en 64 donnes, mais en fait Robo menait encore 83-80 à mi match. Nous n'avons pas pu savoir si Robo a éprouvé un coup de fatigue, les robots ne sont guère bavards.
Synrey (Chine) l'a emporté sur Microbridge (Japon) 130 à 92, le 3ème quart temps- 36-3- fit la différence.
La finale opposera donc le vainqueur de l'an dernier WB5 à la Chine et non plus au Japon.
Vous pouvez téléchargez Synrey sur votre téléphone
www.synrey.com.

## TRANSNATIONAL : QU'ARRIVE-T-IL A TOR HELNESS ?

Le joueur norvégien n'a pas eu son efficacité coutumière depuis le début du championnat, cela a amené le capitaine de son équipe, Pierre Zimmermann à procéder à des aménagements dans la composition des paires. Ainsi a-t-on vu jouer Franck Multon en face de Geir Helgemo et Krystof Martens en face Pierre Zimmermann. Il semble que Tor Helness souffre de sérieux troubles visuels, souhaitons lui un prompt rétablissement.

## DONNES DU JOUR

Des Français en forme.
Par Guy Dupont

## Avis de tempête

Lors de la dernière séance des quarts de finale de la Bermuda Bowl, opposant la France à la Chine, Frédéric Volcker a mené sa barque à bon port, alors que la tempête menaçait. Prenez sa place, en Ouest :
Donneur Sud, Est-Ouest vulnérable.
(quart de finale, 6e séance, donne 27)

| ¢ AR |  | N | ¢ 32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ DV |  |  | $\bigcirc$ AR 932 |
| $\checkmark$ AR |  | O E | $\diamond$ D 1053 |
| 4 D 8 |  | S | -92 |
| 0 | N | E | S |
| Volcker | Li | Bessis | Zhang |
| 2SA | Passe | 3 - | Passe |
| 3SA | Passe | 4\%* | Passe |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | Passe |  |  |
| 4\% Te | Carreau |  |  |

Nord entame du 2 de Carreau. Par où commencer ? Vous avez bien dix levées potentielles, avec cinq Cœurs, deux Piques et trois Carreaux. L'ennui, c'est que vous ne pouvez les tirer en tête, en raison du blocage à Cœur et à Carreau.
Espérer un partage 3-3 des Cœurs serait un tantinet optimiste. Avec des répartitions à peu près «normales» chez vos adversaires, par exemple atouts 4-2, Piques 4-3 et Carreaux 5-2, vous pourriez envisager cette ligne de jeu : Roi de Carreau, DameValet de Cœur, As de Carreau, As-Roi de Pique et Pique coupé, élimination des atouts, puis la Dame de Carreau, en guise de dixième levée.
S'il l'a envisagée un instant, il l'a vite oubliée, en se posant la question : et s'il y avait du singleton dans l'air ? Visionnaire, il a opté pour une ligne qui lui a finalement permis de gagner malgré - tenez-vous bien - un singleton à Carreau (on peut l'imaginer après l'entame) et un autre à Pique chez ses adversaires! A jeux cachés, son succès relève du prodige.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \& D V 10864 \\ & \diamond 74 \\ & \diamond 2 \\ & >1065 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - AR 95 | N | - 32 |
| $\bigcirc$ DV |  | PAR932 |
| $\checkmark$ AR | O E | $\diamond$ D 1053 |
| 2 D 8743 | S | - 92 |
|  | - 7 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 10865$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ V98764 |  |
|  | \& $A R$ |  |

Suivez le guide : il a commencé par encaisser Dame-Valet de Cœur, puis il a donné un tour de Trèfle à blanc. Nord a fourni le 10, et, bonne nouvelle, celui-ci a été croqué du Roi par son partenaire.
Sud a dégagé l'As de Trèfle et contre-attaqué à Pique, pour l'As de Volcker, qui a poursuivi en coupant un Trèfle de l'As de Cœur - morceau de bravoure - avant d'encaisser le Roi. Les atouts n'étaient pas 3-3, mais quel que fût le possesseur de la longue à Cœur, il était mis en main à l'atout, contraint de livrer le reste au déclarant, qui détenait en main deux Trèfles maîtres, le Roi de Pique et l'As de Carreau. Ma-gis-tral !
Dans l'autre salle, on a gagné 3 SA (sur l'entame à Pique) qui n'était pas nécessairement un meilleur contrat (laissons les statisticiens en juger), mais qui avait le mérite de gagner, en raison de la situation à Trèfle et du manque de communication entre les flancs.

Le retour de P'tit Léon
Il n'y a guère, Pierre Rimbaud signait des chroniques dans Le Bridgeur, sous le pseudonyme de P'tit Léon, dont le bon sens paysan faisait merveille au bridge.
Je le soupçonne d'avoir pensé à lui lorsqu'il s'est trouvé à l'entame sur la donne 2 du 10 ème tour du Transnational, alors que son équipe, Prof Rimbus, était opposée à une autre formation française.
Sa main en Est :
Est donneur, Nord-Sud vulnérable


O N E S

| L. Rimbaud |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | - |
| Passe | 18 |
| 24 | 38 |
| Passe | $4 \bigcirc$ |


| R.Rimbaud |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Passe | 10 |
| 19 | 20 |
| Passe | $3 S A$ |
| (Fin) |  |

Quelle est votre entame ?
Confrontée à ce problème d'entame (parfois contre une partielle seulement à Cœur), la grande majorité a opté pour le Roi de Pique. On trouve aussi quelques entames de la Dame de Trèfle. Mais seul parmi les 112 tables, Pierre Rimbaud s'est décidé pour un autre choix : il a posé le 10 de Carreau sur la table.
$P^{\prime}$ tit Léon peut être fier de lui !
Transnational RIO donne 2

```
@ 43
@DV87543
\diamond 954
& A
```



```
- AV 9
\(\bigcirc R\)
\(\diamond\) RV 3
\& 987642
```

$\& 762$
$\vee A 109$
$\diamond$ A 62
$\& R 1053$

L’affaire a été vite réglée : Est a pris le Valet de Carreau de l'As et rejoué Carreau ; et après que le déclarant eut fait sauter l'As de Cœur, la défense a réalisé encore une levée de Carreau et une autre à Pique. Moins un.
Sur l'entame du Roi de Pique, il suffisait au déclarant de laisser passer. Trop tard alors pour la contre-attaque du 10 (ou de la Dame) de Carreau! Le déclarant se trouvait en mesure de défausser une perdante à Carreau sur un Pique, après l'impasse à la Dame.
J’entends d'ici P’tit Léon : «Ben oui, quoi ! Suffisait d'écouter les enchères.».

# Le Bridgeur Magazine. 

Le bimestriel de référence pour tous les joueurs de bridge

100 pages d'infos, de technique, d'articles ludiques, de tuyaux, de reportages, de dossiers thématiques, de jeux et de quiz.

# OFFRE SPÉCIALE <br>  

Abonnez-vous et recevez en cadeau ces quatre stylos laqués


Valeur 27,50 euros.
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## Bulgaria v USA2

After three segments of this semi-final, USA2 led Bulgaria by 06 -100. It was clearly a close encounter and, we hoped, an enjoyable match to watch. That is what it proved to be. Let's see some boards.

Board I8 Dealer East. N/S Vul.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { \& Q } 102 \\
& \text { \& K Q } 85 \\
& \diamond \text { K } 543 \\
& \& \text { Q J }
\end{aligned}
$$

- 9764

ค 6
」 1098

- 10642

- AJ5
© A9 3
$\diamond A$ Q 2
\& AK 87
- K 83

ค J 10742
$\diamond 76$
-953
Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Grue | Nanev | Moss | Stefanov |
| - | - | $1 \boldsymbol{\mu}^{*}$ | Pass |
| $l \diamond *$ | Pass | 2NT | All Pass |

Grue and Moss play Precision Club when non-vulnerable. The $\vee 4$ was led to the queen, followed by the 85 to the 10 and the $\$ 2$ to the king and ace. Unaware of the highly unlikely favourable club position, Moss now led the $\diamond \mathbf{Q}$ from his hand, North winning with the king. This was allowed to win and he continued with the king and ace of clubs. A club to the 10 allowed the $\diamond \mathrm{J}$ to be run and that was nine tricks for +150 .
Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Karakolev | Pszczola | Mihov | Rosenberg |
| - | - | $2 N T$ | Pass |
| $30^{*}$ | Pass | $3 \varnothing$ | Pass |
| 3 | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

What was the chance of this contract making after a lead of the $\vee 4$ ? The lay-out of the defenders' cards was a gift from the Gods. Sadly, Mihov did not take advantage of it. He won North's 8 Q with the ace and promptly led the $\diamond \mathrm{Q}$ from his hand, North winning with the king. Four heart tricks were duly cashed and declarer subsequently used the miraculous club position merely to avoid two down. It was 5 IMPs to USA2 instead of 6 IMPs to Bulgaria.
Two boards later, there was another plus-score into minus-score conversion.

Board 20 Dealer West. Both Vul.
AK 65
$\bigcirc 974$
$\diamond 102$
\&9643

- J 109732
$\checkmark$ A 10
765
\& 85

$W^{N}$
- Q 8
- K 8532
$\diamond$ A Q J 4
* 12

Q QJ 6
$\diamond$ K 983
\& AKQ 107
Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grue | Nanev | Moss | Stefanov |
| Pass | Pass | 18 | 2\% |
| Dble | 2)* | Pass | 2NT |
| Pass | 3\% | 3 - | All Pass |

North's 29 , a transfer into West's advertised suit, showed a sound club raise. East's $3 \diamond$ was a bit risky. It survived undoubled and went two down, Moss losing two clubs, two spades and two trumps.
Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Karakolev | Pszczola | Mihov | Rosenberg |
| 2. | Pass | Pass | Dble |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | 3NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

The Q was led and the contract seemed to be booked for one down. Indeed, if you look at the BBO play record it is shown as going one down. Plus 600 was entered on the BBO scorecard, also on the electronic official scorecard. What on earth had happened?
Declarer ducked the first round of spades and Mihov switched to the $\diamond 4$, declarer rising with the king. Two top clubs from dummy were followed by a club to the nine, the spade ace and king and two more rounds of clubs. Declarer had cashed out for one down and in some games might have conceded the rest. Four tricks were left and the defenders had two top cards in each of the three remaining suits (the $109,8 \mathrm{~A}$ and $9 \mathrm{~K}, \stackrel{\mathrm{AQ}}{ }$ ).
An eye witness account informed us that West had discarded the 810 and kept $109 \vee \mathrm{~A} \diamond 7$. East meanwhile was down to $8 K 8$ and $\vee A Q$. Declarer called for the $\vee Q$, won by West's ace. West cashed the 10 and $\uparrow 9$ and East had to decide whether to keep the $\triangle K$ or the $\diamond A$. He discarded the $\diamond \mathrm{A}$ and declarer made the $\diamond I O$ as the ninth trick. It was 9 IMPs to USA2.

The next exhibit is a bidding hand.
Board 2I Dealer North. N/S Vul.
¢ 108
$\bigcirc 63$
$\diamond$ Q 165
\% Q 10974

$\triangleright$ KJIO 97
$\diamond 3$

* AJ 863

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grue | Nanev | Moss | Stefanov |
|  | Pass | 14 | Pass |
| 20* | Pass | $2 \wedge^{*}$ | Pass |
| 20* | Pass | $3 \diamond *$ | Pass |
| 3 ${ }^{*}$ | Pass | 4 $*^{*}$ | Pass |
| 4)* | Pass | $4{ }^{\text {a }}$ | All Pa |

Grue's $2 \boldsymbol{e}$ was a game-forcing start to a relay sequence. Moss's $2 \diamond$ showed a maximum (in the context of a non-l opening). His $3 \triangleleft$ showed six or seven spades and $4 \diamond$ indicated seven spades and short clubs, the red suits being 3-2, 2-3 or 3-3. West's $4 \checkmark$ asked partner to bid 4s and that ended it. It was an impressive glimpse into the nightmare world of relay bidding!
A lead of the 8 J allowed declarer to make +480 , eventually playing a club towards king for a diamond discard.
Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Karakolev | Pszczola | Mihov | Rosenberg |
| - | Pass | 19 | Pass |
| $2 \checkmark$ | Pass | 34 | Pass |
| 4** | Dble | 4 ${ }^{*}$ | Pass |
| 49 | Pass | 4NT* | Pass |
| 5\%* | Pass | $5{ }^{*}$ | Pass |
| 6 ${ }^{*}$ | Pass | 64 | All Pass |

After the double of the $4 \%$ cue-bid, Mihov might have done better to pass. His partner could then have redoubled to show Ist-round club control, otherwise cuebid $4 \diamond$ to show second-round control. RKCB revealed one keycard, the $\varphi \mathrm{Q}$ and the $\diamond \mathrm{K}$, Mihov arriving in 64.
Rosenberg led the A , continuing with a second club. If trumps were 2-2 and diamonds 3-2, declarer could have made the slam by discarding a diamond on this trick. He could then set up the diamond suit with a high ruff and return to dummy with the ace and queen of trumps.
Mihov preferred to throw a heart and run all the trumps bar one, leaving $\cap$ Q8 $\diamond A K$ in the dummy opposite his $\$$ g
$\diamond 984$. North held the diamonds securely and South still had the $\triangle K J$. A diamond to the king followed by a heart ruff failed to bring down the king and it was one down. II IMPs to USA 2.
It had been a disappointing session for Bulgaria but they hit back with two big swings near the end.

Board 30 Dealer East. None Vul.


The protection in diamonds was somewhat fragile for 3NT and Nanev judged to play in 44. He won the club lead and took an early finesse of the $\odot \mathbf{Q}$ (a near certainly after East's opening bid and his failure to lead a top diamond). Declarer soon had ten tricks and +420 .
Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Karakolev | Pszczola | Mihov | Rosenberg |
| - | - | Pass | 18 |
| Pass | 19 | Pass | $2 \varnothing$ |
| Pass | $2 \Phi^{*}$ | Pass | $2 N T$ |
| Pass | $3 N T$ | All Pass |  |

Karakolev produced a very fine $\diamond K$ opening lead and the notrump game was swiftly one down. It was a welcome 10 IMPs to Bulgaria.
Would there be a 'final firework' on the last board. Yes, there would!

## Dealing machines and cards

The Duplimates used for the duplication during the championship are sold for EUR 1999. You are advised to order early as the number of machines is limited. Contact Jannerstens at Forum 4 (just outside the playing room), or ! drop a line to per@jannersten.com.
The (new) cards that you play with (if you participate) will be sold after ; usage for EUR 180 per 240 decks.

The (new) cards used during the European
Championships in Montecatini are available for instant I delivery for EUR 165 per 240 decks.
i Other quantities on request in the bookstall.


Board 32 Dealer West. E/W Vul.

- A Q 87
- Q 852
$\diamond \mathrm{K}$
- KJ 103

| - K 96 | N |  | - J 1052 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q J 1073 |  |  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 964$ |
| $\checkmark 42$ |  | E | $\diamond 9853$ |
| - 4862 | S |  | -9 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | $\otimes \mathrm{A}$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ A QJ 1076 |  |  |
|  | \& Q 754 |  |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Grue | Nanev | Moss | Stefanov |
| - | $1 \diamond$ | Pass | $2 \diamond *$ |
| Pass | $2 N T$ | Pass | 3NT | All Pass

The auction started with a Precision $\ \diamond$ opening and an inverted $2 \triangleleft$ response. Stefanov couldn't see much chance of a slam after the 2NT rebid and raised to 3NT. Nanev made +490 after a spade lead.
With the 9 K onside, 6 was a good place to be. Would the Americans find it?

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Karakolev | Pszczola | Mihov | Rosenberg |
| - | INT | Pass | $2 \diamond^{*}$ |
| Pass | $2 \checkmark$ | Pass | 24* |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | $4 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 4 ${ }^{*}$ | Pass | 54* |
| Pass | 6\%* | Pass | $6 \diamond$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Rosenberg's $2 \triangleleft$ started as a transfer to hearts. 2 2 cancelled this message and showed interest in the minors. I assume that North would have introduced a 5-card minor at this stage. South's jump to $4 \diamond$ showed a good diamond suit and the meaning of the next three bids is not disclosed by their convention card. Sorry about that.
For a moment the Americans were in the club slam but maybe they were unaware of a fit in that suit. Anyway, Rosenberg ended the auction with a bid of $6>$ and a huge number of IMPs then hung on Karakolev's opening lead. Would he follow his splendid $\diamond$ K lead from two boards previously with the winning move here? We waited and... we waited... and then the A appeared on the table, swiftly followed by a second club. Unlucky for USA2, yes, but a spade lead might have sunk $6 \diamond$ if the $\mathbf{Q} \mathrm{K}$ was offside.
Bulgaria gained another II IMPs and were back in the match. They lost the session 38-29 to leave the totals at 144-I29.All to play for!
comandatuba2018casbridge.org


UAE NATIONAL DAY BRIDGE FESTIVAL 2017 $29^{\text {TH }}$ NOV TO $03^{\text {RD }}$ DEC
VENUE: METROPOLITAN HOTEL DUBAI


PROGRAM OF EVENTS

Wednesday, 29th Nov.
Registration \& Refreshment
OPEN PAIRS (Session 1)
Thursday, 30th Nov.
OPEN PAIRS (Session 2)
Refreshment
OPEN PAIRS (Session 3)
Friday, 01st Dec
Registration \& Refreshment
MIXED PAIRS (Session 1)
Refreshment
MIXED PAIRS (Session 2)
Saturday. 02nd Dec
Registration \& Refreshment
TEAMS (6 Matches)
Sunday, 03rd Dec
TEAMS ( 2 Matches)
Refreshment
FINAL (Top 8 Teams)

## GALA DINNER

TIMING
5.00pm
$7.00 \mathrm{pm}-10.30 \mathrm{pm}$
1.30pm - 5.00pm
5.00pm-6.30pm $6.30 \mathrm{pm}-10.00 \mathrm{pm}$
1.30pm
2.00pm - 5.30pm
5.30pm - 6.30pm
6.30pm - 10.00pm
12.30pm
1.00pm - 8.00pm
12.00pm - 2.30pm
2.30pm - 3.00pm 3.00pm-6.30pm
7.30pm

## ACCOMMODATION

Single AED 485.00
Double AED 535.00
Inclusive of breakfast and taxes
Ms. Eva Perera
reservations.mhd@habtoorhospitality.com +9714 3430000
--
Single AED 435
Double AED 495
Inclusive of breakfast and taxes
Mr. Shameem AI Hassan

$\frac{\text { reservations@hialbarsha.com }}{+97143234333}$
+97143234333
REGISTRATION
Amr Mekky
amr.mekky@hotmail.com
+971557118844
Maria Kassis
tkassis@hotmail.com
+971562578034
Lorena Abao
lorena.abao@hialbarsha.com
+971 506291126

## PRIZES

OPEN FAIRS
Winner
Runner Up
$3^{\text {rd }}$
$4^{\text {th }}$
$5^{\text {th }}$
$6^{\text {th }}$
$7^{\text {th }}$ to $10^{\text {th }}$

MIXED PAIRS

| Winner | $2000+$ Trophy |
| :--- | :---: |
| Runner Up | $1500+$ Trophy |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $1000+$ Trophy |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ | 600 |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ | 500 |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ to $10^{\text {th }}$ | 300 |

## TEAMS

| Winner | 4000 + Trophy |
| :--- | :--- |
| Runner Up | $3000+$ Trophy |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ | 2500 + Trophy |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ | 2000 |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ | 1200 |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ | 1000 |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ | 800 |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ | 700 |

SPECIAL PRIZES
Open Pairs:
1st N/S \& ENW 200
2nd N/S \& E/W 100
Best Mixed Pair 300
Best Ladies Pair 300
Mixed Pairs:
1st N/S \& E/W 200
2nd N/S \& ENW 100
ENTRY FEES
Open pairs
Mixed pairs
US \$
130 Per person
80 Per person
Teams


Ahmed AI Midfa, Amr Mekky, Darshan Valrani \& Roxana Jaffer
www.bridgewebs.com/jacks
d'Orsi Trophy Semi-final - Segment 5
USA2 v Sweden

The USA2-Sweden match was very close (IO2-IOO to Sweden after four sets), while Italy had begun to pull away from India (146-95).

Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.
( Q 92
$\bigcirc 75$
$\diamond 96$
\& A Q J 765


ค 1063
$\diamond$ K 3
\& K 842
Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bjerregård | Silverman | Morath | Wolfson |
| - | - | $I \boxtimes$ | Pass |
| $3 \diamond *$ | Pass | $3 \vee$ | Pass |
| $4 \checkmark$ | All Pass |  |  |
| $3 \diamond$ | 3-card limit raise + |  |  |

Wolfson led the four of clubs (third-best from even) to Silverman's ace. North continued with the queen of clubs to force the dummy. Morath cashed the king and queen of hearts, crossed to the ace of diamonds, drew the last


Mats Axdorph, Sweden
trump and led the jack of diamonds to force out the king. South won and led another club. Declarer ruffed and led the four of spades toward dummy's king; the defence was finished. South could take his ace of spades and declarer had the rest for plus 420.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Berkowitz | Efraimsson | Sontag | Axdorph |
| - | - | 18 | Pass |
| $\mathbf{4} \square^{*}$ | All Pass |  |  |

4 Precision - wider range than usual
The first two tricks were the same. Sontag led a diamond to the jack at trick three; that was fatal.When it lost to the king, Axdorph shifted to a low spade. Sontag ducked it to the queen; then the spade ace meant one off for minus 50.
Morath put all his eggs in the spade basket (with a bonus if the king of diamonds was singleton), whereas Sontag put all his eggs in the diamond basket - when the finesse lost, he was doomed unless the queen of spades was doubleton or tripleton onside and the opponents could not get a diamond ruff.
Ten IMPs to Sweden, II2-I00.
Editor's note: In China-Poland, Wang led a club and Huang continued the suit to force dummy. When declarer lost the diamond finesse Wang played ace and another spade. Now declarer had no chance to succeed since there was a sure trump promotion for the defence.
It's annoying to take a sacrifice and go for more than the value of their game. It is infuriating to do so and find that their game can be beaten.

Board 6. Dealer East. E/W Vul.

- A 4
© 16
$\diamond$ J 963
- AJIO 92

| - Q 73 | N | - 19852 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ AKQ 1093 |  | $\bigcirc 542$ |
| $\checkmark$ A 8 |  | $\diamond$ K 1042 |
| ¢ 83 | S | - K |
|  | - K 106 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 87$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q 75 |  |
|  | Q Q 7654 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bjerregård | Silverman | Morath | Wolfson |
| - | - | Pass | Pass |
| 18 | 29 | $2 \bigcirc$ | 49 |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | 5\% | Pass | Pass |
| Double | All Pass |  |  |

Morath led the deuce of hearts. The defence took two hearts, two diamonds and a diamond ruff. When West then led the club eight, Silverman ducked it to the king, hoping to go for minus 500 against 620 if East were void in clubs,
thus 6-3-4-0.When East was 5-3-4-I , minus 800 was against plus 100 , if the spade ruff could be found, or if the suit were misguessed.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Berkowitz | Efraimsson | Sontag | Axdorph |
| 18* | Pass | I $\diamond^{*}$ | Pass |
| 18 | Pass | 24 | Pass |
| $4 \checkmark$ | All Pass |  |  |
| 19 Prec |  |  |  |

North led the six of diamonds to the two, seven and eight. That was enough for Berkowitz to take ten tricks for plus 620, losing just 5 IMPs. Had Silverman dropped the king of clubs at the other table, he'd have won 3 IMPs.
The loose weak two-bid style of Efraimsson/Axdorph (5$10,5+M$ ) hurt them on the following ...

Board II. Dealer South. None Vul.

|  | 4. AK8632 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ K Q 102 |  |
|  | $\diamond 5$ |  |
|  | 2 K 5 |  |
| - 10 | N | - 4 |
| ¢J863 |  | ¢A954 |
| $\checkmark$ J 1083 | W E | $\diamond$ AK942 |
| * J 872 | S | - A93 |
|  | Q QJ975 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 7$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q 76 |  |
|  | \& Q 1064 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bjerregård | Silverman | Morath | Wolfson |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| Pass | I. | Dble | 4 |

Morath had already expressed his hand (almost) and with just a king in reserve, took the low road for minus 420.
Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Berkowitz | Efraimsson | Sontag | Axdorph |
| - | - | - | $2 \Lambda$ |
| Pass | $4 \uparrow$ | Dble | Pass |
| 4NT* | 54 | Dble | All Pass |

Sontag needed to start at the four level (in minus 800 country) and was not pressed to double the five-level continuation for plus 100 and 11 IMPs.
On Board 14, both sides missed a slam depending on bringing home a trump suit of $\varangle$ K J97543 opposite $\triangleleft \mathrm{A} 6$, with residual chances if that could not be done.
The set ended 30-28 for Sweden, doubling their lead to 4 IMPs, I32-I28.

## Championship Diary

Before a major championship I prepare a preliminary list of possible titles for articles, but they don't always get used. Some of those still waiting in the wings include The French Connection, The French Defence and The Four Musketeers.

One of the more amusing sides of the game comes to light in the Funbridge Transnational - how do the squads decide their names? Some are content with formality Poland, Croatia, Germany Open for example, while others prefer something unusual - Tosca, Raptors, English Discards etc. One that caught the eye (more likely when a team is at the top of the table) was The Magicdogs. Magic was the name of the dog belonging to team members Fred Gitelman and Sheri Winestock - the picture - Sideshow Magic is so named because it resembles Sideshow Bob for the Simpsons.


Sideshow Magic

My personal favourite was Les Grands Crus but as they could only finish in fifty-seventh place it may be that 2017 will prove to be a poor vintage in France.

From time to time we check out Google to see how famous we have become. The benchmark is the 32,100,000 results you get forWinston Churchill. Barry is surprisingly low at 123,000 , well behind Ron's 258,000 , while Brian's $2,560,000$ is surprisingly surpassed by Herman's 2,580,000. The Supreme Editor's $21,200,000$ is way behind Jean-Paul's staggering $31,200,000$ - which explains why he is the co-ordinator.

Although we are located at the furthest extremity of the building some callers still manage to find us. Yesterday we were lucky enough to be visited by a member of the WBF Secretariat, Odile Beineix, and her charming grand-daughter, Anne-Sophie Olivier, who is a wonderful violinist. You can watch her playing as a member of the Crossover Modular Ensemble at:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EKOuM8wOtel

## Time Limit <br> Mark Horton

When you only have limited time each day to watch a few deals you tend to rely on outside information. Here are a couple of deals that were dropped into the office:
You pick up this fine collection and the player on your right deals and opens INT.Would you consider bidding?

```
\vee 876542
\diamond
& 1097632
```

Perhaps you are influenced by the vulnerability? Only your side is vulnerable. Would the methods you are employing influence you?
I decided to check out the actions of the quarter-finalists and discovered that most of the time North started with $\ \diamond$, when no-one saw fit to enter the auction.
When North did start with INT - the choice of Fleisher, Levin, Ostberg, Dai and Huang - their respective opponents were Upmark, Nanev, Buchen, Rombaut and Van Zwol. Buchen was the only one to bid - trying $2 \checkmark$ which saw NS reach 54.
This was the full deal:

|  | Dealer North. E/W Vul. |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | - K 64 |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A 3 |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q 109743 |
|  | \& KJ |
| ¢ 975 | N - - |
| ¢KJ 109 | W E $\quad 876542$ |
| $\diamond$ KJ5 | W E $\checkmark 6$ |
| \& A 84 | S \$1097632 |
|  | - A QJIO 832 |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 82 |
|  | - Q 5 |

I cannot tell you if it is right to overcall with this type of hand - comments from the players I polled included 'adventurous', 'daring' and 'barking mad'.
My second deal is straightforward - a lead problem:

With neither side vulnerable you pick up a modest hand as dealer:

- K 105
©K964
$\diamond 963$
\& 1062
After this auction you have to find a lead:

| West | $t$ North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - |  | - | Pass |
| 10* | Pass | I $\diamond^{*}$ | Pass |
| 19* | Pass | $2{ }^{*}$ | Pass |
| 3\% | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |
| \|\& | 2 or balanced balanced maybe 5 | or 444 <br> be 5 poor |  |
| $1 \diamond 4$ | $4+\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| 14. | Denies 2/3 hearts |  |  |
| $2 \diamond$ | Game forcing |  |  |

If you are the type of player who favours passive leads then I suspect you will opt for a diamond. In any event when I show you the full deal I suspect you will be surprised at the choice of the player holding the South hand:


South's imaginative choice of the $\mathrm{\Phi} \mathrm{~K}$ was not a triumph, but shows the nerve you need at this level.

## World Championship Book 2017 - Lyon

The official book of these championships should be ready around the end of February next year. It will consist of approximately 350 large full colour pages and will include coverage of all the championship events, with particular emphasis on the latter stages of the Open and Women's Teams. There will be a full results service and many colour photographs.
The principle analysts, as in recent years, will be John Carruthers, Barry Rigal, Brian Senior and GeO Tislevoll.
On publication, the official retail price will be US\$35 plus whatever your local bookseller charges for postage. For the duration of the championships, you can pre-order via Jan Swaan in the Press Room at the reduced price of 25 Euros, or 30 US\$, including postage (surface mail).
Alternatively, you can pay the same prices via Paypal to Brian Senior at bsenior@hotmail.com, which is also an option for a limited period after the end of the championships.

## Quack, Quack, Quack <br> Micke Melander

Yesterday afternoon I was served a board by Tommy Gullberg the NPC of the Swedish Senior Team coming from Sweden's semi-final match against USA 2 in the very first segment.
A few hours later I found myself sitting on the floor reading the notes from Tommy when I suddenly started to laugh out loud. Because my two-year-old daughter who sat beside me was playing on her I-pad where Bob the Train popped up and a nursery rhyme started to play something like... "Can you hear the duck go... quack, quack, quack,....":

Board 6. Dealer East. E-W Vul.

- A 64
$\bigcirc 943$
$\diamond A K$ Q 85
\& A 6
- 18
$\diamond$ A Q 86
$\diamond 1093$
$\diamond$ Q 985

- 105

8 K J 75
$\diamond J 764$
K K 43
Open Room

| West | North <br> Bjäring | Berkowitz | East <br> Östberg |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - | - | South |  |
| Sontag |  |  |  |

East led the jack of clubs, and Berkowitz won with the ace and cashed five rounds of diamonds, upon which East (wrongly as it turned out) pitched three spades and West


Johnny Östberg, Sweden
two hearts. At this point declarer could have made his contract. Berkowitz who only had eight tricks then turned to hearts and led the four to the two, jack and West's queen. When West played the jack of spades, declarer quacked, sorry ducked - this was about how far I had read the notes when the song started to play on the l-pad when I started to laugh. When declarer ducked, East overtook the jack of spades with the queen and played the ten of clubs, which established West's queen-nine of clubs while still having the ace of hearts as an entry. One down.
Why did East allow declarer to make by pitching three spades? East had to keep them as a threat against declarer who then must duck the jack of spades from West when it's played.
With only three spades in East declarer can win the jack of spades and play a second heart, establishing a heart trick.
Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Becker | Efraimsson | Graves | Axdorph |
| - | - | $2 \uparrow$ | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | Pass | $3 \searrow$ |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |

In this room Efraimsson was declaring the same contract. The two of clubs was led to the three, queen and declarer's ace. Again, declarer cashed five rounds of diamonds and East pitched three spades and at this table the jack of clubs, while West discarded his two small hearts. Declarer then played a heart to the jack and West's queen. This remained:


West now played the five of clubs, which went to the six, ten and "quack" the four from dummy! Since declarer only had lost two tricks he should have captured the ten with the king of clubs and established his ninth trick in hearts. But East didn't understand what was going on continued with clubs whereupon declarer won the king, set up the last needed trick in hearts and could claim when the clubs split 4-4.
If you want to listen to the rhyme with Bob the Train:
https://www.youtube.com/watch? $\mathrm{v}=9 \mathrm{fmfl}$ cbLOcc


Brian Senior

## Venice Cup Semi-final - Segment 5 and 6

## England v Sweden



Having led by 63 IMPs after 32 boards, England had found themselves over 20 IMPs down at one point during set four. They managed to stop the bleeding and regained the lead on the final deal of the set, but that once imposing lead was down to just 9 IMPs, at I5I-I42, going into the fifth set of six. Would one of the teams be able to build momentum and take a grip on this match?
Board 65 was a borderline slam, make-able when the key side suit broke two-two. Both E/W pairs stopped in game but Sweden gained an overtrick IMP; I43-I5I.

Board 66. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

- Q 92
$\bigcirc 75$
$\diamond 96$
4 A Q J 765


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brock | S. Rimstedt | Brown | Ovelius |
| - | - | 18 | Pass |
| 24 | Pass | 3 | Pass |
| $4 \checkmark$ | All Pass |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Grönkvist | Draper | C. Rimstedt | Smith |
| - | - | 18 | Pass |
| 2 | All Pass |  |  |

For Sweden, Cecilia Rimstedt opened $I \vee$ and Ida Grönkvist made a very heavy constructive raise to 2 . . Rimstedt had a minimum opening so passed. After a trump lead Rimstedt played a club, won the heart return and took her club ruff, making a safe +140 .
For England, Fiona Brown also opened I $\oslash$ but Sally Brock responded 24. This is not covered on their convention card but was presumably an invitational or better three-card raise. Brown signed off in $3 \bigcirc$ but Brock went on to game when you have an undisclosed singleton partner can never know how the hands fit together so a reasonable approach is to just bid game and hope for the best. Emma Ovelius led a trump, Brown winning on table and taking the diamond finesse. On winning the $\diamond K$, Ovelius could see that the diamonds were set up so attacked declarer's late entry to dummy, switching to a club. Sandra Rimstedt won the ace and continued with the 2 Q to force dummy. Brown,
however, could ruff, overtake the $\triangle Q$ and draw the last trump, cash the $\forall A$, and lead a low spade towards the king. There was, of course, no spade guess, as she needed the entry. Ovelius took her ace and tried a club, so Brown ruffed and crossed to the spade to cash diamonds; ten tricks for +420 and 7 IMPs to England, who led by I58-I43.


Sandra Rimstedt, Sweden
Board 67. Dealer South. E/WVul.
, 754
$\bigcirc$ K J 103
$\diamond 1092$
\& Q J 7


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Brock | S. Rimstedt | Brown | Ovelius |
| - | - | - | $I \diamond$ |
| Pass | I $\vee$ | Pass | $1 \mathbf{S}$ |
| Pass | INT | $\mathbf{2} \diamond$ | $\mathbf{2} \triangleleft$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Grönkvist | Draper | C. Rimstedt | Smith |
| - | - | - | INT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

For England, Nicola Smith opened a strong no-trump and played there. A spade lead ran round to her jack and Smith led a heart to the jack. On winning the queen, Cecilia had six diamonds to cash for one down and -50 .
Ovelius opened $\mathrm{I} \diamond$ and her Is rebid showed a balanced hand including either four-card major. When Brown competed with $2 \diamond$, Ovelius supported her partner's hearts and $2 \checkmark$ ended the auction. Brown led a top diamond then switched to the ten of spades. Cecilia won the $\varphi \mathrm{A}$ and led a heart to the jack and queen. Back came a second spade so she rose with the king and drew trumps then ran the $\stackrel{2}{2}$. Brock could win and cash the Q Q then lead a diamond to her partner to hold the contract to eight tricks for +110 and 4 IMPs to Sweden; I47-I 58.

Board 68. Dealer West. All Vul.

- Q 984
© K 732
$\diamond \mathrm{Q}$
- 1972

| $\begin{aligned} & \& A 65 \\ & \text { \& J } 105 \end{aligned}$ | N |  | ¢ KJ 1073$>86$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\diamond$ J 975 |  | E | $\checkmark 108$ |
| \& K 108 | S |  | 2. A Q 64 |
|  | - 2 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A Q 94 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AK6432 |  |  |
|  | \& 53 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Brock | S. Rimstedt | Brown | Ovelius |
| Pass | Pass | 14 | $2 \checkmark$ |
| 2 | Pass | Pass | 2NT |
| Dble | Pass | Pass | 3 - |
| Pass | 38 | All Pa |  |



Emma Ovelius, Sweden

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Grönkvist | Draper | C. Rimstedt | Smith |
| Pass | Pass | $1 \mathbf{Q}$ | $\mathbf{2} \diamond$ |
| 2 | Pass | Pass | Dble |
| Pass | $\mathbf{3}$ | Pass | $\mathbf{4} \triangle$ |

## All Pass

Both Souths overcalled $2 \triangleleft$ rather than double to get hearts into the game straight away and both Wests raised to 24, which came back to South. Ovelius now bid 2NT to show a second suit of four cards and Brock doubled to show her maximum. When Ovelius removed 2NT doubled to $3 \diamond$, the second suit had to be hearts, so Sandra corrected to $3 \vee$ and played there. On a trump lead the play was very easy - win the king, cash the $\diamond Q$ then cross to dummy to ruff a diamond, draw trumps and claim ten tricks; +l70.
In the other room, the defence was slightly more challenging, not that it mattered as the cards lay. Cecilia led the ten of spades to Grönkvist's ace and Grönkvist switched to the ten of clubs to the jack and queen. Two more rounds of clubs forced dummy to ruff. Catherine Draper unblocked the $\diamond \mathbf{Q}$, led a heart to the queen and ruffed a low diamond. Next she drew trumps and the three-two break meant she had the rest; ten tricks for +620 and 10 IMPs to England, stretching the lead to 168 147.

Board 70. Dealer East. E/WVul.

- A 4
© J 6
$\diamond$ J 963
- AJIO 92

| - Q 73 | N | 4 19852 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ AKQ1093 |  | $\bigcirc 542$ |
| $\checkmark$ A 8 | W E | $\diamond$ K 1042 |
| \% 83 | S | ¢ K |
|  | - K 106 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 87$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q 75 |  |
|  | Q Q 7654 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brock | S. Rimstedt | Brown | Ovelius |
| - | - | Pass | Pass |
| 18 | 20 | 28 | 3\% |
| 3 | Pass | 34 | 4* |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Grönkvist | Draper | C. Rimstedt | Smith |
| - | - | Pass | Pass |
| 18 | Pass | 19 | Pass |
| 20 | Dble | 38 | Pass |
| $4{ }^{\text {a }}$ | All Pass |  |  |

Sandra overcalled and that made it possible for Ovelius to twice compete in the suit, though this probably
had very little effect on the opposition's auction. It did, however, make it easier for Sandra to start the defence by cashing the ace of clubs. Ovelius followed with the $\mathbf{N}$, her highest spot card, and that plus the sight of dummy helped Sandra to find the killing switch to ace and another spade. Sandra ruffed the third spade and that was down one for 100.

Draper did not overcall but doubled the Gazzilli 2er rebid. Cecilia's jump support of hearts was too much for Smith, who didn't fancy committing to the four level to show her club support. When Grönkvist raised to game Draper led a low diamond and that proved to be fatal. Grönkvist played low from dummy and Smith put in the seven. Grönkvist won the eight, drew one round of trumps then unblocked the diamond before playing a second top trump. When trumps proved to be two-two she could give up a club and claim ten tricks for +620 and 12 IMPs to Sweden; 160-168.

Board 7I. Dealer South. All Vul.

- 987
$\bigcirc$ A 7
$\diamond A K 876$
- A6 2
- KJ632
© Q 842
$\diamond 54$
* K J

| N | - 5 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | -KJ95 |
| W E | $\diamond 193$ |
| S | - Q 10753 |
| - A Q 104 |  |
| $\bigcirc 1063$ |  |
| $\checkmark$ Q 102 |  |
| -984 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brock | S. Rimstedt | Brown | Ovelius |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| Pass | INT | Pass | Pass |
| 20 | 2 | 2 | 2NT |
| Pass | 38 | Pass | $3 \checkmark$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Grönkvist | Draper | C. Rimstedt | Smith |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| Pass | INT | Pass | 2* |
| Pass | 2 - | Pass | 2NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Draper opened a I5-I7 no trump so Smith used Stayman then invited game, Draper passing 2NT. After a club lead and continuation, Draper cashed out for +120 .
Sandra's INT opening was 14-16 so Ovelius passed and now Brock showed the majors. Sandra introduced her fivecard diamond suit and Brown competed in hearts. Sandra declared $3 \triangleleft$ on the lead of the singleton spade. She ducked to Brock's jack and Brock switched to a low heart. Sandra ducked to Brown's jack and back came a second heart to
the eight and ace. Now it seems that the contract can be made by drawing trumps, then ducking a spade to the king, the LQ providing a discard for one of the club losers. But Sandra didn't see that possibility and instead led a spade towards the dummy. Brown ruffed and played a club, Brock winning the king and returning the jack to declarer's ace. There was nowhere to put the second club loser, so the contract was down one for 100 and 6 IMPs to England; 174-160.


Board 75. Dealer South. None Vul.
ゅ AK 8632
$\checkmark$ K Q 102
$\diamond 5$
\& K 5

| N | ¢ 4 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A 954 |
| W E | $\diamond$ AK 942 |
| S | - A 93 |
| Q Q J 975 |  |
| $\bigcirc 7$ |  |
| $\diamond$ Q 76 |  |
| \& Q 1064 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brock | S. Rimstedt | Brown | Ovelius |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| Pass | 19 | Dble | 44 |
| Pass | Pass | Dble | All Pass |
| West | North | East | South |
| Grönkvist | Draper | C. Rimstedt | Smith |
| - | - | - | $2 \triangleleft$ |
| Pass | 4\% | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| Pass | 49 | Dble | All Pass |

Sandra opened is and Ovelius raised to $4 \Phi$ over the take-out double. With extras including top cards, Brown doubled again and Brock, with no realistic hopes of making
anything at the five level, left it in. Declarer's singleton diamond was a blow to the defence who could come to just one trick in each side suit; +590 .
In the other room, Smith opened a weak multi with the South cards and Draper responded 4\%, asking her to transfer to her major. Cecilia had to wait until her opponents had unwound before making her take-out double and Grönkvist too left it is for the same reason as had Brock. The inevitable +590 meant a flat board and the teams moved on.

Board 77. Dealer North. All Vul.

|  | - A Q J 8 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 84$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K 98 |  |
|  | * K Q J 8 |  |
| . K 4 | N | -109652 |
| $\bigcirc$ KQ 63 |  | PJ52 |
| $\diamond$ J 7543 | W E | $\checkmark$ Q 106 |
| -92 | S | \& A 5 |
|  | - 73 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A 1097 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 2 |  |
|  | \& 107643 |  |


|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| West | North | East | South |
| Brock | S. Rimstedt <br> - | Brown <br> INT | Ovelius |
| West | North | East | South |
| Grönkvist | Draper | C. Rimstedt | Smith |
| - | INT | Pass | 2N |
| Pass | 2N | Pass | 2NT |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |



Catherine Draper, England
Sandra opened a 14-16 no trump and Ovelius, with only 8 HCP , had no reason to move. After a spade lead to the
king and ace, Sandra came to ten tricks for +180 .
Draper opened a 15-I7 no trump and now Smith could hope that the partnership had game values so used Stayman then invited game, Draper going on to 3NT. The lead was again a spade to the king and ace and by playing on clubs Draper too could establish ten winners for +630 and 10 IMPs to England, solely down to the vagaries of playing marginally different no trump ranges. They led by 184-I62.

Board 78. Dealer East. None Vul.

- KJ76

ค 105
$\diamond$ Q 10
2) 9853

43
คA83
$\diamond$ KJ97543

- A 10

\& A 984
$\triangleright 76$
$\diamond$ A 6
\& K Q 764
\& Q 1052
- K Q J 942
$\diamond 82$
92

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brock | S. Rimstedt | Brown | Ovelius |
| - | - | 1\% | 18 |
| 29 | Pass | $2 \checkmark$ | Pass |
| $2 \bigcirc$ | Pass | 3\% | Pass |
| $3 \checkmark$ | All Pass |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Grönkvist | Draper | C. Rimstedt | Smith |
| - | - | $1 \%$ | $2 \bigcirc$ |
| 30 | Pass | 3 | Pass |
| 3 | Pass | 3s | Pass |

A diamond slam is very playable on the E/W cards but neither pair even got close.
Brown opened a potentially short club and Ovelius overcalled. Brock transferred to diamonds and Brown did as requested, showing a limited hand. Now Brock's combination of cuebid followed by $3 \triangleleft$ was surely forcing but Brown succumbed to the tiredness which is inevitable by this stage of a long championship and passed. Brown won the heart lead and got diamonds wrong so held herself to ten tricks for +130 .
In slam, declarer would get the trumps right because when diamonds are three-one there is the second chance of clubs three-three, when the heart losers go away.
Cecilia too opened a potentially short ig and Smith made a weak jump overcall. Grönkvist transferred to diamonds then cuebid and, when Cecilia showed her spades, bid $3 N T$. One would prefer to play $5 \diamond$ rather than 3NT, but when Cecilia ducked two rounds of hearts then played diamonds from the top she had II tricks for +460 and 8 IMPs to Sweden; I70-I84.

Board 80. Dealer West. E/W Vul.

- A 1042
$\checkmark 109$
$\diamond$ A 104
\% 9854
© 3
- 872
$\diamond$ K 9763
\& K 1063

| $W^{\text {N }}$ |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |
| ¢ K Q J 7 |
| $\bigcirc$ A Q 53 |
| $\checkmark$ J 52 |
| \% Q 7 |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brock | S. Rimstedt | Brown | Ovelius |
| Pass | Pass | 1\% | INT |
| Pass | 2\% | Pass | 2 |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | 3s |
| Pass | 49 | All Pass |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Grönkvist | Draper | C. Rimstedt | Smith |
| Pass | Pass | Pass | INT |
| Pass | 20 | Pass | 24 |
| Pass | 49 | All Pass |  |

Both Souths declared 4s and received a diamond lead.
Smith played low from dummy and Cecilia put in the eight. Smith won the jack and played $\$ \mathrm{~J}$ followed by $\uparrow \mathrm{Q}$. The four-one split required a rethink and she continued with the queen of clubs. Cecilia won the ace and played a trump. Smith won in dummy and led the nine of hearts running it when Cecilia failed to cover. When the 89 held the trick, Smith could continue with the ten of hearts to the queen and the $\vee A$ for a diamond pitch. She ruffed the fourth heart with the A , cashed the $\diamond \mathrm{A}$, and had ten tricks for +420 .
That was a rather lucky recovery. Ovelius showed that there was a better way to play the hand. She too received a diamond lead to the eight and her jack, but Ovelius led a diamond to the ace at trick two and led a heart to the queen, cashed the $\vee A$ and ruffed a heart low. A spade to hand allowed her to ruff the last heart with the ace of spades, cash the $\$ 10$, and claim ten tricks for +420 and a push board.
With 16 boards to play England led by I84-I7I IMPs. There was still all to play for.

## SEGMENT 6

Going into the final set of the Venice Cup semi-final, England led Sweden by 13 IMPs, I84-I7I, basically a vulnerable game swing. This one looked as though it would go down to the wire.
Sweden picked up a couple of early IMPs but we didn't have to wait long for the first big swing.

Board 83. Dealer South. E/W Vul.
\& 75
-K QJ 9875
$\diamond 8$
\& A Q 4

| (AQ 832 | N | - J 104 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ A |  | $\bigcirc 32$ |
| $\checkmark$ KJ65 3 |  | $\diamond 1074$ |
| - 93 | S | \% K 10865 |
|  | ¢ K 96 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 1064$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A Q 92 |  |
|  | \& 172 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Brock | Andersson | Brown | Bertheau |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| Is | $4 \varnothing$ | Pass | Pass |
| Dble | Pass | $4 \varrho$ | All Pass |
|  |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| C. Rimstedt | Draper | Grönkvist | Smith |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| IS | 4 | All Pass |  |

Both Norths overcalled $4 \checkmark$ and that came back to the opener. Cecilia Rimstedt, for Sweden, passed and Ida Grönkvist's spade lead collected two tricks in the suit. The ace of hearts and king of clubs meant down one for -50 .
In the other room Sally Brock, for England, reopened with a double and Fiona Brown bid 4s. Pia Andersson led her singleton diamond, Kathrine Bertheau winning the ace and returning the nine. Brock ducked that, so Andersson ruffed and returned the jack of hearts to Brock's ace. Brock led a club, Andersson winning the ace and exiting with a second club. Brock won dummy's king and played the jack of spades to the king and ace, cashed the queen of spades, and was now able to take a diamond ruff to set up her suit; ten tricks for +620 and II IMPs to England, increasing the lead to a slightly more comfortable 195-173.

Board 84. Dealer West. All Vul.
\& K 3

- A 74
$\diamond 108432$
\% 92


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Brock | Andersson | Brown | Bertheau |
| C. Rimstedt | Draper | Grönkvist | Smith |
| INT | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

Both auctions were simplicity itself and both Norths led a low diamond. Could either declarer be sufficiently inspired to go up with the ace? Of course not - why would anyone not play low as that ensures three diamond tricks and nine in all whenever the lead is away from the king? Both Souths won the bare king and switched to a heart, and that was down three for -300; no swing.
Well, suppose that declarer does rise with the ace and the king does not fall. She can take the spade finesse and, if it loses, North cannot attack hearts without giving a trick to the king, declarer's ninth. And if the spade finesse wins or North gets out with a passive club or spade, declarer can now play a diamond to set up a ninth winner and is completely safe whenever North holds the king.
In terms of finding the line to give the best chance of making the contract, rising with the $\diamond \mathbf{A}$ at trick one gains when the stiff king is offside but never appears to cost the contract. This play may cost an overtrick or two, and that will be the result far more often than the play is necessary to make the contract. So what is more important, the frequent I or 2 IMP losses, or the occasional I4 IMPs away, as on the actual layout?
The next few boards were not what the chasing team wanted to see, four in a row with no swing. Board 89 at least offered the possibility of a swing.

Board 89. Dealer North. E/W Vul.

|  | ¢ 5 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark \mathrm{A}$ |  |  |
|  | 2 K |  |  |
| \& A 10972 |  |  | J 843 |
| $\bigcirc$ Q J 754 |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| $\diamond 84$ |  | $\diamond$ | 62 |
| ¢ 5 |  |  |  |
|  | , Q |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ K |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark \mathrm{K}$ |  |  |
|  | 2 A |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Brock | Andersson | Brown | Bertheau |
| - | 1\% | Pass | $1 \diamond$ |
| $2 \diamond$ | $3 \diamond$ | Pass | 3 |
| Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass | 5 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| C. Rimstedt | Draper | Grönkvist | Smith |
| - | 1\% | Pass | 19 |
| 29 | Pass | 39 | Dble |
| Pass | 4\% | Pass | 50 |

All Pass

The Swedes had no difficulty in discovering that they had no spade guard and reached the safe game of 5 , being given all the room they needed to explore when Brown did not join in despite her five-card support for one of partner's majors. Brock's $2 \triangleleft$ overcall, showing the majors, also made it easy for Bertheau to get clubs right for the overtrick once Brock had turned up with two diamonds; 12 tricks for +420 .
Cecilia too showed the majors via a cue bid after Smith's ls response which denied a major. Grönkvist made a preemptive jump to 3s and Smith doubled to show her extras. When Draper now bid her longest suit, clubs, Smith raised to game. You would rather be in diamonds, but $5 \%$ is also unbeatable, even if declarer gets the trumps wrong, after the normal start of two rounds of spades. Draper ruffed and played N then ran the j and had II tricks for +400 but I IMP to Sweden; I74-I95.
Declarer doesn't need to get the trumps right. In fact, she should probably get them wrong as losing to a doubleton queen would see her go down, losing a heart at the end. If instead she plays K K and, if West follows, continues with a club to the ace, she can then cash three rounds of diamonds and two rounds of hearts before exiting with a club. East will be forced to give a ruff and discard and the heart loser goes away. The two-suited overcall is very revealing.

Board 90. Dealer East. All Vul.

| ¢ Q 109 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ Q 108 |  |
| $\diamond$ J 1095 |  |
| \& 465 |  |
| N | ¢ J 874 |
| W E | ¢K96 |
|  | $\diamond$ Q 42 |
| S | \& Q 103 |
| ¢ 652 |  |
| $\bigcirc 1543$ |  |
| $\checkmark$ A 86 |  |
| \& 197 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brock | Andersson | Brown | Bertheau |
| - | - | Pass | Pass |
| INT | All Pass |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| C. Rimstedt | Draper | Grönkvist | Smith |
| - | - | Pass | Pass |
| INT | Pass | 24 | Pass |
| 3\% | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

Both INT openings were 15-I7, with the occasional upgraded 14. Would you invite game on the East cards? Brown did not, while Grönkvist used a range inquiry and Cecilia showed a maximum so reached game.
Andersson led the ten of diamonds against INT, Brock winning the king and leading a club to dummy's queen
followed by three rounds of spades．Andersson won the $\varphi \mathrm{Q}$ and led the nine of diamonds to the queen and ace． Bertheau＇s $\diamond 8$ was allowed to hold the next trick so she led a heart to the ten and king．Brock cashed the jack of spades then led a club to the king and ace．She made the $\vee \mathrm{A}$ in the ending so had seven tricks for +90 ．
Draper led the jack of diamonds against game and once again that ran round to declarer＇s king．Cecilia led a club to the ten and jack，and back came a spade．Cecilia rose with the ace and led a club to the queen，then a third round to the king and ace．Draper returned the ten of diamonds and Cecilia got that right by playing low．Draper won the $\diamond 10$ and played another diamond to the queen and ace．Smith played a spade through．Cecilia rose with the king，cashed the thirteenth club and played a spade．Draper could win the $\ulcorner\mathrm{Q}$ and cash the fourth diamond but that was all；down one for－ 100 and 5 IMPs to England．They led by 200－I74．

Board 94．Dealer East．None Vul．

```
& AJIO 72
\diamond
\diamondK 9542
&)42
```

| ，K 3 | N | $\pm 94$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 842$ | W E | $\bigcirc$ AQ95 |
| $\diamond 10$ | W E | $\checkmark 8763$ |
| \＆AK Q J 9 87 | S | \＆ 1065 |
|  | （ Q 865 |  |
|  | ¢KJ 1063 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A Q J |  |
|  | 20 3 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brock | Andersson | Brown | Bertheau |
| － | － | Pass | 18 |
| 38 | 34 | 3NT | 49 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| C．Rimstedt | Draper | Grönkvist | Smith |
| － | － | Pass | 18 |
| 38 | Pass | 3NT | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | Pass | Pass |
| 4\％ | All Pass |  |  |

Bertheau opened $I \triangleleft$ and Brock made a jump cuebid， asking partner to bid 3NT with a heart stopper．Andersson now made the courageous bid of $3 \boldsymbol{s}$ and that meant that Bertheau could bid 4s over Brown＇s 3NT．Brown led a club，Brock winning the jack and switching to the three of spades．Bertheau went up with the ace and played the queen of spades．Brock won the \＄K but there was only a heart to be lost from here；ten tricks for +420 ．
Cecilia too made the jump cuebid but Draper did not show her spades．However，when Grönkvist＇s 3NT came round to her she doubled．Had that ended the auction， either a spade or a diamond lead could have netted a huge penalty，taking the first ten tricks，but Cecilia ran to the
safety of her solid suit and was allowed to play there． Whatever the result，this had to be a significant pick－up for Sweden．Draper led her singleton heart．Cecilia took the ace and drew trumps，eventually having to concede two spades，one diamond and two hearts for down two and －I00．That was 8 IMPs to Sweden who trailed by 182－20I， but there were only two deals to come．

Board 95．Dealer South．N／S Vul．
K Q 76
$\checkmark$ A Q 4
$\diamond 98653$
\＆ 5

® 75
$\diamond$ A 4
10642

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brock | Andersson | Brown | Bertheau |
| － | － | － | Pass |
| 18 | Pass | $2 \checkmark$ | 24 |
| 3 | 49 | All Pass |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| C．Rimstedt | Draper | Grönkvist | Smith |
| － | － | － | Pass |
| 18 | Pass | 2 | Pass |
| 24． | Pass | 3 | All Pass |

Cecilia opened $I \boxtimes$ then tried for game opposite the constructive raise（8－II）．Grönkvist was minimum for the initial raise and signed off in 3 万．Draper led the king of spades．Smith overtook to play a trump so Draper could win the queen and ace then play a third round to prevent any ruffs in the dummy．Draper won declarer＇s diamond play and cashed the $\Phi \mathrm{Q}$ for down one；-50 ．
There was more action in the other room，where Bertheau overcalled 2s and Andersson jumped to 4s over Brock＇s 3 『．Brock led the two of spades round to declarer＇s eight．Bertheau played ace and another diamond but the five－one break was very bad news．Brown won the second diamond and played the seven of clubs to Brock＇s jack． Brock switched to trumps，leading the jack to dummy＇s king．Declarer ruffed a diamond low，over－ruffed，put in the queen on Brock＇s return of the jack of hearts，and cashed the $\vee \mathrm{A}$ before ruffing another diamond．She was down one for－I00 and 4 IMPs to England．
There was one more deal to play but the match was over． England had won by 205－183 IMPs and would meet China in the final．That was to be played over $6 \times 16$－board sets over the next two days．

## Funbridge Transnational-Butler

```
Players
YEH Chen - ZHANG Yalan
THOMAZET Didier - RINGUET Pascal
SYLVAN Johan - RIMSTEDT Mikael
COURRIAS Mickael - RINGUET Pascal
PONTOH Suoth Sartje - SITOMPOEL Linda
MOLENAAR Danny - VERBEEK Tim
RUSSYAN Jerzy - ZAREMBA Jerzy
KALITA Jacek - NOWOSADZKI Michal
KING Philip (Phil) - McINTOSH Andrew
BERTHEAU Thomas - URRUTY Olivier
NEVE Olivier - ENGEL Zvi
CHUNG Jonky - LIU Herstein
GILL Peter - PEAKE Andrew
VERSACE Alfredo - LAURIA Lorenzo
HAEUSLER Helmut - REHDER Martin
ROBSON Andrew - GOLD David
SRINIVASAN Sundarram - SRIDHARAN Padmanabhan
HELGEMO Geir - MULTON Franck
SCANAVINO Eduardo - GUEGLIO Jorge
COPE Simon - PASKE Thomas
CIMA Leonardo - PALMA Antonio
DELUCA Attilio - EMERSON Susan
JU Chuancheng - SHI Zheng Jun
DELMAS-SIRVEN Thibault - CABANES Bernard
GREEN Stephen - BRYANT Roger
MERRET Jean-Jacques - CAUCHARD Remy
KASLER Philippe - CHOTTIN Philippe
HANLON Tom - McGANN Hugh
HOLLANDS Peter - HUMPHRIES Susan
MAJCHER Arkadiusz - MARKS Rafa
GAWRYS Piotr - KLUKOWSKI Michal
TUSZYNSKI Piotr - GOLEBIOWSKI Stanislaw
YANG Lixin - DAI Jianming
ROBERT Quentin - FRANCESCHETTI Pierre
PUILLET Carole - MARCHE Michele
HUANG Hua -WANG Xiangyang
LIU Jing - LIU Yinghao
HULT Simon - EKENBERG Simon
BEKKOUCHE Nadia - BINDERKRANTZ Trine
PIEDRA Fernando - IGLA Bartlomie
MARLIER Jean-Louis - MUS Marc
FALLON Gordon - COLMER Peter Ronald
KONOW Kasper - GJALDBAEK Kare
JESUA Jean-Jacque - MOLINA Philippe
KOWALSKI Apolinary - ROMANSKI Jacek
WINKLER Gabor - DUMBOVICH Miklos
HEGEDUS Gal - SZEGEDI Balazs
MAHMOOD Zia - MICHIELSEN Marion
KORBEL Daniel - SHI Sylvia
MARTENS Krzysztof - ZIMMERMANN Pierre
REVERCHON Patrick - BOULUD Bernard
KASIMIR Udo - JOKISCH Peter
JASSEM Krzysztof - MAZURKIEWICZ Marcin
SCHIRESON Max - BAILEY William
LINDE Julius - SCHWERDT Christian
MARCINOWSKI Piotr - SOBCZAK Mateusz
LANTARON Luis - GODED Federico
BOREVKOVIC Goran - MARINOVSKI Kiril
STRZEMECKI Wojciech - ZAWADA Przemyslaw
HU Junjie - CHEN Yichao
CAO Xueliang - BIAN Jingsheng
BERTHEAU Peter - HALLBERG Gunnar
SKORCHEV Stefan - GROSSET Christophe
FISCHER Doris - SAURER Bernd
ROSENBERG Debbie - LEE Cadir
HOU Xu - CHEN Ji
BLAKSET Lars - VANG-LARSEN Thomas
CAPPELLETTI Shannon - WEINGOLD Joanne
TERRANEO Franz - BIEDER Wolfgang
VASANTH Sathyavathi - NAIDOO Bindiya
ROGOV Dmitri - RUDAKOV Evgeni
YEH Chen ZHANGYalan
```

Board
2.70
1.63
1.40
1.22
1.20
$1.15 \quad 40$
$1.11-110$
. 0
1.01
0.94
0.93
0.93
0.93
110
$0.91 \quad 120$
0.90
0.88
0.87
0.87
0.86

Boards
40
40
60
60
40
10

00
00

## 50

100

0

0
10
10
0

## 0

8
O

都

| 72 | FU Zhong - LI Jie |
| :--- | :--- |
| 73 | AYDIN Ata - ILGIN Irfan |
| 74 | ROSENBERG Debbie - SCHIRESON Max |
| 75 | HYDES Alexander - MOSSOP David |
| 76 | POLESCHI Ricardo - ANGELERI Ricardo |
| 77 | BEAUVILLAIN Edouard - POULAT Simon |
| 78 | LEBEL Michel - SOULET Philippe |
| 79 | RINGUET Pascal - GRENTHE Sabine |
| 80 | BRIFFARD Michel - TRIOMPHE Michel |
| 81 | SHEN Mingkun - SHEN Xiaonong |
| 82 | RIDOLFO Jean - MERRET Ilana |
| 83 | WATULINGAS Giovanni - MONDIGIR Bill |
| 84 | VANDEN BOSSCHE Caroline - CONTRERAS Alain |
| 85 | GUMBY Pauline - LAZER Warren |
| 86 | BELL Sarah - BELL Michael |
| 87 | WASZKIEWICZ Adam - JAGODZINSKI Jakub |
| 88 | GUEGLIO Jorge - ALFONSIN Julio Alberto |
| 89 | KOOMTAKO Kasemsuk - CHANTAMAS Chongchana |
| 90 | AUKEN Sabine - WELLAND Roy |
| 91 | RIEUSSET Christine - RIEUSSET Bernard |


| 0.39 | 90 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 0.38 | 50 |
| 0.38 | 100 |
| 0.37 | 150 |
| 0.37 | 150 |
| 0.36 | 120 |
| 0.35 | 40 |
| 0.34 | 80 |
| 0.34 | 110 |
| 0.33 | 90 |
| 0.33 | 70 |
| 0.33 | 150 |
| 0.33 | 80 |

WASZKIEWICZ Adam JAGODZINSKI jakub
GUEGLIO Jorge - ALFONSIN Julio Alberto 0.3040
KOOMTAKO Kasemsuk - CHANTAMAS Chongchana
AUKEN Sabine - WELLAND Roy
RIEUSSET Christine - RIEUSSET Bernard
PASSELL Mike - JACOBUS Marc
LAFONT Gregoire - DU CORAIL Edouard
SHI Haojun - XIA Mei
SEN Melih Osman - UN
SEN Melih Osman - UNAL Serkan
HUMPHRIES Susan - GINNAN Laura
HUMPHRIES Susan - GINNAN Laura
FRANZEL Robert - TERRANEO Sylvia
$\begin{array}{lrr}\text { FRANZEL Robert - TERRANEO Sylvia } & 0.26 & 70 \\ \text { FOLLIERO DE LUNA Thierry - RIBAULT Benoit } & 0.25 & 110\end{array}$
FONTENEAU Dominique - DESAGES Olivier
PUNCH Sam - PETERKIN Stephen
PUNCH Sam - PETERKIN Stephen
KERLERO Marc De Rosbo - PALLIER Jean-Marie
KRIENS Niek - VAN DORT Henricus
103
104
105
106
107
108
$\begin{array}{lll}112 & \text { PESSOA Sofia - CASTANHEIRA Jorge Carlos } & 0.19 \\ & 150\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}113 & 0.19 & 110\end{array}$
114 BRINK Sjoert - DRIJVER Bas
II4 BRINK Sjoert - DRIJVER Bas
II5 MAQBOOL Assad - BANDESHA Muhammad Ghalib Ali
$\begin{array}{llrr}15 & \text { MAQBOOL Assad - BANDESHA Muhammad Ghalib Ali } & 0.18 & 90 \\ 16 & \text { ZVEZDIN Zigrid - ARSENTYEVA Elizaveta } & 0.18 & 130\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllr}116 & \text { ZVEZDIN Zigfrid - ARSENTYEVA Elizaveta } & 0.18 & 130 \\ 117 & \text { GROMOV Andrey - DUBININ Alexander } & 0.18 & 80\end{array}$
118 YANG Sidney - WU Mark Yp
119 WILDAVSKY Adam -WEINSTEIN Howard
119 WILDAVSKY Adam - WEINSTEIN Howard
20 KAYSER Christian - GLASSER Alain
1 AUBERT Pierre - DEROCLES Edme
122 OREY CAPUCHO Manuel d' - LARA Maria Joao
123 RENSON Denis - MONTAGNAC Christian
124 PILIPOVIC Marina - SVER Nikica
125 MULLER Alyette - SIMONNET Thierry
126 CHEN Yin-Shou - LIN Yin-Yu
127 MATHIEU Philippe - FABBRICATORE Sophie
128 FULLER Leone - LEYBOURNE Marina
129 GITELMAN Fred - COHLER Gary
130 NIMHAUSER Adrien - LAUFER Monique
131 MAGNUSSON Stephan - NIKOLENKOV Dmitrij
132 SABATE Jordi - GODED MERINO Gonzalo
133 POLII Bert Toar - HARTONO Michael Bambang
134 IMAMOGLU Levent - GUNDOGDU Mehmet Guney
I34 IMAMOGLU Levent - GUNDOGDU M
135 REYNOLDS Peter - FISCHER Stephen
136 HORSMAN Eileen - GROVER Bob
137 SOPHONPANICH Esther C. - BAISAMUT Somchai
138 FREDIN Peter - McALLISTER John Grayson
139 ROSENBERG Debbie - BAILEY William
140 LINDQVIST Espen - BROGELAND Boye
141 TOBING Robert Parasian - ASBI Taufik Gautama
142 KHALIL Izat - KHAN Safdar Mahmood
143 DUTILLOY Nicole - HALFON Donatella
74 ROSENBERG Debbie - SCHIRESON Max
50
76 POLESCHI Ricardo - ANGELERI Ricardo
$0.38 \quad 100$
79 RINGUET Pascal - GRENTHE Sabine
$0.37 \quad 150$
79
TRAVIS Barbara - GINSBERG Candice
EZION Amir - ZAMIR Ami
STAHL Wolf - WROBEL Fred
URSEANU Dan - BREABAN Lucian
CROUCH Peter - SINCLAIR Anita
CROUCH Peter - SINCLAIR Anita
$\begin{array}{lrr}\text { URSEANU Dan - BREABAN Lucian } & 0.23 & 80 \\ \text { CROUCH Peter - SINCLAIR Anita } & 0.22 & 60 \\ \text { GRAVERSEN Hans Christian - CLEMMENSEN Poul } & 0.21 & 150 \\ \text { GRENTHE Guill }\end{array}$
$0.31 \quad 150$
$\begin{array}{lr}0.31 & 150 \\ 0.30 & 70 \\ 0.30 & 40\end{array}$
100
$0.37 \quad 150$
$\begin{array}{ll}0.37 & 150 \\ 0.36 & 120\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{rr}0.36 & 120 \\ 0.35 & 40\end{array}$
0.30
0.29
$0.29 \quad 150$
$\begin{array}{ll}0.29 & 130\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lr}0.35 & 80 \\ 0.34 & 110 \\ 0.34 & 100\end{array}$
RIDOLFO Jean - MERRET Ilana
$0.33 \quad 90$
$0.33 \quad 70$
120
40
80
$0.33 \quad 150$
$0.33 \quad 80$
110
90
70
150
80
150
70
40
40
150
$\begin{array}{rr}0.28 & 100 \\ 0.27 & 70\end{array}$
$0.27 \quad 70$
$0.27 \quad 100$
$\begin{array}{ll}0.27 & 100 \\ 0.26 & 140 \\ 0.26 & 110\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll} & 0.26 & 50 \\ \text { FRANZEL Robert - TERRANEO Sylvia } & 0.26 & 70\end{array}$
uard
100
70
$0.25 \quad 140$
$0.25 \quad 150$
$\begin{array}{ll}0.25 & 150 \\ 0.25 & 150\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}0.25 & 150 \\ 0.250\end{array}$
$0.24 \quad 150$
$0.26 \quad 110$
$\begin{array}{ll}0.23 & 100 \\ 0.23 & 140\end{array}$
$0.23 \quad 140$
$0.23 \quad 150$
GRENTHE
150
80
110
50
GRENTHE Guillaume - GRENTHE Jerome
80
60
0.22
0.21
60
150
KISLITSYNA Irina - RAPOPORT Michel
$0.21 \quad 150$
$\begin{array}{ll}0.21 & 150 \\ 0.21 & 90\end{array}$
II7 GROMOV Andrey - DUBININ Alexander

HUGGINS Michael - MONVERNAY Didier MONSEGUR Martin Sila - ALFONSIN Julio Alberto
ANKLESARIA Keyzad - CHOKSHI Sunit
EL AHMADY Waleed - AUDICHE Christina
MELBOURNE Howard - THOMPSON Ben
LASUT Henky - MANOPPO Eddy M F
STEPHENS Robert - VANVUGHT Lex
HARARI David - DOUSSOT Bernard
LAKATOS Peter - BIRMAN Alon
BACKES Jean Marie - BOCKEN Patrick
ERBIL Erdinc - ZOBU Ahu
CLERKIN Jerry - CLERKIN Dennis
MARMION Patrice - LOBRY Francis
SUN Ming - ZHOU Jia Hong
GUESDON Jean-Luc - LABETOULLE Catherine
VENTIN CAMPRUBI Juan Carlos - PONT Juan
SATYANARAYANA Bachiraju - NADAR Kiran
LEVY Alain - RIEHM Franck
RUSSELL Douglas - ACKERLEY Chris
BATHURST Kevin - LALL Justin
PREDDY Kay - SELWAY Norman
BROWN Terry - BUCHEN Peter Walter
SPRUNG Jo Ann - SPRUNG Danny
CANTATORE Nick - FULLER Trevor
DINC Sedat - PEYRET Hakan
VITETTA Michele - CANERI Thierry
HACKETT Paul D - PRICE David
COMBESCURE Baptiste - BERNARD Julien
RIMBAUD Laurence - RIMBAUD Pierre
DUGUET Michel - FEBER Alexandre
POUX Manuel - LEBOUTEILLER Ludovic
URMAN Lior - TOLEDANO Oren
BELLEFROID Patrick - SCHICK Olivier
SUMAMPOUW Conny - ANDHANI Rury PONOMAREVA Tatiana - DIKHNOVA Tatiana CHEVAL Herve - GOUMARD Dominique SITOMPOEL Linda - PETRICOLA Mario
PEREIRA Paulo Goncalves - DIAS Paulo
IMAKURA Tadashi - OMASA Akito
TITOW Joanne - TITOW Kenneth
GITELMAN Fred -WINESTOCK Sheri
LARSSON Jessica - WEINGER Lindsey
SAUVAGE Valerie - BOGACKI Patrick
HESHMAT Mohamed - AUDICHE Alain
LEGRAS Remi - CHESNY Philippe
LI Jianwei - ZHANG Bangxiang
FALLON Gordon - FALLON Alison
YUEN Michael - ANDREWS Douglas
SEGUINEAU Paul - GALINSKY Yoram
VENKATARAMAN Kadayam Raman - GOEL Ashok Kumar
LOUCHART Pierre-Jean - COUNIL Jean-Louis
SCHIRESON Max - LEE Cadir
BOJOH Lusje Olha - TUEJE Julita Grace
SAELENSMINDE Erik - GILLIS Simon
EASWARAN Prakash - PRAKASH ANIRUDH
CAPLAN Eva - THOMPSON Jenny
GRAND Michele - GRIBE Patrick
PELLEGRINI Carlos - TOMMASINI Stefano
HACKETT Jason - HACKETT Justin
ROBINSON Irene - SHELLEY Peter
WANG Ping - SHIH Juei-Yu
FULLER Leone - FULLER Trevor
BURTIN Patrick - HAAS Thomas
BOLAND Rory - MORAN Mark
THUILLEZ Laurent - HALFON Donatella TAO Jian Hua - LIN Rongqiang
TESSIERES Godefroy De - SETTON Hilda
FOUGEROUSE Andre - GIULIANI Yves
CHOQUETTE Robert - MAZET Frederic
LALL Hemant - MILNER Reese
ROCAFORT Jean-Pierre - CLARET Michel BJARNARSON Gregers - HAGEN Anders
HOLLANDS Peter - GINNAN Laura
WHITTAKER Willie - BLACK Andrew CASTEL Hugo - LANGLET Vincent


| ADAD Pierre - MATEOS-RUIZ Franck | -0.36 | 50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MUKHERJEE Sumit - MAJUMDER Debabrata | -0.36 | 80 |
| TEWARI Rajeshwar - SHIVDASANI Jaggy | -0.37 | 90 |
| ZHAO Jie - CHEN Yunlong | -0.37 | 70 |
| SAHAL Michel - LAANEMAE Tiit | -0.40 | 150 |
| HUNTZ Herve - CALAS Damien | -0.41 | 150 |
| HOOGENKAMP Ed - HELLE Rob | -0.41 | 150 |
| PELISSON Sebastien - MARCHE Pierre | -0.42 | 140 |
| WANG Shao-Yu - LIU Ming-Chien | -0.43 | 120 |
| ROSSARD Martine - ROMANOWSKI Jerzy | -0.44 | 140 |
| SARIAN Frederic - AREA Georges | -0.44 | 90 |
| LORMANT Yves - LEVY David | -0.45 | 119 |
| KOECK Christine - JANIN Yann | -0.45 | 100 |
| KARMARKAR Marianne - BAKERI Rupa | -0.46 | 90 |
| SHI Miao - WANG Dade | -0.47 | 140 |
| DEN BOER Henk - SCHIPPER Kees | -0.48 | 150 |
| INO Masayuki - Yamada Kazuhiko | -0.48 | 50 |
| OHNO Kyoko - YAMADA Akihiko | -0.48 | 60 |
| ANDREA Landry - LEVOY Quentin | -0.49 | 150 |
| LINDEMAN Rob -VON SEIDA Ruud | -0.49 | 150 |
| MAYO Sharon - MAYO Gregory | -0.49 | 150 |
| CARROLL John - GARVEY Tommy | -0.51 | 100 |
| SIKORA Jacek - WALCZYNSKI Adam | -0.52 | 50 |
| WING David - BREAKELL Peter | -0.55 | 150 |
| KHOKHLOV Jouri - MATUSHKO Georgi | -0.56 | 100 |
| SVANGSOPAKUL Vallapa - NIMITYONGSKUL Phailin | -0.57 | 60 |
| ZHANG Xiaofeng - JIANG Tong | -0.60 | 70 |
| KLIS E - LEFLON Marcel | -0.61 | 90 |
| ROUSSEAU Lucy - COIFFIER Yves | -0.62 | 140 |
| SAINTE MARIE Thierry De - MAUBERQUEZ Eric | -0.63 | 100 |
| HELNESS Gunn - FOSSUM Ann Birgitte | -0.63 | 90 |
| MAZHAR Masood - IBRAHIM Kamran | -0.64 | 110 |
| MILL Justin - MADSEN Christina Lund | -0.64 | 110 |
| MAROTTA Luca - PERE Gilles | -0.65 | 130 |
| YEH Edward - CHEN Liu-Mou | -0.66 | 100 |
| PONTOH Suoth Sartje - SIANIPAR PETRICOLA Tetty | -0.67 | 100 |
| SHAH Vasanti - KSHIRSAGAR Alka | -0.67 | 100 |
| KANOKWATANAWAN Uraiwan - TOR CHAROEN Phanuwit | -0.67 | 100 |
| FINIKIOTIS George - FANOS Elizabeth | -0.69 | 150 |
| MALARME Daniel - BONHOMME Marc | -0.69 | 150 |
| KARWUR Franky Steven - SACUL Denny | -0.70 | 120 |
| PORTAL Dominique - ANDRIEUX Maxime | -0.74 | 120 |
| COCHEME Simon - OSMOND John | -0.75 | 150 |
| BAILEY William - LEE Cadir | -0.76 | 50 |
| DUGUET Michel - NIMHAUSER Adrien | -0.76 | 50 |
| HARSANYI Josef - MACKAY Steve | -0.77 | 149 |
| SIMBOZEL Michel - SIMBOZEL Francoise | -0.78 | 80 |
| BRUNEL Denys - ETLIN Alain Philippe | -0.78 | 148 |
| LUSK Sue - BOURKE Margaret | -0.79 | 70 |
| LETELLIER Jean-Pierre - SOULET Alexandre | -0.80 | 90 |
| PROTAT Gerard - COMTE Isabelle | -0.81 | 110 |
| MONSEGUR Martin Sila - MOONEY Guillermo | -0.83 | 90 |
| DEWI Suci Amita - MURNIATI Kristina Wahyu | -0.84 | 70 |
| HUGGINS Michael - TOMLINSON Steve | -0.85 | 110 |
| GERBER Claude - BESNAULT Jean-Louis | -0.90 | 80 |
| THOMAZET Didier - MATEOS-RUIZ Franck | -0.94 | 50 |
| BOOKALLIL Marianne - TUTTY Jodi | -0.96 | 110 |
| PINETTE Beatrice - LECLERC Gilles | -0.97 | 110 |
| LOUCHART Pierre-Jean - NAELS Patrick | -0.98 | 40 |
| MARKOWICZ Victor - MOSZCZYNSKI Krzysztof | -1.01 | 90 |
| NEVEU Loic - THOBIE Sebastien | -1.03 | 140 |
| PICUS Sue - KRANTZ Corey | -1.05 | 80 |
| NAIDOO Bindiya - SINHA Priya Ranjan | -1.10 | 70 |
| GREEN Allison - BRUN Michele | -1.16 | 130 |
| ROLLAND Sabine - NATAF Paula | -1. 25 | 80 |
| FALLON Alison - EMERSON Susan | -1.30 | 50 |
| NIMITYONGSKUL Phailin - KANOKWATANAWAN Uraiwan | -1.32 | 50 |
| KHONICHEVA Elena - GULEVICH Anna | -1.34 | 50 |
| ARAGONES Michele - ARAGONES Rene | -1.35 | 110 |
| SANCHEZ Thomas - LIERHMANN Florian | -1.41 | 90 |
| PETRICOLA Mario - CARDYN Catherine | -1.71 | 90 |
| BISALPUTRA Pimpraphai - DARDARANANDA Tassamon | -1.72 | 50 |
| CANTATORE Nick - LEYBOURNE Marina | -2.00 | 50 |



# VENICE INTERNATIONAL BRIDGE FESTIVAL 

## Casinò Palace

## Lido di Venezia 8-11 November 2017

## Program

National Mixed \& Ladies Pairs Tournament
Wednesday 8 - from $4.00 \mathrm{pm}-2$ session 20/22 boards
First prize 1.200 euro
Entry Fee 25 euro per player (junior 15)
National Open Pairs Tournament
Thursday 9 - from 2.00 pm -2 session 20/22 boards First prize 1.500 euro
Entry Fee 30 euro per player (junior 20)
International Open Teams Tournament
Friday 10 - from 2 pm -7 qualification rounds Saturday 11 - from 11.00 am - 5 final rounds First prize 4.000 euro Entry Fee 260 euro per team (junior 160)

Thursday 9 - Evening with dinner in the Rooms of the Municipal Casino Ca Vendramin on the Grand Canal

## Organazing Committee

Circolo del Bridge di Venezia Associazione Proloco Lido di Venezia Consorzio di promozione Venezia e il suo Lido

## General Coordination

Andrea Dalpozzo - Gianmaria Rebecchi
Technical Direction
Massimo Ortensi - Silvia Valentini
Head \& Chief TD
Antonio Riccardi - Manolo Eminenti

## Total guaranteed prize money 30.000 euro

All the tournaments are opened for the participation of members of the Italian and other foreign Bridge Federations

Information \& hotel reservation
www.festivaldelbridgelidodivenezia.com info@veneziaeilsuolido.it (hotel) festivalvenezia2017@gmail.com (registrations)


USA2 started the penultimate set with a lead of I5: I44129. Right on the very first board, we were in the slam zone again, so I was hoping for a repeat of the high drama produced in the USAI-Bulgaria quarterfinal, no matter which way the match would go this time:


Chip Martel, USA 2
Board I. Dealer North. None Vul.
4 86
$\bigcirc 32$
$\diamond$ A Q 532
9843


| N | ¢ AKJ 105 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AK 876 |
| W E | $\diamond 976$ |
| S | 9 - |
| ¢ 93 |  |
| $\bigcirc$ Q 10 |  |
| $\diamond$ J10 84 |  |
| \& AK 76 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C. Martel | Karakolev | Fleisher | Mihov |
| - | Pass | $1 s$ | Pass |
| $2 \Phi$ | Pass | $3 \checkmark$ | Pass |
| $4 \Phi$ | All Pass |  |  |

On this natural auction, Fleisher simply showing his second suit, game was easily reached, of course. When declarer correctly played hearts from the top, he made 12 tricks. USA2 +480 .

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stefanov | B. Moss | Nanev | Grue |
| - | Pass | 19** | Pass |
| $3{ }^{*}$ | Dble | $3{ }^{1}$ | Pass |
| 4. | Pass | 64 | All Pass |

The Bulgarians had a specific convention available for this type of hand. Over the Strong Club, $3 \diamond$ showed a 4441 distribution with short diamonds. The rest was easy once West could not produce a minor-suit cuebid and thus should have useful values elsewhere. This proved not quite true but the contract came home alright when declarer was not tempted to take a heart finesse once the $\vee A$ brought down South's 810 . Bulgaria +980 and II IMPs to them to trail by only 4 now.
Two boards later, we saw a nice tactical battle.
Board 3. Dealer South. E/W Vul.

- 754
$\bigcirc$ KJ 103
$\diamond 1092$
\& Q J 7
Q Q 9862
ค 984
$\diamond 86$
\& K 93


103
$\bigcirc$ Q 5
$\diamond A K$ Q J 73
\& 1084

- AKJ

คA762
$\diamond 54$
\& A 652
Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C. Martel | Karakolev | Fleisher | Mihov |
| - | - | - | INT |
| Pass | Pass | Dble | Pass |
| $2 \lesssim$ | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | Pass | $2 \searrow$ |
| Pass | Pass | $3 \diamond$ | All Pass |

Martel's hand was too weak to sit for Fleisher's double of South's 14-I6 INT so the Americans settled for $2 \diamond$. When $N / S$ then found their heart fit, Fleisher bid one more but soon found out that he had been lucky to escape the double, vulnerable. $\Phi$ K lead, low club to North's jack, 8 J which held, low heart to queen and ace and another low club! When declarer not illogically again played low from dummy, he had managed to score only his six pretty solid trump tricks. Down three, Bulgaria +300 .
In the reply, the auction quickly came to an end:

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Stefanov | B. Moss | Nanev | Grue |
| - | - | - | INT |

## All Pass

West led a spade to declarer's jack and when Grue immediately took the heart finesse through West (catering for a possible $? \mathrm{Qxxx}$ ), East won the queen and cashed out. One down, Bulgaria another +50 and 8 more IMPs to them. They had taken the lead.
On the next board, $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{S}$ were cold for 4 y . Once you have ruffed a black suit, you can unblock the $\diamond \mathbf{Q}$, cross in trumps, ruff a diamond, draw the remaining trumps and chalk up +620 .

Board 4. Dealer West. All Vul.

- Q 984
-K732
$\diamond \mathrm{Q}$
\& 972
$\& A 65$
$\otimes J 105$
$\diamond 975$
$\& K 108$

- KJ 1073

886
$\diamond 108$
\& A Q 64
PAQ9 9
$\diamond$ AK6432

- 53


## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Stefanov | B. Moss | Nanev | Grue |
| Pass | Pass | $1 \uparrow$ | 2 |
| $2 ゅ$ | Pass | Pass | Dble |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | 3 |

## All Pass

Looking at this auction, I was wondering whether South's double would suggest a good secondary heart suit. The $3 \diamond$ contract just made, of course: USA2 +110 .
In the Open Room, South could not sensibly speak again when 3 came round to him.
Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C. Martel | Karakolev | Fleisher | Mihov |
| Pass | Pass | 2 | Dble |
| $3 \mathbf{3}$ | All Pass |  |  |

This would have led to a flat board had 3one one down, as one might expect at first glance. In practice, this is an illusion, however. As soon as declarer manages to ruff one red suit in hand at an early stage, he can draw two rounds of trumps finessing North's queen, ruff his fourth club with dummy's last trump and get two more tricks with his $\$ \mathrm{KJ}$ sooner or later. Only repeated trump leads will do the job for the defence, as North can get the lead twice
with his $\vee \mathrm{K}$ and $\diamond \mathrm{Q}$, but why on earth should such an out-of-this-world defence be found?
At the table, South led the $\diamond \mathrm{K}$ crashing partner's queen. Low diamond ruffed by North, heart to South's queen, $\vee \mathrm{A}$ and a club return rather than a heart produced an easy squeeze against North in the rounded suits when declarer played off all his trumps. USA2 another +140 and 6 IMPs back to regain the lead but a great chance gone for either side!
The next six boards produced just one part-score swing each way but on board II, they ran into double figures again:

Board II. Dealer South. None Vul.
A AK8632
$\bigcirc$ KQ 102
$\diamond 5$
\& K 5

- 10

P 1863
$\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{ } 1083$

* 1872

| N |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| W | E |
| S |  |
|  | QJ9 75 |
|  |  |
| $\diamond$ Q 76 |  |
|  | Q 1064 |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C. Martel | Karakolev | Fleisher | Mihov |
| - | - | - | $2 \boldsymbol{1}$ |
| Pass | $4 \uparrow$ | Dble | Pass |
| 4NT* | Pass | 5 | Pass |
| Pass | 5 | All Pass |  |

South's 2 was sort of "Muiderberg," showing at least five spades and 4+ of a minor.
How could North know that together, N/S had at least five defensive tricks? Not respecting the old Bols Tip about the five-level proved expensive. One down, USA2 +50 .
Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Stefanov | B. Moss | Nanev | Grue |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| Pass | $1 \diamond$ | $1 \diamond$ | $1 /{ }^{*}$ |
| $3 \diamond$ | 3 | Pass | 4 |

Over the Strong Club, South showed his spades in transfer mode and game was duly reached when the opponents did not bother to put on pressure or take the sacrifice. USA2 another +420 and 10 IMPs to them to lead by 12 .
Bulgaria halved the deficit when USA2 missed a nonvulnerable game on the next board but two boards later, it was Bingo again for the scorers:

Board I4. Dealer East. None Vul.

- KJ76
$\bigcirc 105$
$\diamond$ Q 10
- 19853
- 3

คA 83
$\diamond$ KJ97543

* A 10


Open Room

| West | North |
| :--- | :--- |
| C. Martel | Karakolev |
| - | - |
| $3 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |


| East | South |
| :--- | :--- |
| Fleisher | Mihov |
| 19 | 20 |
| 30 | Pass |

The contract was reached in natural fashion. Declarer won the second round of hearts and continued $\diamond \mathrm{A}$ and another, inserting the jack from his hand when North followed with the $\diamond 10$ to the first round. Eleven tricks only, USA2 +460 .


Ivan Nanev, Bulgaria discussing with the director

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stefanov | B. Moss | Nanev | Grue |
| - | - | INT | $2 \diamond$ |
| 3\% | Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 34 | Pass | 3NT | Pass |
| 4\% | Pass | 49 | Pass |
| $6 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |  |

Over the 14-16 INT, Stefanov showed his invitational or better hand with diamonds in transfer fashion after South had shown his hearts in transfer mode as well. Cue-bids then did the rest.
When South led the 9 rather than a top heart, declarer was in a good position as he would be able to get rid of his heart losers with clubs 4-2 even if he had to lose a trump trick. So he called for dummy's ace and played a trump to the ace and a trump to the...jack. A heart came back but when the clubs proved to be 5-I after all, declarer's second chance of making the contract was no longer there. USA2 +50 and II IMPs to them.
USA2 scored another 7 IMPs on the last board of the set to go into the final set with a 184-I59 lead.

## Final set

The opening two boards of the set were very flat but then came:

Board 19. Dealer South. E/WVul.
¢ 75
$\bigcirc$ K Q J 9875
$\diamond 8$
2 A Q 4

| - A Q 832 | N | - J 104 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ A |  | 832 |
| $\triangleleft$ KJ653 |  | $\checkmark 1074$ |
| \& 93 | S | \% K 10865 |
|  | - K 96 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 1064$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ A Q 92 |  |
|  | * J 72 |  |

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mihov | B. Moss | Karakolev | Grue |
| - | - | - | $1 \diamond$ |
| 15 | 2 | 24 | 38 |
| Dble | 4\% | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Over the Precision style $\mid \diamond$ opening by South, the normal $4 \checkmark$ was easily reached by the Americans.
When East led the obvious $\mathbf{~ J}$, the contract soon was one down when all the finesses were wrong for declarer. Bulgaria +50 .
In the Open Room, transfers were in operation once again:
Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pszczoła | Nanev | Rosenberg | Stefanov |
| - | - | - | INT |
| 2 | 3 | Pass | $3 \varnothing$ |
| Pass | $3 \uparrow$ | Pass | $3 N T$ |
| Pass | 49 | Pass | $4\rangle$ |

Over West's two-suiter (spades and a minor), North was able to place the declaration in South's hand. When West
led an unlucky low diamond, one of dummy's spade losers vanished and declarer thus could no longer go down. Bulgaria +420 and IO IMPs back - just what was needed to revive the match.
Two more flat boards followed and then we saw another


Michael Rosenberg, USA 2
big swing.
Board 22. Dealer East. E/W Vul.


## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pszczoła | Nanev | Rosenberg | Stefanor |
| - | - | 18 | $2 \boldsymbol{1}$ |
| $2 \otimes$ | 2 | All Pass |  |

For N/S, 3e would possibly have been a better spot but when Rosenberg, defending 24, led a top heart and switched to the obvious low trump, declarer had no chance any more to get rid of his diamonds. Down two, USA2 +100 .
Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stefanov | B. Moss | Nanev | Grue |
| - | - | 18 | 2\% |
| 2 | 24 | $3 \diamond$ | Pass |


| $4 \oslash$ | Pass | Pass |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Pass |  |  |

In the other room, East gave it another try, which proved fatal when South had an easy double. Down two and another +500 or 12 IMPS to USA2. They were 27 up now with nine to play.
There was little in the next seven boards, to the disappointment, no doubt, of the neutral bridge lovers. So the USA2 lead was still a decisive-looking 20 IMPs when the penultimate board arrived:

Board 3I. Dealer South. N/S Vul.
, KQ 76
$\checkmark$ AQ 4
$\diamond 98653$
\& 5


Open Room
West
Pszczoła
-
18
3
North
Nanev
-
Pass
49
East
Rosenberg
-
2
All Pass

South
Stefanov
Pass
29

In a sense, $N / S$ did well to arrive in game but they soon found out that the breaks in the pointed suits were too much for them. West led a low trump won by dummy's king but when declarer immediately went after the diamonds and they broke 5-I, two down soon was the outcome. USA2 +200 , a result that should see them through to the final in comfort.
At the other table, the Bulgarians had a curious misunderstanding:
Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Stefanov | B. Moss | Nanev | Grue |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| $1 \varnothing$ | Pass | $2 \odot$ | Pass |
| Pass | $2 Q$ | Dble | All Pass |

What should the meaning of East's double have been? Nobody knew, it seemed.
On a trump lead to the ten and king, declarer actually made two overtricks for +1070 and a further 15 IMPs to USA2, who thus secured their right to meet European Champions France in the final, winning their match 216182.
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